# **GENERIC RISK ASSESSMENT**

Of

# **MAITLAND PARK**

For

# **PRICE & MYERS LLP**





## **CONTENTS**

## PAGE No.

Approva	Approval & Distribution Sheet			
Forewor	rd	ii		
1.	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1		
2.	SUMMARY OF REVIEW OF EXISTING CONTAMINATED LAND ASSESSMENTS	2-3		
3.	GROUND INVESTIGATION	4-5		
4.	LABORATORY CHEMICAL ANALYSIS	6		
5.	RESULTS OF SOIL ANALYSIS	7-8		
6.	GENERIC HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT	9-11		
7.	SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS	12-13		

# **FIGURES**

Figure 1	Site Location Plan
Figure 2	Approximate Exploratory Hole Location Plan

## **APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A	Exploratory Hole Logs
APPENDIX B	Results of Laboratory Chemical Analysis



# **APPROVAL & DISTRIBUTION SHEET**

PROJECT DETAILS	
CET LEAD NO.	351221
JOB NAME	Maitland Park
CLIENT	Price & Myers LLP
STATUS	Final
VERSION	VO

DISTRIBUTION						
Date:	Issued to:	Name:	No:			
February 2017	Price & Myers LLP	Rachel Betts	1			
February 2017	CET Infrastructure	File	1			

### **PREPARED BY:**

# Catherine Tame BSc MSc MIEnvSc Senior Environmental Scientist

Issued for and on behalf of CET Structures Ltd Northdown House Ashford Road Harrietsham Kent ME17 1QW

Tel: +44 (0) 1622 858545 Web: www.cet-uk.com

### APPROVED BY:

James Appleby BSc MSc CSci MIEnvSc Principal Environmental Scientist



## FOREWORD

This document has been prepared by CET Infrastructure with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the contract with the Client and within the limitations of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the Client. Any interpretation included herein is outside the scope of CET Infrastructure's UKAS accreditation.

This document is confidential to the Client and CET Infrastructure accepts no responsibility whatsoever to third parties to whom this document, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the document at their own risk.

This document shall not be used for engineering or contractual purposes unless signed above by the author and the approver for and on behalf of CET Infrastructure and unless the document status is 'Final'.

Unless specifically assigned or transferred within the terms of the agreement, the consultant asserts and retains all Copyright, and other intellectual Property Rights in and over the Report and its contents.



## 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

CET Infrastructure (CET) was instructed by Price and Myers, via email dated 6 January 2017, to undertake a Phase II Generic Risk Assessment (GRA) of the Maitland Park study site located at Maitland Villas, Camden, London, NW3 2HG. It is understood that the site is to be redeveloped into three multi storey residential apartment blocks with associated access and communal landscaped areas.



### 2. SUMMARY OF REVIEW OF EXISTING CONTAMINATED LAND ASSESSMENTS

CET carried out a review dated January 2017, of the existing reports by Ramboll (Geotechnical and Environmental Desk Study January 2013 and Contaminated Land letter report May 2014). The assessments included a desk study, limited site investigation and risk assessment. The site investigation comprised three cable percussion boreholes to a maximum depth of 35m below ground level (bgl), two of which were installed with monitoring wells. Five nominal soil samples and two groundwater samples were recovered for analysis. In addition, several rounds of ground gas monitoring were undertaken.

The Ramboll report concluded that potential sources of ground contamination identified at the site included vanadium in the natural soils and heavy metals and PAHs in the Made Ground. In addition the recorded concentrations of TPH were judged to have the potential to impact buried water supply pipes. The concentrations of the determinands in the groundwater samples recovered for testing were low and were not considered to pose a significant risk to controlled waters. With regards to ground gas a Characteristic Situation of CS1 was calculated from the gas data and as a result no specific ground gas protection measures were recommended by Ramboll.

Ramboll's updated conceptual site model recorded moderate risks from ground gas, which may require mitigation measures. Risks to end users, ground workers and buried services were assessed as moderate from the presence of elevated concentrations of heavy metals, PAHs and TPH. The risks to end users and groundworkers from elevated concentrations of vanadium were also assessed as moderate. The report recommended that further investigation would be required due to the three locations that were cancelled as a result of access issues. It was also recommended that further investigations should target the electrical substation.

CETs review of the reports indicated that the results were analysed against the soil guideline values (SGVs), and as these have now been superseded the results of the results of the chemical analysis were compared against available Suitable 4 Use Levels (S4ULs) and Category 4 Screening Levels (C4SLs). One concentration of lead in the Made Ground was recorded in excess of the corresponding S4UL and potentially significant concentrations of PAHs in excess of the corresponding S4ULs were detected in the two samples of Made Ground. However, none of the petroleum hydrocarbon compounds detected by the analysis exceeded the corresponding S4ULs.

CET concurred that based upon the findings of the supplied reports further sampling at the study site was required to increase the dataset and gain a better understanding of the spatial extent of the identified contamination with respect to human health. It was recommended the future investigation works should focus on the Made Ground and shallow soils that future site users and construction workers are most likely to



come into contact with. As the site is underlain by the London Clay Formation, Ramboll did not identify any sensitive water receptors within the vicinity of the site and no significant concentrations of determinands were recorded in the groundwater samples tested. It is therefore considered the risks to controlled waters are negligible and as such no further ground water monitoring was considered necessary.

It was not understood why Ramboll considered there to be a moderate risk from ground gases based on the results to date. The site has been classified as a Characteristic Situation 1 by Ramboll, for which no specific ground gas protection measures are considered necessary.



### 3. GROUND INVESTIGATION

Attention is drawn to the fact that whilst every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the data supplied and any analysis derived from it, there is a potential for variations in ground conditions and contamination between and beyond the specific locations investigated. No liability can be accepted for any such variations. Furthermore, any recommendations are specific to the client requirements and no liability will be accepted should these be used by third parties without prior consultation with CET.

The investigation commenced on the 23 January 2017 with the formation five window sample boreholes to a maximum depth of 5.0m bgl. In addition, eight hand dug trial pits, some extended by hand auger, were excavated to depths of up to 2.0m bgl.

The window sample boreholes and hand dug trial pits were situated to allow good spatial coverage across the site as the main source of contamination was considered to be the Made Ground. Additionally WS02 specifically targeted the electrical sub-station located on the southern boundary of the site.

Asphalt and concrete were present in WS05, TP03, TP04 and TP06 to a maximum depth of 0.16m bgl. From ground level at all other locations and underlying the concrete and asphalt, was Made Ground that was proven to a maximum depth of 2.0m bgl. The Made Ground comprised variable layers of brown/yellow/grey/black gravelly clay/sand and sandy gravel/cobbles. The gravels and cobbles were generally described as flint, red brick, clinker, concrete, asphalt, glass, shell, chalk, slate and wood. The Made Ground encountered in TP03 and TP04 was noted to be ashy.

Other than the anthropogenic materials noted above, no visual or olfactory evidence of contamination such as oily or odorous soils were observed within the Made Ground. Furthermore, the Made Ground did not contain significant amounts organic matter that could degrade and generate ground gases.

Beneath the Made Ground deposits of brown and blue/grey mottled clay considered to be representative of the weathered London Clay Formation. These deposits were proved to a maximum depth of 5.0m bgl.

Groundwater was not encountered in any of the locations during the field work.

WS01 and WS04 were installed with monitoring wells up to depths of 1.4m bgl. The installations comprised of 1.0m of slotted pipe within a gravel pack and 0.4m of plain pipe with a bentonite seal. The installations were finished with a gas tap and lockable flush cover.



An approximate exploratory hole location plan is attached as Figure 2. Detailed exploratory hole logs are attached in full as Appendix A.



### 4. LABORATORY CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

In order to test the pollutant linkages identified by during the earlier phase of investigation undertaken by Ramboll, and assess whether the soils beneath the site could pose a significant risk to human and environmental receptors, twelve samples of Made Ground were selected for chemical analysis. The samples were placed in laboratory prepared vessels with a minimum of headspace and labelled accordingly prior to being despatched to accredited analytical laboratory in cool boxes.

The suite of analysis was selected with reference to the findings of the PRA and on site observations and included the following determinands:

- A suite of metals comprising As, Cu, Cd, Cr, CrVI, Hg, Pb, Ni, Se, V and Zn;
- Speciated poly aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs);
- Total petroleum hydrocarbons (CWG method);
- BTEX;
- Free cyanide;
- Phenols (total monohydric);
- Asbestos (identification only);
- pH; and
- Total Organic Carbon (TOC).

In addition one sample located near the electrical sub-station, recovered from WS02 at 0.5m, was tested for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs).

The results for this site are attached in full as laboratory reports 630102-1 included in Appendix B.



### 5. RESULTS OF SOIL ANALYSIS

The pH of the twelve tested soils ranged from 7.5 to 9.8 with an average of 8.3. The TOC content of the same twelve tested samples ranged from 0.2% to 3.9% with an average of 1.9%, which equates to a mean soil organic matter (SOM) concentration of 3.3%.

A summary of the metal concentrations recorded in the tested samples is presented in the below table:

Contaminant	Number of Samples Analysed	Range of Measured Concentration (mg/kg)	Location of Maximum Concentration and Depth bgl
Arsenic	12	13-30	WS02 @ 0.5m and TP09 @ 0.5m
Cadmium	12	<0.1-0.7	WS02 @ 0.5m and TP09 @ 0.5m
Chromium	12	27-44	TP04 @ 0.5m
Chromium VI	12	<1	-
Lead	12	22-8100	TP09 @ 0.5m
Mercury	12	<1.0-1.4	WS03 @ 0.5m
Nickel	12	18-42	TP04 @ 0.5m
Copper	12	22-200	WS02 @ 0.5m
Zinc	12	59-1100	TP09 @ 0.5m
Selenium	12	<3	-
Vanadium	12	46-76	TP04 @ 0.5m

Recordable concentrations of the sixteen PAH compounds analysed were encountered in ten of the twelve tested samples, with total concentrations ranging from 0.2mg/kg to 31mg/kg (TP05 at 0.5m). Eight of these samples also contained recordable concentrations of the PAH compound benzo(a)pyrene, with concentrations ranging from 0.1mg/kg to 2.3mg/kg (TP05 at 0.5m).

Asbestos was not identified in any of the tested samples.

Free cyanide was not detected any of the twelve soil samples tested by the laboratory. Monohydric phenols were detected in WS02 at 0.5m only at a concentration of 1mg/kg.

Petroleum hydrocarbons were encountered in nine of the tested samples with total concentrations ranging from 0.09mg/kg to 91mg/kg (TP05 at 0.5m). The majority of the hydrocarbon compounds encountered were noted to be from the heavier C16 to C35 diesel and mineral oil carbon ranges.

No PCBs were detected in the sample recovered from adjacent to the substation.



BTEX compounds were detected in the samples recovered from WS03 at 0.5m, TP03 at 0.5m and TP07 at 0.7m. However, the recorded concentrations were relatively low, ranging from 0.001mg/kg to 0.002mg/kg.



### 6. GENERIC HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT

#### **Generic Risk Assessment**

In order to provide an indication of whether the soils present beneath the study area could pose a risk to human health, CET subjected the aforementioned chemical data to a Generic Risk Assessment (GRA). The initial screen of the chemical data was made against available Suitable 4 Use Levels (S4ULs) developed by LQM/CIEH (2015) and Category 4 Screening Levels (C4SLs) as developed by DEFRA (2014). Exceedances of assessment criteria may require further detailed/semi detailed quantitative risk assessment.

For the purposes of this assessment S4ULs selected to perform an initial screen of the chemical data reflected a 'residential end use without home grown produce' (RwoHP). In this instance the most sensitive potential receptor is judged to be a female child between the age of 0 and 6 years. The consumption of contaminants via home grown fruit and vegetables has not been considered as an applicable pathway.

		Key sta	tistics	S4UL* (RwoHP)		
Contaminant	Number of detects	Min. Value (mg/kg)	Max. Value (mg/kg)	Mean Value (mg/kg)	S4UL (mg/kg)	No. Samples exceeding assessment criteria
Arsenic	12	13	30	18.7	40	0
Cadmium	8	0.2	0.7	0.4	85	0
Chromium III	12	27	44	35.0	910	0
Lead <sup>#</sup>	12	22	8100	974.6	310	4
Nickel	12	18	42	27.8	180	0
Mercury	4	1.1	1.4	1.3	56	0
Copper	12	22	200	55.5	7100	0
Vanadium	12	46	76	62.7	1200	0
Zinc	12	59	1100	257.3	40 000	0
Notes to Table						
*	Most appropriate screening values are Sustainable 4 Use Level (S4UL) for a residential end use without home grown produce, a sandy loam soil type, pH of 7 and a soil organic matter (SOM) of 6%.					
#	Category 4 Screening Level (2014) used in absence of suitable S4UL.					

A comparison of the recorded concentrations of metals with the corresponding S4ULs is presented in the following table:

As the above table indicates, with the exception of lead, the concentrations of metals recorded by the analysis did not exceed the respective threshold criteria. However, four concentrations of lead in the Made Ground were recorded in excess of the corresponding S4UL and are therefore judged to have the potential to



pose a significant risk to future site users via the direct contact, ingestion and dust inhalation exposure pathways.

A summary of the PAH compounds recorded by the analysis are included in the following table:

		Key stat	tistics	S4UL* (RwoHP)		
Contaminant	Number of detects	Min. Value (mg/kg)	Max. Value (mg/kg)	Mean Value (mg/kg)	S4UL (mg/kg)	No. Samples exceeding assessment criteria
Naphthalene	1	-	0.1	-	5.6	0
Acenaphthylene	2	0.1	0.7	0.4	4600	0
Acenaphthene	2	0.1	0.1	0.1	4700	0
Fluorene	2	0.1	0.3	0.2	3800	0
Phenanthrene	8	0.2	4.0	1.3	1500	0
Anthracene	7	0.1	1.0	0.3	35 000	0
Fluoranthene	10	0.1	5.5	1.7	1600	0
Pyrene	10	0.1	4.8	1.4	3800	0
B(a)A	9	0.1	2.4	1.0	14	0
Chrysene	9	0.1	2.1	0.9	31	0
B(b)F	8	0.1	1.9	0.7	4	0
B(k)F	8	0.1	1.9	0.7	110	0
B(a)P	8	0.1	2.3	0.8	3.2	0
I(123-cd)P	7	0.1	1.8	0.6	46	0
D(ah)A	3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.32	1
B(ghi)P	8	0.1	2.1	0.6	360	0
Notes to Table						
*	Most appropriate screening values are Sustainable 4 Use Level (S4UL) for a residential end use without home grown produce, a sandy loam soil type, pH of 7 and a soil organic matter (SOM) of 2.5%.				residential end use nic matter (SOM) of	

As the above table demonstrates only one of the PAH concentrations detected slightly exceeded the corresponding S4UL. It is therefore considered that there is a low potential of PAHs posing a significant risk to human receptors via the direct contact, ingestion, dust inhalation and volatilisation exposure pathways.

A summary of the petroleum hydrocarbon and BTEX concentrations recorded by the analysis in included in the following table:



INFRASTRUC	TURE
Giving our all	

			Key statistic	S4UL* (RwoHP)		
Contaminant	Number of detects	Min. Value (mg/kg)	Max. Value (mg/kg)	Mean Value (mg/kg)	S4UL (mg/kg)	No. Samples exceeding assessment criteria
TPH C6-C8 ali	1	-	0.062	-	230	0
TPH C8-C10 ali	1	-	0.028	-	65	0
TPH C8-C10 aro	2	0.048	0.11	0.1	110	0
TPH C12-C16 aro	3	2.0	3.0	2.7	2300	0
TPH C16-C21 aro	8	4.0	32	12.6	1900	0
TPH C21-C35 aro	8	11	56	27.8	1900	0
МТВЕ	3	0.002	0.002	0.002	120	0
M/P Xylene	3	0.001	0.001	0.001	180	0
O Xylene	3	0.001	0.002	0.002	210	0
Toluene	2	0.001	0.001	0.001	1900	0
Phenols (mono)	1	-	1.0	-	1300	0
Notes to Table	Notes to Table					
*	Most appropriate screening values are Sustainable 4 Use Level (S4UL) for a residential e without home grown produce, a sandy loam soil type, pH of 7 and a soil organic matter of 6%.			residential end use ganic matter (SOM)		
#	The most sensitive S4UL for aliphatic or aromatic hydrocarbons has been adopted for reference purposes.					

As the above table demonstrates, none of the petroleum hydrocarbon, BTEX/MTBE or phenol compounds detected by the analysis exceeded the corresponding S4ULs and as such it is considered that they are likely to pose a negligible risk to human receptors.

### **Ground Gas Assessment**

The previous investigation undertaken by Ramboll included ground gas monitoring that classified the site as a Characteristic Situation 1, for which no specific ground gas protection measures are considered necessary. However, Ramboll assessed the ground gas risks as moderate based on this data.

Notwithstanding the above, no on or off site sources of ground gas were identified by Ramboll during the desk study. The tested soils have a relatively low total organic carbon content, with a maximum concentration of 3.9%. There was no visible or olfactory evidence of ground gases during the site investigation, such as bands of peat or sulphurous odours. Based on this assessment it is considered that there is no appreciable source of ground gas at the site and as such the associated risks are judged to be negligible.



### 7. SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

CET was commissioned to carry out a ground investigation of the Maitland Park study site located at Maitland Villas, Camden, London NW3 2HG, that it is proposed to redeveloped into three multi storey residential apartment blocks. Initially CET undertook a review of existing contaminated land reports prior to carrying out a site investigation in January 2017.

The CET investigation comprised the formation of a series of window sample boreholes, hand excavated trial pits, and the recovery of soil samples for laboratory chemical analysis. The results of the various phases of analysis were subsequently used to carry out a generic risk assessment so that comments could be made on the potential risks to human and environmental receptors

### **Generic Risk Assessment**

The concentrations of the majority of determinands tested were less than the respective S4ULs that considered a residential end use without home grown produce and are therefore not considered to have the potential to pose a significant risk to human receptors. However, elevated concentrations of PAHs and lead were identified in the Made Ground. Such contaminants are judged to have the potential to pose a risk to future site occupants from the direct contact, ingestion and dust inhalation exposure pathways.

As a result of the presence of lead and PAHs in the Made Ground remedial measures will be required to ameliorate the risks posed to human receptors. Based on the nature of the pollutant linkages established to date, the most appropriate technique is likely to be the excavation of an appropriate depth of Made Ground and replacement with clean, imported cover soils within the soft landscaped areas. Any such works would need to be agreed with the regulatory authorities prior to implementation and subject to appropriate inspection and verification. It would also be prudent to lay new services in 'clean' imported material to protect them from potential degradation resulting from the concentrations of PAHs detected during the previous site investigation. As no volatile contaminants have been identified at the site it is considered that there is a negligible risk posed by any soils located beneath buildings or hard standing post development.

Construction workers could be exposed to contaminated ground by the aforementioned exposure pathways, but based on the nature of the identified contamination it is considered that the use of appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) and health and hygiene practices would effectively ameliorate the identified risks. Dust suppression measures should also be adopted to ensure that constructions workers and off site human receptors are not exposed to lead and PAH impacted dust generated during the demolition and construction processes.



Should any visual and/or olfactory evidence of contamination, such as oily or odorous soils, be encountered during the progress of the works is recommended that further sampling, testing and assessment is carried out so the nature of the associated risks can be ascertained.

Based on this assessment CET would concur with the previously established CS1 classification and as such it is considered that there a negligible risk of the site being impacted by ground gases that could adversely impact on site receptor. In the absence of an identified receptor the risks to controlled waters are also considered to be negligible.



**FIGURES** 



# FIGURE 1 SITE LOCATION PLAN Maitland Park 351221



Ν



# FIGURE 2 APPROXIMATE EXPLORATORY HOLE LOCATION PLAN

Maitland Park, Camden

351221



Ν



## **APPENDIX A**

Exploratory Hole Logs



### KEY TO BOREHOLE AND TRIAL PIT LOGS

### Samples

D	Small disturbed sample
U	Undisturbed sample, 100mm nominal diameter
UT	Undisturbed thin walled sample, 100mm nominal diameter
В	Bulk disturbed samples (bar indicates sample range)
U38	Hand driven 'undisturbed' sample, 38mm nominal diameter
Ρ	Undisturbed piston sample (bar indicates sample range)
W	Water sample
ICBR	In-situ California Bearing Ratio sample
*	No recovery sample
т	Tub sample
V	Vial sample
J	Jar sample
	Tests
S	Standard penetration test
-	
С	Cone penetration tests
C N =	SPT/CPT 'N' Value (number of blows for 300mm full penetration)
C N = 80/150	Cone penetration tests SPT/CPT 'N' Value (number of blows for 300mm full penetration) Number of blows/total penetration(mm) for SPT/CPT test
C N = 80/150 25/25SP	Cone penetration tests SPT/CPT 'N' Value (number of blows for 300mm full penetration) Number of blows/total penetration(mm) for SPT/CPT test As above for seating drive only
C N = 80/150 25/25SP *	Cone penetration tests SPT/CPT 'N' Value (number of blows for 300mm full penetration) Number of blows/total penetration(mm) for SPT/CPT test As above for seating drive only N value obtained over 450mm penetration
C N = 80/150 25/25SP * U =	Cone penetration tests SPT/CPT 'N' Value (number of blows for 300mm full penetration) Number of blows/total penetration(mm) for SPT/CPT test As above for seating drive only N value obtained over 450mm penetration Blows to achieve 450mm penetration for a U sample
C N = 80/150 25/25SP * U = V <sub>h</sub> =	Cone penetration tests SPT/CPT 'N' Value (number of blows for 300mm full penetration) Number of blows/total penetration(mm) for SPT/CPT test As above for seating drive only N value obtained over 450mm penetration Blows to achieve 450mm penetration for a U sample In-situ hand vane test in kN/m <sup>2</sup>
C N = 80/150 25/25SP * U = V <sub>h</sub> = m	SPT/CPT 'N' Value (number of blows for 300mm full penetration) Number of blows/total penetration(mm) for SPT/CPT test As above for seating drive only N value obtained over 450mm penetration Blows to achieve 450mm penetration for a U sample In-situ hand vane test in kN/m <sup>2</sup> In-situ CBR test by Mexe probe
C N = 80/150 25/25SP * U = V <sub>h</sub> = m V =	SPT/CPT 'N' Value (number of blows for 300mm full penetration) Number of blows/total penetration(mm) for SPT/CPT test As above for seating drive only N value obtained over 450mm penetration Blows to achieve 450mm penetration for a U sample In-situ hand vane test in kN/m <sup>2</sup> In-situ CBR test by Mexe probe In-situ field vane test in kN/m <sup>2</sup>
C N = 80/150 25/25SP * U = V <sub>h</sub> = m V = ppm =	SPT/CPT 'N' Value (number of blows for 300mm full penetration) Number of blows/total penetration(mm) for SPT/CPT test As above for seating drive only N value obtained over 450mm penetration Blows to achieve 450mm penetration for a U sample In-situ hand vane test in kN/m <sup>2</sup> In-situ CBR test by Mexe probe In-situ field vane test in kN/m <sup>2</sup> Parts per million of flammable gas as methane equivalents
C N = 80/150 25/25SP * U = V <sub>h</sub> = m V = ppm = pp =	SPT/CPT 'N' Value (number of blows for 300mm full penetration) Number of blows/total penetration(mm) for SPT/CPT test As above for seating drive only N value obtained over 450mm penetration Blows to achieve 450mm penetration for a U sample In-situ hand vane test in kN/m <sup>2</sup> In-situ CBR test by Mexe probe In-situ field vane test in kN/m <sup>2</sup> Parts per million of flammable gas as methane equivalents Pocket Penetrometer in kg/cm <sup>2</sup>

### **Observations, Backfill and Installations**

Water strike - depth shown in metres below ground level.





Gravel backfill



Bentonite backfill



Arisings backfill



Concrete

Plain Pipe

Slotted Pipe

Client: Price & Myers Hole Diameter (mm):	BOREHOLE						
Method: Window Sampler	NUMBER						
Date: 24/01/17 Co-ordinates Ground Level (m AOD) Ref. N	WS01           No: 351221         Sheet 1 of 1						
Backfill/Well Water Samples In Situ Tests Reduced Depth							
LegendDepthDepthTypeResultsLevelX (Thickness)De(m)(m)(m)(m)(m)(m)(m)	escription of Strata Legend						
(m)       (m)	coarse sandy, slightly ic CLAY. Gravel is ery angular, fine to brick and flint. und) n, slightly clayey, very coarse SAND. Gravel very angular, fine to lint, slate and glass. ntent of brick. und) fine to coarse sandy, o CLAY. Gravel is d angular, fine to ooncrete and wood. und) AY. Very thick roots orange, fine sandy d London Clay Formation) d orange CLAY with ls typically measuring Bluish grey gleying m below ground level. d London Clay Formation)						
4.75 D pp = 2.8							
General Remarks: 	prehole at 5.00 m						
1. Borehole remained dry and stable.     End of Doc       2. Borehole carried out through the base of TP08.     3. Roots noted to 3.0m below ground level.							
	Giving our all						
Logged: GC See Key Sheet for explanation of symbols, etc.							
Chked: Maitland Park	FIG A1						

Client: <b>Pri</b>	ice & Mye	rs			Hole D	Diamete	r (mm):	BOREHOLE			
Method:	Window S	ampler									
Date: 25/0	01/17	Co-c	ordinat	E tes N	Ground (m A	d Level NOD)	Ref. No: 351221	S	Sheet 1 of 1		
Backfill/W	ell Water	Samp	es	In Situ Tests	Reduced	Depth					
Lege (m)	end Depth (m)	Depth (m)	Туре	Results	Level (m AOD)	(Thickness) (m)	Description of Stra	ata	Legend		
		- - - - - - - - - -	τJV	- - - - - - -		- - - (1.50) - - - - - - - -	Dark brown, becoming light bro depth, fine to coarse sandy, gr organic CLAY. Gravel is sub-r to angular, fine to coarse brick and concrete. (Made Ground)	own with avelly, bunded flint			
		- - 1.50		pp = 4.6		-					
	-	1.75	D	pp = 3.0		-	Firm to stiff, brown, with bluish gleying from 2.5m, CLAY with s noted from 2.5m below ground (Weathered London Clay F	grey selenite level. formatic	n) – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –		
	-	-2.00 -		- - Vh = 64 - pp = 3.0			(				
	-	2.25	D	pp = 3.0		-					
		- - 2.50 -		- - pp = 2.7		-					
		2.75	D	pp = 2.7		-					
	-	- -3.00 -		- Vh = 88 _ pp = 2.8		-					
	-	3.25	D	pp = 3.2		(3.50)					
		- 3.50		- pp = 3.5		_					
	-	3.75	D	pp = 4.0		-					
	-	-4.00		- Vh = 122 pp = 4.5							
	-	4.25	D	pp = 4.0		-					
	-	-4.50		pp = 4.0		-					
	-	4.75	D	pp = 3.5		-					
General Remart 1. Borehole re 2. Roots noted	ks: mained dry and s d to 4.0m below g	table. round level.			<u> </u>		End of Borehole at 5.00	m	<u> </u>		
Driller:	СВ		В	OREH	ϽͳΕ		CORD	<b>F</b>	INFRASTRUCTURE Giving our all		
Logged:	.ogged: GC See Key Sheel					I .∠3 ation of symbo		۵			
Chked: Appr'd:	0 d				Maitland Park FIG						

Client:	Price	& Mye	rs			Hole [	Diamete	BOREHOLE		
Metho	d: Wir	ndow S	ampler						NUM	BER
Date:	25/01/ <sup>.</sup>	17	Co-	ordinat	E tes	Ground (m A	d Level AOD)	Ref. No: 351221	Sheet	<b>03</b> 1 of 1
Backfi	ll/Well	Water	Samp	les	In Situ Tests	Reduced	Depth		1	
(m)	Legend	Depth (m)	Depth (m)	Туре	Results	Level (m AOD)	α (Thickness) (m)	Description of Strata		Legend
(m)		(m)	(m) (m) (m)	TJV TJV D D D D	pp = 4.4 pp = 6.0 pp = 6.0 pp = 6.0 pp = 6.0 pp = 4.9 pp = 4.9 pp = 4.0 pp = 3.0	(m AOD)	(m) (m) (1.50) (1.50) (2.00) (2.00) (2.00) (3.50	Brown, fine to coarse sandy, grave organic CLAY. Gravel is sub-angu and angular, fine to coarse, brick, concrete and clinker. (Made Ground) Firm to very stiff, brown CLAY with occasional orange, fine and mediu sandy partings from 2.5m. (Weathered London Clay Form Firm to stiff, brown with bluish grey and orange gleying CLAY. (Weathered London Clay Form	elly, llar m nation)	
		-	-4.00	D	- Vh = 124 pp = 3.4 pp = 3.5		(1.50)			
		-	- 4.50		pp = 3.7		-			
		-	4.75	D	pp = 3.7					
General F 1. Boreh 2. Roots	Remarks: lole remaine noted to 3.	ed dry and s Om below g	table. round level.	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	<u>                                     </u>	End of Borehole at 5.00 m		
Driller	:	CB		В	OREH		<b>RE</b>	CORD	Givin	ASTRUCTURE g our all
Logged: GC See Key Sheel					See Key She	et for explana	ation of symbo	ls, etc.		4.0
Appr'o	d: 0	r				Maitla	and P	FIG	A3	

Client	: Price	& Mye	rs			Hole D	Diamete	er (mm):	BOREHOLE		
Metho	d: Wi	ndow S	ampler							NUMB	ER
Date:	24/01/	17	Co-o	ordinat	E tes N	Ground (m A	d Level (OD)	Ref. No: 351221		WS04 Sheet 1	<b>1</b> of 1
Backfi	ill/Well	Water	Samp	les	In Situ Tests	Reduced	Depth				
(m)	Legend	Depth (m)	Depth (m)	Туре	Results	Level (m AOD)	(Thickness) (m)	Description of	Strata		Legend
0.10			- - - - 0.50 - - - - -	TJV	- - - - - - - - -		(1.40) - - - - - - - -	Brown, fine to coarse sandy gravelly, organic CLAY. Gr sub-rounded to angular, fine brick, shell, wood, chalk and cobble content of brick. (Made Ground)	γ, slightl avel is e to coa d flint. L	y _rse, _ow	
1.40 1.50		-	- - 1.50 - - 1.70 _ 1.75	D	pp = 5.1 Vh = 140 pp = 6.0		1.40 - - - -	Very stiff, brown, friable CL (Weathered London Cla	AY. ay Form	ation)	
			-2.00	D	- pp = 6.0		-  (1.60) -				
			2.25	D	pp = 6.0 Vh = 140 pp = 6.0		-				
		- - - - -	2.75		pp = 6.0		-				
		-	-3.00 3.25	D	- pp = 6.0		3.00	Very stiff becoming stiff with brown, mottled blue and gre with selenite noted from 3.2 (Weathered London Cla	n depth, ey CLAY 2m. ay Form	( ation)	
		-	- 3.50	D	Vh = 140 pp = 6.0						
		-	-4.00	D	- pp = 5.0		(1.50) _ 				
		-	4.25		pp = 3.2		-				
4.50		- - - -	- 4.50 - - -	D	Vh = 98 pp = 3.8		4.50 - - - -	End of Borehole at 4	.50 m		 
General F 1. Boref 2.Boref 3.Roots	Remarks: hole remain hole termina s noted to 4	ed dry and s ted at 4.5m .5m below g	table. below ground leve round level.	el due to the	e 'dense' nature of the u	nderlying ma	terial.				
Driller: CB BOREHC Logged: GC See Key Shee						DLE cale et for explana	1:25 ation of symbol	CORD	CE	INFRAS Giving o	TRUCTURE ur all
Chke Appr'o	d: ` d: C	X Z				Maitland Park FIG					

Client: P	Price 8	& Mye	rs			Hole Diameter (mm):							
Method:	: Wind	dow S	ampler									EN	
Date: 26	6/01/17	7	Co-o	ordinat	E tes N	Ground (m A	d Level (OD)		Ref. No: 3512	21	Sheet 1	of 1	
Backfill/	Well	Nater	Samp	es	In Situ Tests	Reduced	Depth						
Le (m)	egend [	Depth (m)	Depth (m)	Туре	Results	Level (m AOD)	(Thickness) (m)		Description	of Strata		Legend	
		-	-		-		<i>(0.10)</i> 0.10	Concret	e.			XXXXX	
		-	- 0.30 -	TJV	-		(0.30) _ _ 0.40 - _	Yellow, f gravelly and ang concrete (Mac	fine to coarse sar CLAY. Gravel is ular, fine to coars e, asphalt and clir de Ground)	ndy, slight sub-angu se, brick, nker.	tly Jlar		
		-	- - 0.70 -	TJV	-		- (0.60) - - -	Grey and sandy, s is sub-al coarse,	d black, slightly fi slightly gravelly C ngular and angul brick, concrete a	ine to coa LAY. Gra ar, fine to nd clinker	rse wel		
		-	-		-	1.00 (Made Ground)							
		-	1.25	D	pp = 1.4		(0.70)	Soft to fi (We	irm, brown CLAY athered London (	Clay Form	nation)		
		-	1.50		- pp = 1.5		_						
		-	1.75	D	pp = 2.0		1.70 -	Soft to s					
		-	-2.00		- Vh = 62 pp = 2.2		_	4.0m. (We	athered London	Clay Form	nation)		
		-	2.25	D	pp = 2.6		-						
		-	- 2.50		- pp = 2.9		-						
		-	2.75	D	pp = 2.7		-						
		-	- -3.00 -		- Vh = 94 pp = 2.7								
		-	3.25	D	pp = 3.2		- (3.30)						
		-	- 3.50		pp = 2.7		-						
		-	3.75	D	pp = 3.2		-						
		-	-4.00		- Vh = 92 pp = 3.5								
		-	4.25	D	pp = 2.8		-						
		-	-4.50		pp = 3.2		_						
		-	4.75	D	pp = 4.6								
General Rem 1. Borehole 2. Roots no	marks: e remained oted to 4.0r	l dry and si m below gi	table. round level.		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	En	d of Borehole at	t 5.00 m		<u> </u>	
Driller:		CB		B	OREH						Giving o	<b>TRUCTURE</b> ur all	
Logged	d: GC See Key She					cey Sheet for explanation of symbols, etc.					<b>–</b> •		
Appr'd:	0	s L				Maitland Park FIG A							



















## **APPENDIX B**

Results of Laboratory Chemical Analysis



Scientific Analysis Laboratories is a limited company registered in England and Wales (No 2514788) whose address is at Hadfield House, Hadfield Street, Manchester M16 9FE

# **Scientific Analysis Laboratories Ltd**

# **Certificate of Analysis**

3 Crittall Drive Springwood Industrial Estate Braintree Essex CM7 2RT Tel : 01376 560120 Fax : 01376 552923

Report Number: 630102-1

Date of Report: 06-Feb-2017

Customer: CET Infrastructure Northdown House Harrietsham Maidstone Kent ME17 1QW

Customer Contact: Ms Catherine Tame

Customer Job Reference: 351221 Customer Purchase Order: 900511/G2 Customer Site Reference: Maitland Park Date Job Received at SAL: 26-Jan-2017 Date Analysis Started: 31-Jan-2017 Date Analysis Completed: 06-Feb-2017

The results reported relate to samples received in the laboratory and may not be representative of a whole batch.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation This report should not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of the laboratory Tests covered by this certificate were conducted in accordance with SAL SOPs

All results have been reviewed in accordance with Section 25 of the SAL Quality Manual





Report checked and authorised by : Aislinn Arthey Trainee Project Manager Issued by : Aislinn Arthey Trainee Project Manager

R

Soil

### Analysed as Soil

Miscellaneous

		SA	L Reference	630102 001	630102 002	630102 003	630102 004	630102 005
	Custon	ner Sampl	e Reference	WS02 @ 0.50m	WS03 @ 0.50m	WS04 @ 0.50m	TP01 @ 0.50m	TP03 @ 0.50m
		Da	ate Sampled	23-JAN-2017	23-JAN-2017	24-JAN-2017	23-JAN-2017	23-JAN-2017
Method	Test Sample	LOD	Units					
T257	A40	2.0	mg/kg	30	19	21	13	15
T257	A40	0.1	mg/kg	0.7	0.6	0.3	<0.1	<0.1
T257	A40	0.5	mg/kg	34	35	32	40	35
T257	A40	2	mg/kg	200	71	53	29	40
T257	A40	2	mg/kg	970	340	1100	22	170
T245	A40	1.0	mg/kg	1.2	1.4	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
T257	A40	0.5	mg/kg	26	27	23	40	26
T257	A40	3	mg/kg	<3	<3	<3	<3	<3
T257	A40	0.1	mg/kg	62	62	64	68	65
T257	A40	2	mg/kg	400	300	270	78	120
T27	A40		- 10	Asbestos not detected	Asbestos not detected	Asbestos not detected	Asbestos not detected	Asbestos not detected
T6	A40	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
T21	A40	0.1	%	3.9	2.7	2.6	0.2	2.8
T7	A40			7.5	7.9	7.6	7.8	9.8
T546	AR	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
T546	AR	1	mg/kg	1	<1	<1	<1	<1
	Method           T257           T254           T72           T6           T254           T546	Custon           Method         Test Sample           7257         A40           727         A40           721         A40           721         A40           721         A40           721         A40           77         A40           7546         AR	SA           Customer Sample           Customer Sample         LOD           T257         A40         2.0           T257         A40         0.1           T257         A40         0.5           T257         A40         2           T257         A40         2           T257         A40         2           T257         A40         2           T257         A40         1.0           T257         A40         0.5           T257         A40         0.5           T257         A40         0.1           T257         A40         0.5           T257         A40         0.1           T257         A40         0.1           T257         A40         0.1           T257         A40         1           T254         A40	SAL Reference           Customer Sample Reference           Date Sample           Method         Test Sample         LOD         Units           7257         A40         2.0         mg/kg           7257         A40         0.1         mg/kg           7257         A40         2         mg/kg           7257         A40         2         mg/kg           7257         A40         2         mg/kg           7257         A40         1.0         mg/kg           7257         A40         3         mg/kg           7257         A40         0.5         mg/kg           7257         A40         0.1         mg/kg           7257         A40         1         mg/kg           7257         A40         2         mg/kg           7257         A40         1         mg/kg           7257         A40	SAL Reference         630102 001           Customer Sample Reference         WS02 @ 0.50m           Date Sampled         23-JAN-2017           Method         Test Sample         LOD         Units         Customer Sampled         23-JAN-2017           Method         Test Sample         LOD         Units         Customer Sampled         23-JAN-2017           Method         Test Sample         LOD         Units         Gatomer Sample           7257         A40         2.0         mg/kg         30         30           7257         A40         0.1         mg/kg         34         7           7257         A40         2         mg/kg         30         7           7257         A40         1.0         mg/kg         62           7257         A40         0.1         mg/kg            7257         A40         0.1         mg/kg            7257         A40         2         mg/kg            7257         A40         1         mg/kg	SAL Reference         630102 001         630102 002           Customer Sample Reference         WS02 @ 0.50m         WS03 @ 0.50m           Date Sampled         23-JAN-2017         23-JAN-2017           Method         Test Sample         LOD         Units	SAL Reference         630102 001         630102 002         630102 003           Customer Sample Reference         WS02 @ 0.50m         WS03 @ 0.50m         WS04 @ 0.50m           Date Sampled         23-JAN-2017         23-JAN-2017         24-JAN-2017           Method         Test Sample         LOD         Units	SAL Reference         630102 001         630102 002         630102 003         630102 004           Customer Sample Reference         WS02 @ 0.50m         WS03 @ 0.50m         WS04 @ 0.50m         TP01 @ 0.50m           Date Sample         23-JAN-2017         23-JAN-2017         24-JAN-2017         23-JAN-2017           Method         Test Sample         LOD         Units              T257         A40         0.0         mg/kg         30         19         21         13           T257         A40         0.1         mg/kg         0.7         0.6         0.3         <0.1

SAL Reference: 630102 Project Site: Maitland Park

Customer Reference: 351221

Analysed as Soil

Soil

Miscellaneous									
		16	SA	L Reference	630102 006	630102 007	630102 008	630102 009	630102 011
		Custon	ner Sampl	e Reference	TP04 @ 0.50m	TP05 @ 0.50m	TP08 @ 0.50m	TP09 @ 0.50m	TP07 @ 0.70m
			Da	ate Sampled	23-JAN-2017	24-JAN-2017	24-JAN-2017	24-JAN-2017	25-JAN-2017
Determinand	Method	Test Sample	LOD	Units					
Arsenic	T257	A40	2.0	mg/kg	17	18	14	30	19
Cadmium	T257	A40	0.1	mg/kg	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.2
Chromium	T257	A40	0.5	mg/kg	44	38	27	35	34
Copper	T257	A40	2	mg/kg	40	37	24	93	22
Lead	T257	A40	2	mg/kg	170	200	83	8100	250
Mercury	T245	A40	1.0	mg/kg	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.1	<1.0
Nickel	T257	A40	0.5	mg/kg	42	39	26	21	23
Selenium	T257	A40	3	mg/kg	<3	<3 <3	< <3	<3	<3
Vanadium	T257	A40	0.1	mg/kg	76	69	46	51	62
Zinc	T257	A40	2	mg/kg	120	140	310	1100	93
Asbestos ID	T27	A40			Asbestos not detected				
Chromium VI	T6	A40	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Total Organic Carbon	T21	A40	0.1	%	1.9	1.3	0.8	2.9	0.4
рН	T7	A40			8.0	8.2	8.1	8.3	9.5
Cyanide(free)	T546	AR	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Phenols(Mono)	T546	AR	1	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1

Soil		Analysed	as Soil			
Miscellaneous						
			SA	L Reference	630102 012	630102 013
		Custon	ner Sampl	e Reference	WS05 @ 0.30m	WS05 @ 0.70m
			Da	ate Sampled	25-JAN-2017	25-JAN-2017
Determinand	Method	Test Sample	LOD	Units		
Arsenic	T257	A40	2.0	mg/kg	15	13
Cadmium	T257	A40	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Chromium	T257	A40	0.5	mg/kg	36	30
Copper	T257	A40	2	mg/kg	28	29
Lead	T257	A40	2	mg/kg	150	140
Mercury	T245	A40	1.0	mg/kg	<1.0	1.3
Nickel	T257	A40	0.5	mg/kg	22	18
Selenium	T257	A40	3	mg/kg	<3	<3
Vanadium	T257	A40	0.1	mg/kg	66	61
Zinc	T257	A40	2	mg/kg	97	59
Asbestos ID	T27	A40		- 6	Asbestos not detected	Asbestos not detected
Chromium VI	Т6	A40	1	mg/kg	<1	<1
Total Organic Carbon	T21	A40	0.1	%	1.0	1.7
рН	T7	A40			9.1	7.7
Cyanide(free)	T546	AR	1	mg/kg	<1	<1
Phenols(Mono)	T546	AR	1	mg/kg	<1	<1

SAL Reference: 630102

Project Site: Maitland Park

Customer Reference: 351221

Analysed as Soil

Total and Speciated USEPA16 PAH (SE)

Soil

		182	SA	L Reference	630102 001	630102 002	630102 003	630102 004	630102 005
		Custon	ner Sampl	e Reference	WS02 @ 0.50m	WS03 @ 0.50m	WS04 @ 0.50m	TP01 @ 0.50m	TP03 @ 0.50m
			Da	ate Sampled	23-JAN-2017	23-JAN-2017	24-JAN-2017	23-JAN-2017	23-JAN-2017
Determinand	Method	Test Sample	LOD	Units					
Naphthalene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.8	0.5	0.2	<0.1	0.8
Anthracene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.2
Fluoranthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	2.1	1.2	0.4	<0.1	1.3
Pyrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	C (1.7 E N	0.9	0.3	<0.1	1.0
Benzo(a)Anthracene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	1.1	0.6	0.2	<0.1	0.6
Chrysene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	1.1 A D	0.5	0.2	<0.1	0.5
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.4	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	0.2
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.4	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	0.2
Benzo(a)Pyrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.3	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.2
Indeno(123-cd)Pyrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.3	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1
Dibenzo(ah)Anthracene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(ghi)Perylene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.3	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1
PAH(total)	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	8.6	4.6	1.4	<0.1	5.4

Soil

## Analysed as Soil

Total and Speciated USEPA16 PAH (SE)

								-	
			SA	L Reference	630102 006	630102 007	630102 008	630102 009	630102 011
		Custor	ner Sampl	e Reference	TP04 @ 0.50m	TP05 @ 0.50m	TP08 @ 0.50m	TP09 @ 0.50m	TP07 @ 0.70m
			D	ate Sampled	23-JAN-2017	24-JAN-2017	24-JAN-2017	24-JAN-2017	25-JAN-2017
Determinand	Method	Test Sample	LOD	Units					
Naphthalene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	0.7	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.1	0.3	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	2.1	4.0	1.1	0.8	<0.1
Anthracene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.6	1.0	0.3	0.1	<0.1
Fluoranthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	2.6	5.5	2.1	2.0	0.1
Pyrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	2.0	4.8	1.5	1.7	0.1
Benzo(a)Anthracene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	1.3	2.4	1.7	1.0	0.1
Chrysene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	1.1	2.1	1.5	1.1	0.1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.4	1.9	1.5	1.1	0.1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.3	1.9	1.3	1.0	0.1
Benzo(a)Pyrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.3	2.3	1.5	1.2	0.2
Indeno(123-cd)Pyrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.2	1.8	0.8	0.6	<0.1
Dibenzo(ah)Anthracene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	0.4	0.3	0.2	<0.1
Benzo(ghi)Perylene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.2	2.1	0.8	0.7	0.1
PAH(total)	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	11	31	15	12	1.0

SAL Reference: 630102 Project Site: Maitland Park Customer Reference: 351221

Soil Analysed as Soil
Total and Speciated USEPA16 PAH (SE)

			SA	L Reference	630102 012	630102 013
		Custon	ner Samp	le Reference	WS05 @ 0.30m	WS05 @ 0.70m
			D	ate Sampled	25-JAN-2017	25-JAN-2017
Determinand	Method	Test Sample	LOD	Units		
Naphthalene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthylene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Acenaphthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Fluorene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Phenanthrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Anthracene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Fluoranthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
Pyrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)Anthracene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Chrysene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(a)Pyrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Indeno(123-cd)Pyrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Dibenzo(ah)Anthracene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
Benzo(ghi)Perylene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	<0.1	<0.1
PAH(total)	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	0.2	<0.1

Soil		Analysed a	as Soil						
TPH UKCWG									
			SA	L Reference	630102 001	630102 002	630102 003	630102 004	630102 005
		Custon	ner Sampl	le Reference	WS02 @ 0.50m	WS03 @ 0.50m	WS04 @ 0.50m	TP01 @ 0.50m	TP03 @ 0.50m
			Da	ate Sampled	23-JAN-2017	23-JAN-2017	24-JAN-2017	23-JAN-2017	23-JAN-2017
Determinand	Method	Test Sample	LOD	Units					
Benzene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Toluene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	1	<1	<1	<1
EthylBenzene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
M/P Xylene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	1	<1	<1	1
O Xylene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	2	<1	<1	1
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	2	<1	<1	2
TPH (C5-C6 aliphatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C6-C7 aromatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C6-C8 aliphatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C7-C8 aromatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C8-C10 aliphatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C8-C10 aromatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	0.048	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C10-C12 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
TPH (C10-C12 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
TPH (C12-C16 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
TPH (C12-C16 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	2
TPH (C16-C21 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
TPH (C16-C21 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	9	5	4	<2	6
TPH (C21-C35 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
TPH (C21-C35 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	26	12	11	<2	12

SAL Reference: 630102

Project Site: Maitland Park

Customer Reference: 351221

Analysed as Soil

Soil TPH UKCWG

				1000			- 200		
			SA	L Reference	630102 006	630102 007	630102 008	630102 009	630102 011
		Custon	ner Sampl	e Reference	TP04 @ 0.50m	TP05 @ 0.50m	TP08 @ 0.50m	TP09 @ 0.50m	TP07 @ 0.70m
			Da	ate Sampled	23-JAN-2017	24-JAN-2017	24-JAN-2017	24-JAN-2017	25-JAN-2017
Determinand	Method	Test Sample	LOD	Units					
Benzene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Toluene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	1
EthylBenzene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
M/P Xylene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	1
O Xylene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1	<1	∧ <1	2
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
TPH (C5-C6 aliphatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C6-C7 aromatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C6-C8 aliphatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C7-C8 aromatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C8-C10 aliphatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C8-C10 aromatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	0.11	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C10-C12 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
TPH (C10-C12 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
TPH (C12-C16 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
TPH (C12-C16 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	3	3	<2	<2	<2
TPH (C16-C21 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
TPH (C16-C21 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	22	32	10	13	<2
TPH (C21-C35 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
TPH (C21-C35 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	36	56	28	41	<2

#### SAL Reference: 630102 Project Site: Maitland Park Poforonco: 351221

Customer Reference. 351221						
Soil		Analysed a	as Soil			
TPH UKCWG						
			SA	L Reference	630102 012	630102 013
		Custor	ner Sampl	e Reference	WS05 @ 0.30m	WS05 @ 0.70m
			Da	ate Sampled	25-JAN-2017	25-JAN-2017
Determinand	Method	Test Sample	LOD	Units		
Benzene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1
Toluene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1
EthylBenzene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1
M/P Xylene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1
O Xylene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	<1	<1
TPH (C5-C6 aliphatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C6-C7 aromatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C6-C8 aliphatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	0.062
TPH (C7-C8 aromatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C8-C10 aliphatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	0.028
TPH (C8-C10 aromatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	<0.010	<0.010
TPH (C10-C12 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2
TPH (C10-C12 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2
TPH (C12-C16 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2
TPH (C12-C16 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2
TPH (C16-C21 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2
TPH (C16-C21 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2
TPH (C21-C35 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2
TPH (C21-C35 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	<2	<2



## Index to symbols used in 630102-1

Value	Description					
AR	As Received					
A40	Assisted dried < 40C					
S	Analysis was subcontracted					
U	Analysis is UKAS accredited					
Ν	Analysis is not UKAS accredited					

## Notes

012: Samples submitted for GC/MS (Headspace) analysis were submitted in inappropriate containers. It is possible therefore that the results provided may be compromised. Asbestos subcontracted to REC Limited

## **Method Index**

Value	Description
T7	Probe
T546	Colorimetry (CF)
T245	ICP/OES (Aqua Regia Extraction)
T16	GC/MS
T6	ICP/OES
T219	GC/FID (SE)
T21	OX/IR
T257	ICP/OES (SIM) (Aqua Regia Extraction)
T27	PLM
T54	GC/MS (Headspace)

## **Accreditation Summary**

Determinand	Method	Test Sample	LOD	Units	Symbol	SAL References
Arsenic	T257	A40	2.0	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Cadmium	T257	A40	0.1	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Chromium	T257	A40	0.5	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Copper	T257	A40	2	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Lead	T257	A40	2	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Mercury	T245	A40	1.0	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Nickel	T257	A40	0.5	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Selenium	T257	A40	3	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Vanadium	T257	A40	0.1	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Zinc	T257	A40	2	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Asbestos ID	T27	A40		1.274.85	SU	001-009,011-013
Chromium VI	T6	A40	1	mg/kg	N	001-009,011-013
Total Organic Carbon	T21	A40	0.1	%	N	001-009,011-013
pН	T7	A40			U	001-009,011-013
Cyanide(free)	T546	AR	1	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Phenols(Mono)	T546	AR	1	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
PCB BZ#28	T16	AR	20	µg/kg	U	001
PCB BZ#52	T16	AR	20	µg/kg	U	001
PCB BZ#101	T16	AR	20	µg/kg	U	001
PCB BZ#118	T16	AR	20	µg/kg	U	001
PCB BZ#153	T16	AR	20	µg/kg	U	001
PCB BZ#138	T16	AR	20	µg/kg	U	001
PCB BZ#180	T16	AR	20	µg/kg	U	001
Benzene	T54	AR	1	µq/kq	U	001-009.011-013
Toluene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
EthylBenzene	T54	AR	1	µg/kg	U	001-009.011-013
M/P Xvlene	T54	AR	1	ua/ka	U	001-009.011-013
O Xvlene	T54	AR	1	µa/ka	U	001-009.011-013
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	T54	AR	1	ua/ka	U	001-009.011-013
TPH (C5-C6 aliphatic)	T54	AR	0.010	ma/ka	N	001-009.011-013
TPH (C6-C7 aromatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	S N	001-009,011-013
TPH (C6-C8 aliphatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	N	001-009,011-013
TPH (C7-C8 aromatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	N	001-009,011-013
TPH (C8-C10 aliphatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	N	001-009,011-013
TPH (C8-C10 aromatic)	T54	AR	0.010	mg/kg	N	001-009,011-013
TPH (C10-C12 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	ma/ka	N	001-009.011-013
TPH (C10-C12 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	N	001-009,011-013
TPH (C12-C16 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	ma/ka	N	001-009.011-013
TPH (C12-C16 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	ma/ka	N	001-009.011-013
TPH (C16-C21 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	N	001-009,011-013
TPH (C16-C21 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	N	001-009,011-013
TPH (C21-C35 aliphatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	N	001-009,011-013
TPH (C21-C35 aromatic)	T219	AR	2	mg/kg	N	001-009,011-013
Naphthalene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	U	001-009.011-013
Acenaphthylene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kq	U	001-009,011-013
Acenaphthene	T16	AR	0.1	ma/ka	U	001-009.011-013
Fluorene	T16	AR	0.1	ma/ka	U	001-009.011-013
Phenanthrene	T16	AR	0.1	ma/ka	U	001-009.011-013
Anthracene	T16	AR	0.1	ma/ka	U	001-009.011-013
Fluoranthene	T16	AR	0,1	ma/ka	N	001-009.011-013
Pvrene	T16	AR	0,1	ma/ka	N	001-009.011-013
Benzo(a)Anthracene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	U	001-009.011-013

Determinand	Method	Test Sample	LOD	Units	Symbol	SAL References
Chrysene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	N	001-009,011-013
Benzo(a)Pyrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Indeno(123-cd)Pyrene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Dibenzo(ah)Anthracene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
Benzo(ghi)Perylene	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013
PAH(total)	T16	AR	0.1	mg/kg	U	001-009,011-013

