

**Henriques, Roberta**

---

**From:** Young, Nathaniel  
**Sent:** 22 February 2021 09:58  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: Objection to Planning Applications refs: 2020/6008 P, 2020/5880 P, 2020/6009 P  
**Attachments:** Sunlight and daylight analysis not available.docx; Boyer cover letter 22 and 28 LMR Compliance c.PNG; Camden refuse permission previous development 2014 7720 P.pdf; Impact of rear elevations proposed for 22,26,28 LMR from E Square.jpg

Please log the comments below and attached.


Kind regards,

Nathaniel Young  
Planning Officer

Telephone: 



The majority of Council staff are continuing to work at home through remote, secure access to our systems. Where possible please communicate with us by telephone or email.



**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Nathaniel

I am attaching a photo - the document which I put together from sticking together with selotape the individual plans for proposed rear elevations to the three houses involved to demonstrate the impact of the reduced skyline available from Elliott, the 'missing teeth' effect when looked at together and the overbearing effect the proposed rooftop extensions would have if all three are agreed in the absence of other houses in the block being built up to match. I also attach a copy of Camden's previous refusal to allow similar applications to go ahead. Whilst I appreciate there have been some changes to the law, I would have thought that Camden's long standing commitment to maintaining balance and aesthetic standards in the visual appearance of developments would still apply.

I apologise for my amateurish attempt to show the effect but not having any technical software available or training in such matters, it was the best I could do. I hope you can read the photographed words as the cuttings stuck together were more than A4 in size for me to scan the document as one page. I did try scanning it in two halves but that did not show the full impact of viewing the three developments in one viewing.

I have supporting videos and photos to send to you plus my written submission and other supporting paperwork but found that I could not get the Dropbox link - which someone else set up for me - to work and need to get some sleep

now. Please keep my file open so I can try to sort out the problem in the morning when I can ask someone for Dropbox advice.

Please also note that there are a couple of errors in the planning applications submitted. See attached Boyer covering letter Compliance statement which is the same for nos 22 and 28 saying the dwelling house was built in the 1970s is incorrect as I have lived here since 1983 when my house was new and none of the houses in the Lower Merton Road part of the Elliott Square sector were built at that time. The Quadrangles which E Square was known as originally was registered then as part of the Eton College Estate to which it belonged but the houses definitely were not built then. Also in the Daylight and Sunlight report by Delva Patman Redler used by all three applicants, Elliott has been misspelt throughout as Elliot. The links to this report did not work on two of the uploaded applications. I will comment further on the actual report in my full submission.

kind regards

Mrs King  
Owner No. 37 Elliott Square since 1983

***Gill King***

**37 Elliott Square, London NW3 3SU**

