

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 03 February 2021 19:27  
**To:** McClue, Jonathan  
**Cc:** Planning  
**Subject:** Application 2021/0022/P - 100 Avenue Road

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Mr. McClue,

I object to this application by Essential Living to replace the approved brickwork with cheaper - and cheaper-looking Portland concrete brick cladding.

This concrete bricks together with the the proposed black metal railings on all the balconies to replace laminated glass would make the 100 Road carbuncle look even uglier and more like a giant warehouse.

Camden has already allowed EL to change many of their original plans, the most egregious of which was granting permission for removal of one stairwell as well as two fire exits.

Please do not kow-tow to anymore of EL's money-saving proposals.  
You are not a charitable institution for big off-shore developers pleading poverty.

Kind regards,

Kitty Balint  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** McClue, Jonathan  
**Sent:** 08 February 2021 08:47  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: 100 AVENUE ROAD NW3

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Corman, Charles [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 07 February 2021 21:41  
**To:** McClue, Jonathan [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Janine Sachs [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** 100 AVENUE ROAD NW3

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

I OBJECT TO THE NEW PROPOSALS .

They are bad for the environment and to the residents and will cause even more congestion

**Charles Corman**

[REDACTED]

---

This e-mail is from Dechert LLP, a law firm, and may contain information that is confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments, and notify the sender. Dechert LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England & Wales (Registered No. OC306029) and is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. A list of names of the members of Dechert LLP (who are solicitors or registered foreign lawyers) is available for inspection at its registered office, 160 Queen Victoria Street, London EC4V 4QQ.

**Young, Tony**

---

**From:** McClue, Jonathan  
**Sent:** 09 February 2021 14:53  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: Applications 2021/0025/P and 2021/0022/P - 100 Avenue Rd

Please upload to M3 and HPE/Trim under both references

---

**From:** Jenny Stevens <Jenny@jennystevens.net>  
**Sent:** 09 February 2021 09:13  
**To:** McClue, Jonathan <Jonathan.McClue@camden.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Applications 2021/0025/P and 2021/0022/P - 100 Avenue Rd

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Mr McClue

This is to lodge my objections to the above applications. It is a common ruse of developers to get planning permission and then to cry poverty in order to get the Council to remove the requirement for affordable housing. This is not tolerable and should be rejected. Also the site is such a prominent one that any inferior cladding will only worsen the streetscape instead of benefitting. Both applications should be refused. If the developers dislike this they can either sell on the site or plan a more modest development instead.

Best wishes  
(Mrs) J .M Stevens

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Gillian Cook [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 09 February 2021 10:34  
**To:** McClue, Jonathan  
**Cc:** Planning  
**Subject:** Application 2021/0022/P

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

To Jonathan McClue

Re. 100 Avenue Road

I am writing to object to the above application to replace Portland Stone cladding on the building with a less expensive drab-looking reinforced concrete.

The existence of this development is already going to negatively effect what has been, until recently, a delightful area for the whole community. The building is going to overshadow this open space and so if the problem is one of finance the developers should consider reducing its size rather than reducing its quality. This would have the added benefit of reducing its oppressive looming presence.

Yours sincerely

Gillian Deane.

Sent from my iPad