



Dear Sarah

Thank you for your emails. Yes of course you can object belatedly. I've forwarded these to our technicians who will upload it for you. As always there might be a slight delay in getting them redacted and put online. I will be filling your emails in my own personal file where I'm keeping tabs of all the objections, which will be considered and summarised in any recommendation/decision I make.

Take care

Jonathan McClue  
Deputy Team Leader  
Pronouns: He/Him/His



The majority of Council staff are continuing to work at home through remote, secure access to our systems. Where possible please communicate with us by telephone or email.



**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Jonathan,

Please could I object belatedly to the above application -Details of the facing materials to discharge condition 18 of planning permission 2014/1617/P.

I object to the proposal to use Glass Reinforced Concrete (GRC) for the cladding on the 100 Avenue Road development because this material appears to be very dull, flat and without any visual texture or merit and comes nowhere close to the very fine appearance of the classic Portland stone listed as considered option which was presented by the developers in their successful planning appeal.

The flat white cladding in this material would be cause serious damage to the neighbouring conservation areas and spoil the appearance of the lower levels pf the Green Space.

It is quite obvious that the developers are seeking to penny pinch by replacing a more expensive material with a cheap one. The Inspector only gave permission with an 'on balance' decision which referred to the fact that the developers were proposing to finish the building with good quality finishes as a way of mitigating against the harm the building would cause to the local built environment and conservation areas. With this proposal the developers would no longer be doing this. As a result, this application should be rejected.

Thank you in advance and apologies for the delay,.

Sarah Howard

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice [here](#) which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.