

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 13 February 2021 14:50  
**To:** McClue, Jonathan; Planning  
**Subject:** 100 Avenue Road, applications 2021/0025/P and 20021/0022/P

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Karin Fernald, 2 Daleham Mews, NW35DB

13.02.2021

Dear Jonathan McClue,

I wish to object most strongly to changes in the two applications above.

**Application 2021/0022/P**

Essential Living want to remove the affordable housing provision, which was the reason for the acceptance by the Secretary of State of this hugely unwelcome scheme.

With no housing provision, what use is it to Camden? What is more, is it not actually **illegal** under the section 106 Legal Agreement 2014? If so, might not its acceptance store up trouble for Camden in future? It was clear from the start that EL would renege on the affordable housing provision if they could; the Covid crisis has given them an excuse to make fools of Camden and materially damage the area. Especially as the building is now set to be an unmitigated eyesore with nothing to recommend it.

**Application 20021/0022/P**

They now want to use glass reinforced concrete, not Portland stone, because it is cheaper. 24 storeys of grey concrete will look thoroughly depressing. These alterations render the proposal nothing less than vicious. Furthermore, much of the carefully designed Open Space will come under the shadow of this monstrosity. It is a terrible, terrible idea. Please, please reject it, together with their laughably entitled Open Space Improvement Project.

I sincerely believe that Camden Council wants to do their best for this area, not to destroy it.

Yours faithfully,

Karin Fernald  
[REDACTED]