
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 29 January 2021 14:30
To: Planning; johnathan.mcclue@camden.gov.uk
Subject: Re: 2021/0025/p

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

I was looking at the appeal judgement.

It is unfortunate that it says , in part>

that the Camden Site Allocations Local Development Document identifies the appeal site as being appropriate for the type of development proposed, noting the potential for taller buildings (IR316). 28. Overall, and for the reasons given in IR311-320, the Secretary of State agrees with the Inspector that the proposal meets the criteria of the Camden Site Allocations Policy and concludes, in agreement with the GLA and the Design Council, that it would be a well designed, attractive building that sits well within its town centre context.

Is it too much to expect Camden to have modified those designations in light of this carbuncle?

If not , why not?

Is it not too late to rewrite the plan?

I trust you will be going thru this judgement with a fine tooth-comb to see what can be salvaged?

Alan Fox

On Fri 29 Jan 2021 14:15:57, Alan Fox wrote:

I wish to object to this resubmission of ELs 100 Avenue Road development.

Camden was quite correct to reject this monstrosity. That it was approved on appeal was solely because of its social housing.

As they are now completely removing social / affordable housing component, that "excuse" is no longer valid.

This blot on the landscape should be refused.

Thank you

A M Fox

15 Makepeace Ave N6 6EL