

Henriques, Roberta

From: McClue, Jonathan
Sent: 19 February 2021 16:24
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Our comments on the 100 Avenue applications

Please upload this objection to 2021/0022/P and 2021/0025/P



Dear Jonathan

I hope you are keeping well. Please see below our objections to both new applications by EL. Please could you include them in the formal responses. They are from both Luisa and me.

Best regards

Tom

[2021/0022/P](#)

We were opposed to the original application and this change would make the development worse than the one originally approved, so we object to this change.

If this building goes ahead, it will be highly visible in the local area and will be with us for many years to come. It is important to avoid using materials on the façade that will age badly. Changing the material of the façade from stone to GRC would be a downgrade in the quality of the building and would set a dangerous precedent for future developments of this type in the borough.

[2021/0025/P](#)

We were opposed to the original application and this change would make the development worse than the one originally approved, so we object to this change.

The inclusion of affordable housing units in the original application was a key part of the offer to the local community to make this development acceptable. There is a very high level of pressure on affordable housing within the borough, so for a large-scale development of this type it is essential that it plays a role in addressing that problem. This attempt by Essential Living to remove the affordable housing requirement is not acceptable. It is also an act of bad faith towards the local community, which has engaged closely with EL over the demolition and construction plans, despite being opposed to the scheme as a whole.