
From: Terence Ewing [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 February 2021 17:59
To: McClue, Jonathan; Planning
Cc: Janine Sachs; Edie Raff; elaine chambers
Subject: 100 AVENUE ROAD LONDON NW3 – APPLICATION NOS 2021/0025/P & 2021/0022/P
Attachments: 20210025P.pdf; 20210022P.pdf
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

26/02/21

Jonathan McClue
Planning Dept
LB Camden

100 AVENUE ROAD LONDON NW3 – APPLICATION NOS 2021/0025/P & 2021/0022/P

Am writing further re the publication of my objections concerning the above two planning apps.

I note that in respect of both, you have now redacted the address for the later uploaded objection version, although the original upload which seems to have been the one submitted by the form has not been redacted in respect of the address at the top of the page. As both are identical, it does not seem necessary to have to repeat them twice in any event.

I would therefore request that the address for the online form versions also be redacted.

In addition, as has been pointed out also by Ms Sachs, the objections for both of these apps are still only viewable in respect of the first page, which includes the online form version and the later letter one.

I would request that if you are going to publish objections on site, they are accurate in that they include all pages. The original objections were sent as PDF attachments in any event.

I enclose a screen shot of the two identical objection refs for both of these apps.

Many thanks.

T Ewing
Objector