
From: McClue, Jonathan
Sent: 15 February 2021 11:23
To: Planning
Subject: FW: 100 Avenue Road Objection to revision of planning agreement

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Objection to load to **2021/0025/P** please

From: Ruth Jackman [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 February 2021 16:35
To: PlanningCommittee <PlanningCommittee@camden.gov.uk>
Subject: 100 Avenue Road Objection to revision of planning agreement

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Members of the Planning Committee,

As a resident of South Hampstead, I wish to file an objection to the attempt by Essential Living to evade the obligations which they willingly shouldered as part of the planning consent previously given for the site at 100 Avenue Road, NW3. I particularly object to the request to remove the provision of affordable housing (S106) while the move to cheaper cladding seems extremely dubious.

There is a great shortage of affordable housing in London (including Camden) while Property Developers have enjoyed very large profits in recent years.

Should the cheaper cladding be superior that would, of course be excellent news. However should it be of poorer quality it is impossible to understand why it could possibly be considered.

Yours truly,

Ruth Jackman
235 Goldhurst Terrace
NW6 3EP