From: McClue, Jonathan
Sent: 08 February 2021 08:47

To: Planning

Subject: FW: 100 AVENUE ROAD NW3

From: Corman, Charles
Sent: 07 February 2021 21:41

To: McClue, Jonathan

Cc: Janine Sachs

Subject: 100 AVENUE ROAD NW3

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

I OBJECT TO THE NEW PROPOSALS.

They are bad for the environment and to the residents and will cause even more congestion

Charles Corman

This e-mail is from Dechert LLP, a law firm, and may contain information that is confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments, and notify the sender. Dechert LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England & Wales (Registered No. OC306029) and is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. A list of names of the members of Dechert LLP (who are solicitors or registered foreign lawyers) is available for inspection at its registered office, 160 Queen Victoria Street, London EC4V 4QQ.

From: Gillian Cook

Sent: 09 February 2021 10:36

To: Planning

Subject: Fwd: Application 2021/0025/P

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Gillian Cook

Date: 9 February 2021 at 10:22:03 GMT

To:

Subject: Application 2021/0025/P

Dear Jonathan McClue

Re. 100 Avenue Road

I am writing because I want to object strongly about the proposal to re-write the S/06 legal agreement regarding the replacement of 36 affordable rental units with private rental units. It is outrageous that this should even be considered (although those of us who fought the original proposals predicted exactly this happening).

The number 36 is already too few. If the company is running out of money they should reduce the size of the block.

Yours sincerely

Gillian Deane

Sent from my iPad

From: McClue, Jonathan
Sent: 09 February 2021 14:53

To: Planning

Subject: FW: Applications 2021/0025/P and 2021/0022/P - 100 Avenue Rd

From: Jenny Stevens

Sent: 09 February 2021 09:13

To: McClue, Jonathan

Subject: Applications 2021/0025/P and 2021/0022/P - 100 Avenue Rd

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Mr Mcclue

This is to lodge my objections to the above applications. It is a common ruse of developers to get planning permission and then to cry poverty in order to get the Council to remove the requirement for affordable housing. This is not tolerable and should be rejected. Also the site is such a prominent one that any inferior cladding will only worsen the streetscape instead of benefitting. Both applications should be refused. If the developers dislike this they can either sell on the site or plan a more modest development instead.

Best wishes (Mrs) J .M Stevens