

10. 02. 21

To: Adam Greenhalgh
Planning Services
London Borough of Camden
5 Pancras Square
London N1C 4AG

re: Application 2021/0074/P 49 Leverton Street NW5 2PE

Dear Adam Greenhalgh

I live in Leverton Street and I strongly object to this application for a mansard roof extension, including raised party wall and chimney on existing roof, for the following reasons:

The proposed mansard roof extension would break the continuous roofline of this long row of houses. The applicant argues that there are mansard roofs on the opposite row. But that is not a reason to ruin roofline of this terrace and the symmetry of the four corner buildings on the crossing. He also argues that the corner roofline is higher than the rest of the terrace. That is because three of the corner buildings on this crossing were shops (one is still a shop), and the fourth was and is a pub, and so were designed to stand out from the other buildings. It's an example of good Victorian street design and it should not be spoiled by an inappropriate mansard roof on one corner.

I object to the mansard roof that will look quite wrong on top of this building, as will the raised chimney and party wall. These will be very visible from the street. I also object to the loss of the valley roof.

The drawings supplied are insufficient because they are drawn without context. I am asking for more visual details to be supplied about the new windows. From the drawings one cannot see exactly how they will look. Will those on the front of the house be an exact replica of what is there now? This is a very poor presentation.

We are asking you to refuse this application as it stands.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter, publish it online, and keep me informed about the progress of this application.

Yours Sincerely

Caroline Hill

