Delegated	Report
-----------	--------

Officer	Application Number(s)
Tom Little	2020/5425/T
Application Address	
81 Greencroft Gardens London NW6 3LJ	

# Proposal(s)

FRONT GARDEN: 1 x Silver Birch - Fell to ground level.

Recommendation(s):	No Objection to Works to Tree(s) in CA
Application Type:	Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area

Consultations						
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	21	No. of responses	2	No. of objections	2
Summary of consultation responses:	requesting garden ar Conserval No justification sized tree observation proximity. Why can reducing feature in The South Managem follows: 5 possible follows: 5 possibl	g the contribution of the	s given for why this questo be completely remover to ground leading.  imply be sensitively a gree of shading but properties mostly hard-largetead Conservation are cover should be extensively and pstead Conservation are cover should be extensively and pstead Conservation are characteristic of a second of wildlife habitate and the council will generate the council will generate and the council strategy. The council's strategy. The modition and clearly have an are characteristic of a second to the birch tree of the felling to ground this application. To the 'felling to ground this application. To the 'felling to ground the summer, birch tree of the	lver bir uth Ha uite attinoved de novel	ch tree in the front impstead Gardens ractive and modestly other than the ue to shading from derately pruned, the ng an attractive nature ped front garden? Character Appraisal & Ebruary 2011 states & enhanced where nity value, sense of versity removal of the mage to buildings or ince. Unsympathetic explaining made to fell the easons stated in the vell as for reasons of the Council takes firm. I' of this tree for the vell as for reasons of the council takes firm. I' of this tree for the vell as for reasons of the council takes firm. I' of this tree for the vell as for reasons of the council takes firm. I' of this tree for the vell as for reasons of the council takes firm. I' of this tree for the vell as for reasons of the council takes firm. I' of the sense of the property as the total the address is the of the addr	reby Iral & sell-st & nould rees er see fin sell sive are a there except act he sell-st be such as there except act he sell-st be such as the sell-st be such as

	None
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	

#### **Assessment**

As the birch is not covered by a TPO it was subject to a section 211 notification of intended works to trees in a conservation area, unlike a TPO application there is no requirement to give reasons for the proposed works. A section 211 notification gives the LPA six weeks to consider objecting to the proposed works. If the LPA wishes to object then it must serve a tree preservation order on the relevant trees. There are several criteria that must be considered when assessing the suitability of a tree for a TPO which can be broken down as follows (taken from the current planning practice guidance that LPAs use when assessing a tree):

### Visibility

The extent to which the trees or woodlands can be seen by the public will inform the authority's assessment of whether the impact on the local environment is significant. The trees, or at least part of them, should normally be visible from a public place, such as a road or footpath, or accessible by the public.

In this case, the birch tree in question is highly visible from a public place, and is considered to provide significant visual amenity to the public.

## Individual, collective and wider impact

Public visibility alone will not be sufficient to warrant an Order. The authority is advised to also assess the particular importance of an individual tree, of groups of trees or of woodlands by reference to its or their characteristics including:

• size and form;

The birch is not a particularly large tree, it is not in any way a noteworthy example of its species. Although the aperance of the tree is currently reasonable it has a number of structural issues, possibly caused by the loss of a leader soon after planting resulting in a twin leader tight forks and some crossing branches. There are also some signs of dieback in the crown. Due to the species it would be difficult to remedy these issues through pruning, without seriously impacting on the safe useful life expectancy of the tree.

future potential as an amenity;

Due to the structural issues mentioned above the safe useful life expectancy of the tree is considered to be significantly reduced.

rarity, cultural or historic value;

The birch is not of a rare species or individually, of any known cultural or historic value.

contribution to, and relationship with, the landscape;

It is considered that the tree makes a reasonable contribution within the street scene.

contribution to the character or appearance of a conservation area.

The tree is considered to make a reasonably positive contribution to the character and appearance of the conservation area.

#### Other factors

Where relevant to an assessment of the amenity value of trees or woodlands, authorities may consider taking into account other factors, such as importance to nature conservation or response to climate change. These factors alone would not warrant making an Order.

The tree offers some benefits in terms of reducing pollution, absorbing CO2 and wildlife habitat however the current legislation does not put sufficient weight on to these factors to justify serving a TPO.

On balance, due to the structural issues, dieback and reduced safe useful life expectancy of the tree, it would not be expedient to bring this tree under the protection of a TPO.