

| Application No: | Consultees Name:          | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2020/5084P      | Dr James Rakshi<br>MDFRCP | 22/01/2021 21:24:56 | OBJ      | 14 Westbere road NW2 3SR - Dr James, Mira, Zin and Sophia Rakshi |

We strongly object to this planning application.

1. The main proposed rear extension at the back and directly facing us would be 2.9 metres beyond the current building rear exterior wall and would be right up to the fence leaving no garden on their property.
2. Further more with the patio doors (one double and one single from the plans submitted) it would look directly on to and over our garden and property taking away our privacy and entirely changing the view. We have a [REDACTED] daughter [REDACTED] who is always in the garden and if this extension was built she would have no privacy as neither would we. In fact, they would effectively be using our Garden.
3. There is a major water drainage issue at the back of our garden leading to the lawn always being waterlogged in Autumn and Winter with rain water due to 13 Sarre rds garden being on a higher level than ours and paved over with no where for the water to go other than in our back garden. This water logging problem at the back of our garden would clearly be worsened and has not been taken in to account.
4. The rear extension would reduce the natural greenery and look of the gardens. It would change the whole perspective of our view and the area. It would look out of place and character compared with the rest of the properties.
5. It would set a presendence for others to build extensions to their fence in the area reducing natural life. We have a whole variety of birds (magpies, blackbirds, pigeons, robins and many others), Squirrels and hedgehogs visiting our garden. This would be affected.
6. Whilst it may increase the value of 13 Sarre road, it would reduce the value of our property. This would therefore not be fair.
7. We have no objection to the side extension coming up to their current rear exterior wall.



In conclusion, we strongly object to the rear extension.

Thank you for considering our reasonable and genuine objections.