

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0206/T	Laura Garcia-Miller	03/02/2021 17:38:40	OBJ	I object to the felling of this beautiful old tree. Now more than ever we need the balance and respect of nature. Please do not allow it. There is no good reason for it.
2021/0206/T	Ibby Alcraft	03/02/2021 17:38:12	OBJ	I object to this tree being felled
2021/0206/T	Mr S Fairburn	03/02/2021 15:42:12	OBJ	I object to this tree being felled. It is clearly a veteran and deemed worthy of a TPO. The damage to the wall is unfortunate but this is clearly old and of single skin brick construction so deep footings are not required. A simple wall or better a fence, built with space for root growth which straddles the tree's position might be a good option. Indeed if the tree were felled, as the roots decay and the ground sinks, this could in turn impact on any new wall. While damage to the wall has been clearly recorded, the crown size of the tree and its relationship with its environment, neighbours amenity (such as privacy and verdant outlook) would have been useful to see.
2021/0206/T	Stanley Burnton	03/02/2021 14:45:45	COMMNT	This tree is the subject of a TPO. No good reason has been shown for its removal.
2021/0206/T	Leanne Bassett	03/02/2021 12:54:41	OBJ	I oppose to felling this tree
2021/0206/T	Laura Arribas	03/02/2021 12:29:31	OBJ	I object to this tree being cut down. I understand other solutions for the garden wall are being considered and are feasible without having to cut this tree down. This tree should not be sacrificed for the sake of having to think and spend money on a solution. Now more than ever we should prioritise nature and understand trees' critical role in the surrounding ecosystem and their ecological value. Please reconsider this application.
2021/0206/T	Andy Fassett	30/01/2021 07:54:35	OBJ	May I firstly agree entirely with the comments of Henry Freeman A Chartered Building Surveyor has inspected the wall in question and confirmed that the tree in question which has a TPO registered has caused the wall to fail. There is no evidence that the tree is dangerous or is diseased. If it is diseased then a suitable qualified report is required, at every past attempt to have this tree felled no such report has been commissioned, the tree is not dangerous nor diseased. John Tims to his credit has accepted full responsibility for the damage caused and the wall will shortly be taken down. A structural engineers report will be obtained by John Tims giving a design for a rebuilt wall taking into account of the tree. The tree could then have its canopy reduced by 30% by Chiswick Trees with suitable permissions.
2021/0206/T	ms davies	04/02/2021 21:05:02	OBJ	Trees should not be cut down especially ones that are as old as this one . We desperately need more not less trees. Although it is plain to see the damage to the wall I think there are ways to rebuild the wall so it is safe without felling the tree. Also, this wall has a TPO and this should not be recinded.
2021/0206/T	Clare Rose	03/02/2021 12:12:49	OBJ	I object to this application. The planet is facing a climate emergency, and cutting down established trees is damaging to air quality (extremely poor in London) and the wider environment.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0206/T	Dr Szostek	03/02/2021 12:12:30	OBJ	<p>I oppose any tree in the country and especially my neighbourhood that has a protection order on it from being cut down.</p> <p>If information about the TPC was indeed omitted from this application than I ask it be thrown out for being a false representation and the applicant warned that this is not acceptable.</p>
2021/0206/T	Bernard Walsh	04/02/2021 11:55:05	OBJ	<p>We have. Lime Tree in our garden next to a wall. It is protected and builders constructed something to guard against any future damage whilst replacing the damaged wall. This is not an uncommon problem.</p>
2021/0206/T	Bernard Walsh	04/02/2021 11:55:03	OBJ	<p>We have. Lime Tree in our garden next to a wall. It is protected and builders constructed something to guard against any future damage whilst replacing the damaged wall. This is not an uncommon problem.</p>
2021/0206/T	Ralph Simon	04/02/2021 12:12:33	PETITNOBJ E	<p>Dear Camden Council</p> <p>I strongly object to this 150 year old tree being destroyed. Please do NOT conduct the works in the application. This will be a considerable ecological & environmental loss for the neighbourhood and deprive the immediate area of the carbon covering that the tree of this nature provides.</p>
2021/0206/T	Jeanne Golay-Evans	04/02/2021 16:01:07	INT	<p>I object to the proposal to fell the lime tree subject to this planning application. The reasons are (1) The wall will need to be re-built in any case, whether or not the tree is felled. Since the wall has to be re-built, it can be re-built taking the tree's existence into consideration, and the tree does not need to be felled. (2) From the pictures available, the extent to which the tree affects the wall is unclear. The wall may have collapsed even without the tree. In any case, as the wall needs re-building, we are back to the first reason for not felling the tree. (3) Trees are a precious resource for the local area, London as a whole, where they help reduce air pollution in particular, and the world, where they contribute to limiting climate change. Trees should not be felled without an absolutely imperative reason and the case is not made here.</p>
2021/0206/T	Inesa Nikitina	04/02/2021 16:33:08	COMMNT	<p>Objection to the planing application! We need to save this tree please ! We are short of trees we need to help the environment ! Please, I object to cut this tree! Let's save the world by planting trees not cutting them. Thank you</p>
2021/0206/T	Gwyneth Ramsay-Connell	04/02/2021 07:50:59	OBJ	<p>Object- as the tree is 130 years old it can sequester far more carbon the new trees. It also provides habitat to wildlife.</p>
2021/0206/T	rachel	04/02/2021 07:24:09	COMMNT	<p>I would like to appeal against the plan to chop down this old tree. We need to be keeping existing old trees to absorb carbon and reduce emissions from nearby traffic. We should be protecting our well established natural resources ad not destroying them. There are ways to build fences around existing trees so they do Not have to be cut down and destroyed. This is a lazy and destructive approach to the problem and I urge it to be reconsidered.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0206/T	Joe	04/02/2021 09:48:59	OBJ	I object to this tree being felled to ground level.
2021/0206/T	Hanna	04/02/2021 01:21:26	PETITNOBJ E	I am against felling trees, esp. healthy old trees that took over 100 years to grow just because they are not convenient. We cannot replace this until another 100 years. Trees make our residential road look nicer and help improve the air quality. Fences and walls can be build around it.
2021/0206/T	Lina	03/02/2021 19:57:15	OBJ	Please don't cut down this beautiful tree! The presence of nature monuments like this makes the difference in our days. Thank you!
2021/0206/T	Vicky Read	03/02/2021 18:54:15	COMMNT	Dont cut down such a beautiful old tree!!!! We must save the trees they are vital and so important
2021/0206/T	Monish Sahni	03/02/2021 18:27:02	OBJ	I object to the felling of this tree. This is a 130 year old tree. Surely another solution can be found for the boundary wall instead of destroying a thing that has survived so long and adds to the character of the area. It's also amazing the council has overlooked the incorrect information in this application. The tree is under a TPO for good reason.
2021/0206/T	Liliana Rojas	04/02/2021 07:14:36	APP	I am against this as this tree is 130 years old it is part of history and we should be Protecting the environment not cutting it down , trees provide shelter for birds nesting and are part of the landscape that provides oxygebe There is no reason other than ego to cut down this tree Please save the tree plz reconsider ..we are not here to just do what we want we need to respect the land or there will be no land in the future
2021/0206/T	Holly Butcher	03/02/2021 14:08:09	OBJ	Please do not cut down this tree, which at 130-years-old, is both perfectly healthy and an asset to our community, ecology and local heritage. If action needs to be taken, a simpler and more appropriate solution can be found by replacing the garden wall, which would cause much less stress or upset to neighbours and surrounding nature.
2021/0206/T	Yana Lavrik	03/02/2021 23:21:00	OBJ	I object to this tree being felled. We need to safe it, as it is a part of a green ecosystem, it absorbs carbon dioxide, slows water runoff during so many rain days. It is simply beautiful. There is absolutely no need to remove healthy and beautiful trees.
2021/0206/T	Julia Shapiro	03/02/2021 23:18:06	OBJ	We are in a climate emergency. Every one of us has a responsibility to protect mature trees in the urban environment. You would need to plant hundreds of saplings to equal the carbon uptake of a tree such as this one, which is over 100 years old. A large, mature tree provides important habitat for wildlife (birds, squirrels, insects) and from the comments here, it is clear that this tree has great amenity value to the local residents of Adamson Road, Eton Avenue and visitors to the area, including those who frequent the Hampstead Theatre and Farmers Market. I understand that the owner is concerned about a boundary wall. However, I urge the Council to take responsibility for preserving mature trees under its jurisdiction by supporting this resident in exploring every possible alternative technical solution to destroying an irreplaceable asset to the local environment.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0206/T	Maria	03/02/2021 19:00:51	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to a mature tree being felled unless it is causing a clear danger. If it does, the owners should commission detailed independent report on this and enclose it with this application. Once Independent report confirms what the situation is with the tree and said damage to the boundary wall, and how long has this wall been like it is now, The council should also inspected the tree as well and submit any findings at the time of granting the TPO as well as any inspections since.</p> <p>I am Garden and Landscape designer by profession and occasionally have to deal with similar situations.</p> <p>From the photos attached to this application, the boundary wall looks like it was clearly left in disrepair for some time now and should have been kept repaired and rebuild on a regular basis. On the other hand, the residents affected (neighbouring properties) could ask to replace boundary brick wall with other suitable fencing that would allow the tree to be kept and maintained. It is responsibility of the owners of the property to maintain the fabric of the buildings as well as boundaries and any trees that have Tree preservation order (and this one does I believe, TPO C 1205 2019) or are in Conservation area. I could not find any applications on the portal asking to attend to maintenance of the said tree, apart for request to take tree down.</p>
2021/0206/T	Reiner Tegtmeier	03/02/2021 12:23:04	OBJ	<p>The felling of any old-growth tree must be avoided in order to</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> sustain the biodiversity of which a single tree is part or center, and maintain the level of carbon sequestration the tree provides. <p>The replacement with saplings or whips, even more than one, cannot replace the damage to both done.</p> <p>Planning of any new, or modifying development must secure the preservation of existing green spaces and parts thereof, i.e. be integrated into it, but by no means not replace it.</p>
2021/0206/T	Kate Marston	03/02/2021 14:06:22	OBJ	<p>This tree is already protected a TPO (please see 2020/0915/T). The applicant is fully aware that this tree has a TPO, and he has provided incorrect information in Section 4 in his application form. As a member of the public I can view this tree from the street</p> <p>Protecting mature trees like this one is a key part of Camden's policy to protect our local environment and to reduce our carbon. It is a vital part of the character of the conservation area</p> <p>The tree is 130 years old and according to an independent tree surgeons who has inspected this tree, is not diseased or dangerous.</p> <p>The pictures of the wall show it has obviously not been maintained by the residents to have deteriorated to such an extent.</p> <p>I understand the boundary garden wall between 58 Eton Avenue and 10 Adamson Rd is due to be replaced, and there are ongoing discussions as to what replacement is most suitable. There is no need to cut down this tree, and a replacement wall or fence can be built without having to fell this tree.</p>
2021/0206/T	D Pelk	03/02/2021 12:39:23	OBJ	<p>I have been advised that a) the damaged wall is due to be removed/replaced and b) a tree surgeon has examined this tree and found it to be sound. As this tree can be viewed from the street is an important public amenity which is particularly precious in the Covid pandemic for those who don't have easy access to a green environment. If anything needs to be removed as a matter of urgency, it is the wall. That does look dangerous. Removing the tree will not alter that.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0206/T	Patricia Nolan	04/02/2021 11:02:52	COMMNT	I strongly object to the felling of this ancient and beautiful tree. We should should treasure our trees and protect them from destruction. I shall view all potential councillors very carefully in the future!
2021/0206/T	Lynda Farrell	03/02/2021 20:38:03	OBJ	Please don't cut down this beautiful old tree. We need to keep as many as possible.
2021/0206/T	Steven Edwards	03/02/2021 16:04:35	OBJ	<p>I object in the strongest terms to the removal of this tree.</p> <p>We have a climate & ecological crisis, which makes every mature tree of utmost importance, in addition to the benefits to people's well being and home to wildlife they provide.</p> <p>It is unbelievable trees have so little protection and that people can disregard their value and worth.</p>
2021/0206/T	Mike Clark	04/02/2021 08:50:25	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this tree being felled. If this tree doesn't has a TPO on it, it needs one. If it has a TPO on it then why is the applicant wasting everyone's time?</p> <p>The tree is very much as asset to the broader community and as such must be respected.</p> <p>The 130 year old tree has been here much longer than the owner of the tree.</p> <p>Think about that Camden Planning department. Far too many trees are cut down in the borough of Camden. It is shameful.</p>
2021/0206/T	Natalie Whittle	03/02/2021 19:52:48	COMMNT	It would be a travesty to cut down this tree. It is 130 years old and should be protected not felled. I strongly object to this.
2021/0206/T	Graham Cox	03/02/2021 12:02:06	OBJ	I strongly object to the felling of this tree which I often pass on my way to work. We have a Climate Emergency, declared by Parliament in April 2019. We must stop needlessly destroying nature of which this is a prime example.
2021/0206/T	Ali Khan	03/02/2021 11:51:55	OBJ	<p>I object to the felling of this tree. Residents and locals, and other stakeholders, had previously stated their objections through due process, in good faith, and the application to fell had been halted as a result.</p> <p>This new application has not been filed through the same good faith, nor with a respect for due process that facilitates due consideration towards the wellbeing of all those who benefit from the presence of the tree.</p> <p>The intention of this objection is to ensure that minimal burden is encountered by all stakeholders involved, and that an amicable solution is found - which was materially in the process of being found prior to this application being filed.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0206/T	Andy Fassett	29/01/2021 15:59:50	OBJ	<p>May I firstly agree entirely with the comments of Henry Freeman</p> <p>A Chartered Building Surveyor has inspected the wall in question and confirmed that the tree in question which has a TPO registered has caused the wall to fail. There is no evidence that the tree is dangerous or is diseased. If it is diseased then a suitable qualified report is required, at every past attempt to have this tree felled no such report has been commissioned, the tree is not dangerous nor diseased.</p> <p>John Timms to his credit has accepted full responsibility for the damage caused and the wall will shortly be taken down. A structural engineers report will be obtained by John Tims giving a design for a rebuilt wall taking into account of the tree. The tree could then have its canopy reduced by 30% by Chiswick Trees with suitable permissions.</p>
2021/0206/T	Marlon Grahame	03/02/2021 17:34:48	COMMNT	I object to this tree being felled
2021/0206/T	Asma Bibi	04/02/2021 20:59:31	COMMNT	<p>Please do not cut down the tree at 10 Adamson Road. It is essential for the environment and biodiversity and after having survived 130 years, should not be cut down at the whim of a couple of individuals.</p> <p>London does not have enough trees and green spaces.</p> <p>Please leave the tree in situ, so it can be enjoyed by people and nature.</p>
2021/0206/T	Caroline Jones	04/02/2021 14:01:31	OBJ	I object to this 130 year old tree, which can clearly be seen from the street, being felled. I understand it is subject to a tree preservation order which should be respected. Mature trees such as this one are hugely beneficial to the environment and should be retained at all costs.
2021/0206/T	B Cunliffe	04/02/2021 22:14:35	PETITNOBJ E	This is an old and valuable tree (130 years old) and a part of the landscape. Trees help with nature in so many ways such as improving air quality, pollination, environment and an asset to the community. Too many trees are felled in Camden for another greedy builder, using as much of the land for housing, disregarding the value of our urban landscape. What about our city climate crisis. Walls can be moved but trees take years to get established.
2021/0206/T	Jeanne Golay-Evans	04/02/2021 18:42:05	OBJ	I object to the proposal to fell the lime tree subject to this planning application. The reasons are (1) The wall will need to be re-built in any case, whether or not the tree is felled. Since the wall has to be re-built, it can be re-built taking the tree's existence into consideration, and the tree does not need to be felled. (2) From the pictures available, the extent to which the tree affects the wall is unclear. The wall may have collapsed even without the tree. In any case, as the wall needs re-building, we are back to the first reason for not felling the tree. (3) Trees are a precious resource for the local area, London as a whole, where they help reduce air pollution in particular, and the world, where they contribute to limiting climate change. Trees should not be felled without an absolutely imperative reason and the case is not made here.
2021/0206/T	eva	03/02/2021 16:14:53	OBJ	<p>This is a beautiful 130 years old tree, it should be protected.</p> <p>Mature trees capture way more CO2 than young trees, loosing a tree of 130 years old is a catastrophe.</p>
2021/0206/T	Jordan Cartwright	03/02/2021 11:46:05	OBJ	I object - it is a beautiful, old tree seen from the road - we need to protect it and the animals it sustains.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0206/T	RM Mackrodt	04/02/2021 13:43:15	OBJ	<p>I object to the removal of this 130 year old tree. From a construction POV there is no reason why the wall cannot be rebuilt to accommodate. The wall clearly need to be rebuilt from looking at the photos - so can be rebuilt to take the tree into account.</p> <p>Lime leaves are eaten by the caterpillars of many moth species, including the lime hawk, peppered, vapourer, triangle and scarce hook-tip moths. They are very attractive to aphids, providing a source of food for their predators, including hoverflies, ladybirds and many species of bird. Bees also drink the aphid honeydew deposited on the leaves. The flowers provide nectar and pollen for insects, particularly bees.</p> <p>Long-lived trees provide dead wood for wood-boring beetles, and nesting holes for birds. Trees take up approx 10 gallons per 1 inch (2.5 cm) of trunk diameter per week. Therefore as well as their benefit to carbon dioxide absorption and support for wildlife, they are also essential in helping to combat extreme rain events. The water this tree takes up is going to go somewhere. I also see that the application states that this tree is not covered by a TPO but my research indicates that it is. The application is therefore incorrect</p>
2021/0206/T	Dejana	04/02/2021 14:32:05	PETITNOBJ E	<p>Hereby submitting an OBJECTION to this application. Please save the tree. Best, Dejana</p>
2021/0206/T	Mary Marsh	04/02/2021 13:40:35	APP	<p>I object to the felling of the 130 year old lime tree. It is a landmark in the neighborhood and enjoyed by neighbours as well as all who pass by. I feel that removal of the tree will be the elimination of a historic aspect of the area which should be preserved for as long as possible. Thank you for your consideration.</p>
2021/0206/T	Celine Castelino	04/02/2021 09:37:56	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to the felling of this beautiful tree. It is around 130 years old and has been doing nothing but good to our environment. We need more trees to keep our air clean, provide shelter and sustenance to wild life and bring joy to our citizens. Camden's clean air initiatives mean little if the felling of mature trees is allowed. Please reject the application. Thank you.</p>
2021/0206/T	Britta Vetter	04/02/2021 09:36:58	OBJ	<p>I object to the planning application. The tree is covered by a TPO and should not be felled. I understand that the tree is 130 years old and every effort has to be made to protect it. It is a vital part of the character of the conservation area and can be seen from the surrounding streets.</p>
2021/0206/T	Guy Ogden	04/02/2021 08:41:41	COMMNT	<p>I strongly object to the proposal to remove this old tree.</p>
2021/0206/T	Sammy Lewis	04/02/2021 06:48:44	COMMNT	<p>Please do not fell this tree, we need to preserve trees as a benefit to the planet unless they pose an actual danger. The tree is 130 years old and is worthy of our protection.</p>
2021/0206/T	Karen Phillips	03/02/2021 23:42:59	COMMNT	<p>Unless this tree is posing a danger, I feel we should not allow a 130 year old treasure to be felled to the ground.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0206/T	Susie Talbot	03/02/2021 19:48:12	OBJ	Camden has declared a climate and ecological emergency. The priorities must be: maintain existing ecosystem and restore if damage occurs. There is no need to destroy this tree - trees are slow-growing and humans are innovative - the owner can certainly construct a new wall around it as necessary. Please do not agree to this tree being destroyed.
2021/0206/T	Max Oliver	03/02/2021 14:01:34	OBJ	I strongly object to this tree being felled. It is a magnificent specimen and an asset to the neighbourhood. The wall can easily be built to accommodate it. In the summer it is fully in leaf and shows no signs of disease.
2021/0206/T	Yolanda Fernandez	03/02/2021 20:26:13	COMMNT	I object to this tree being felled. I am sure than an alternative solution can be found without having to go for such radical and unnecessary action. We need more trees, not less!
2021/0206/T	Edward Davey	03/02/2021 11:58:36	OBJ	I object in the strongest possible terms to the felling of this tree, which is visible from the road and an amenity for the whole community. At a time when the climate crisis continues unabated, and London, particularly the area around Euston Road not far from this location, has some of the worst air quality in Europe, I find it unbelievable that an application to fell this beautiful tree has been placed yet again. It should be rejected for the same reasons it has been rejected twice before. There is no justification whatsoever for felling this tree, especially given the strength of community feeling on the topic.
2021/0206/T	Gary Calder	03/02/2021 17:32:47	OBJ	I object to this tree being felled
2021/0206/T	Karen	04/02/2021 08:31:31	COMMNT	Dear camden I thoroughly object to this tree being felled especially in the current ecological climate.
2021/0206/T	Margery Gretton	04/02/2021 08:14:10	COMMNT	I strongly object to this application. The tree enhances the neighborhood, provides habitat for wildlife and counters the heat island effect. It has lived for 150 years and should be allowed to live on for another 250 years, keeping this part of London greener and linking future generations to us and past generations.
2021/0206/T	Preston	03/02/2021 22:42:01	COMMNT	I admire this Beautiful Tree every time I walk past. To allow this Application to proceed would be contrary to everything any decent human being should believe in. It is pure greed. I urge the planning committee to refuse this disgraceful application Yours Sincerely Preston Heyman
2021/0206/T	Marianne jones	03/02/2021 22:18:09	OBJ	I strongly strongly object to this tree being cut down. It is crazy and really doesn't feel right knowing what we all know now to just go ahead and chop trees down where it isn't necessary. The wall is coming down anyway so there is no need and there is also a tree preservation order attached to it. Surely it's Common sense to try and leave as many trees standing as possible?? And if there really is a need to chop a tree down it should be you making a real clear cut case to present why. There is no reason anymore. Please leave the old tree alone. Thank you.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/0206/T	Carolina Ambida	03/02/2021 22:15:50	OBJ	I completely object to this tree being felled. Unacceptable. We're living in a climate emergency, we need to be planting more trees and creating green areas. Not killing the few ancient trees that exist. Camden please act responsibly and do the right thing. Do Not Fell this very old and precious tree.
2021/0206/T	Deborah Perlin	03/02/2021 19:05:16	OBJ	I object to the killing of this tree. A 130 year old tree should be preserved. We are in a climate emergency. Replacing it with more trees elsewhere will not preserve the carbon capture of this tree. Please consider future generations.
2021/0206/T	Rachel Baker	03/02/2021 17:40:28	OBJ	I object to this tree being felled
2021/0206/T	Zoe Miles	03/02/2021 17:39:13	COMMNT	I object to this tree being felled