
From: Meynell, Charlotte
Sent: 09 March 2021 09:04
To: Planning
Subject: FW: 2020/4409/P & 2020/5067/P - 57-59 Neal Street, London, WC2H 9PP - Revised consultation response CGCA

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi,

Please can the below email be uploaded to M3 and Trim as a revised consultation response from the Covent Garden Community Association to apps. ref. 2020/4409 and 2020/5067.

Thanks,

Charlotte Meynell
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 2598



The majority of Council staff are continuing to work at home through remote, secure access to our systems. Where possible please communicate with us by telephone or email.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 March 2021 08:56
To: Meynell, Charlotte <Charlotte.Meynell@camden.gov.uk>
Cc: Hatton, Colette <Colette.Hatton@camden.gov.uk>; CGCA Planning <Planning@Coventgarden.org.uk>; David Bieda, Seven Dials Trust [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: 2020/4409/P - 57-59 Neal Street, London, WC2H 9PP - Revised drawings received.

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Charlotte,

We have now had a chance to look at the drawings for the revised frontage elements on 57-59 Neal Street in applications 2020/4409/P and 2020/5067/P.

The frontage elements all look much better. While the new shopfronts in 2020/4409/P are not notable, their modest wooden design is certainly much more in-keeping than the previous “powder coated steel frame with anodized aluminium vertical elements”, and the stall-risers have presence. The hanging signs are also at a nice height that will not obstruct the windows above. We therefore withdraw our objections to 2020/4409/P.

Further, what appears to be broadly like-for-like replacement of the loading doors and refurbishment of their horizontal metal bars in 2020/5067/P is far to be preferred over more modern full-length glass windows and 'Juliet' balconies.

Thank you very much for persevering with the applicant to bring about these improvements in frontage design which, in our opinion, reduce harm to the pleasant historic building and to the conservation area.

As previously stated, we maintain objection to other elements of 2020/5067/P, subject to any possible revisions that may be in progress. It is particularly important to the community that the council is robust in defence of its policies against more air conditioning in the area – especially systems involving external equipment like this one.

With good wishes,

- Amanda.

From: Planning@CoventGarden.org.uk <Planning@CoventGarden.org.uk>
Sent: 05 March 2021 09:23
To: 'Meynell, Charlotte' <Charlotte.Meynell@camden.gov.uk>
Cc: CGCA Planning <Planning@Coventgarden.org.uk>
Subject: RE: 2020/4409/P - 57-59 Neal Street, London, WC2H 9PP - Revised drawings received

Dear Charlotte,

Thank you for letting us know about this. (We did have a request in to Neil a while back, for alerts to be sent out to interested parties when substantive revisions were added to an application, but I don't think that the system is quite there, yet!)

On 57-59 Neal Street: there was a concurrent application for the upper floor frontages and the roof of this building (2020/5067/P) – and we can now see some revised drawings on the portal for that, too. In terms of the frontage elements, we felt that they went hand-in-hand with the shopfront elements on 2020/4409/P because the building is a nice Victorian warehouse, and we discussed them all together with Shaftesbury in December when they responded by saying that they'd look at the building again.

We can get back to you within one working day on both these sets of revisions. But on 2020/5067/P I have to say that, even without re-consulting our committee, the high rooftop plant and enclosure is still problematic. And retrograde aircon is hardly the way to go, as Camden's policies are to be held up for recognising. It's been a joy to everyone to have had most of this polluting machinery turned off for months.

With good wishes,

- Amanda.

From: Meynell, Charlotte <Charlotte.Meynell@camden.gov.uk>
Sent: 03 March 2021 10:10
To: planning@coventgarden.org.uk
Cc: Elizabeth Bax, Chair of CGCA Planning Subcommittee <EBax@CoventGarden.org.uk>; ARigby@CoventGarden.org.uk
Subject: 2020/4409/P - 57-59 Neal Street, London, WC2H 9PP - Revised drawings received

Dear Elizabeth and Amanda,

I have now received revised drawings for the above application for replacement shopfronts at 57-59 Neal Street. The design of the proposed shopfronts has been amended to timber framed

shopfronts of a traditional style, with fascia board and stallriser. The Council's Conservation Officer has reviewed the revised drawings and has confirmed that she is satisfied with the revisions and believes that the proposed shopfronts would now improve the quality of the building and its contribution to the conservation area.

Please can I ask you to review the revised drawings and confirm by reply whether you would like to withdraw your objection, which related to the initial proposal for metal framed shopfronts.

Many thanks,

Charlotte Meynell
Senior Planning Officer
Regeneration and Planning
Supporting Communities
London Borough of Camden

Telephone: 020 7974 2598
Web: camden.gov.uk

5 Pancras Square
London N1C 4AG



Virus-free. www.avast.com