From: Hope, Obote

Sent: 08 February 2021 07:50

To: Planning

Subject: FW: 2020/5906/P - Flat 2 68 Fellows Road London NW3 3LJ

Importance: High



Obote Hope Planner Regeneration and Planning

The majority of Council staff are now working at home through remote, secure access to our systems.

Where possible please now communicate with us by telephone or email. We have limited staff in our offices to deal with post, but as most staff are homeworking due to the current situation with COVID-19, electronic communications will mean we can respond quickly.

From: Christine Hereward

Sent: 07 February 2021 17:32

To: Hope, Obote

Subject: RE: 2020/5906/P - Flat 2 68 Fellows Road London NW3 3LJ

Importance: High

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Obote,

I have now corrected the typos in my email of yesterday, as below.

Would you please use this updated version, and delete the other emails sent to you yesterday/today by me or my client (Dr Silverthorne) about this application.

Regards,

Christine Hereward

From: Christine Hereward
Sent: 06 February 2021 23:44

To:

Cc: Diane Silverthorne ◀

Subject: 2020/5906/P - Flat 2 68 Fellows Road London NW3 3LJ

Dear Obote Hope,

I have been consulted by Dr Silverthorne boundary with this application site.

As Dr Silverthorne had explained, both the application drawings and agent's cover letter are inaccurate and misleading as regards the location/addresses of adjoining properties.

Please find attached the Land Registry plan for 22 Merton Rise, showing no. 22 edged red. As you will note, given the proposed siting of this outbuilding it is 22 Merton Rise that would be more affected than any other property.

Even the cover letter to the application acknowledges that

"The full height glazing will allow for views from the recreational outbuilding into the rest of the northern part of the garden and towards the western boundary."

Furthermore, the whole plot of 22 Merton Rise is lower than the application site.

The cover letter to the application also has confusing mentions of blinds (we are puzzled as to who they could have been discussed with). As you will appreciate, blinds are no safeguard for the privacy (and preventing overlooking) of neighbours; an occupier of the flat could simply choose to remove blinds from their outbuilding (or not to install any, or to keep them open).

The provision of screening with mature planting (within the application site an running along the boundary with 22 Merton Rise is on their side of the wall) should surely be part of the proposals. Will you be asking the applicant to add such details? There is also the matter of light pollution, and measures to address such impacts.

If the proposed siting of this outbuilding remains that it would look west-wards, into 22 Merton Rise, then a site visit which includes at least the garden of no. 22 Merton Rise appears necessary in order to understand how no. 22 would be affected.

I understand that the application is being updated - hopefully that will include correction of factual errors in the cover letter and substitute drawings. I trust that will be uploaded to the website.

Regards,

Christine Hereward

Hereward & Co, solicitors Planning Matters

www.hereward-solicitors.com

Authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority, SRA Number 644442