

Delegated Report		Analysis sheet		Expiry Date:		18/03/2021	
		N/A / attached		Consultation Expiry Date:			
Officer				Application Number(s)			
Nick Bell				2021/0510/T			
Application Address				Drawing Numbers			
Flat A 38 Dartmouth Park Road London NW5 1SX							
PO 3/4		Area Team Signature		C&UD		Authorised Officer Signature	
Proposal(s)							
REAR GARDEN: 1 x Deodar Cedar - Fell to ground level.							
Recommendation(s):		No objection to notification of intended works to tree(s) in a conservation area.					
Application Type:		Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area					
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:		Refer to Draft Decision Notice					
Informatives:							
Consultations							
Adjoining Occupiers:		No. notified	13	No. of responses	01	No. of objections	00
				No. electronic	00		
Summary of consultation responses:		The Council received one consultation response which is below.					
CAAC/Local groups* comments: <small>*Please Specify</small>		<p>The Dartmouth Park CAAC submitted the following comment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I disagree with the owner's opinion that it is 'a low value tree', with its attractive drooping branches and large cones. However, these Himalayan Cedars can reach 40 -70' in height and 20 x 40' in lateral spread and is probably not suitable for a rear overlooked London garden. The owner's suggested replacement non-native trees, the Japanese Apricot reaches 20', the red bud forest pansy up to 5m and the Korean Dogwood can attain 25'. I also realise that due to its habit that this Cedar would be difficult to prune. I suggest that if you do decide to fell the tree that a native tree replacement could also be considered. (The application states that the tree has a TPO. I cannot find evidence for this). 					

Assessment

The s.211 notification is for the removal of a Himalayan cedar from the rear garden of a residential property that is situated within the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area.

The tree is of minimal visibility from the public realm and is not considered to significantly contribute to the character and appearance of the conservation area.

The tree is not of a rare species and is not a noteworthy example of its species. The tree is of no known cultural or historical value.

The tree is not subject to a tree preservation order.

It is not expedient for the Council to serve a tree preservation order to protect the tree.

The Council does not object to the proposed works.