

Henriques, Roberta

From: Sheehy, John
Sent: 22 January 2021 11:23
To: Planning
Cc: Billy Byatt
Subject: RE: No comments allowed upon planning application 2020/5651/A 90 Fitzjohn's Avenue

Importance: High

Colleagues,

Please log the objections below against 2020/5651/A and 2020/5652/A.

Dear Jonathan Byatt,


Please accept my apologies that it was not possible to log your comments on the applications. I'll raise this with IT so it can be fixed as soon as possible.

Regards,

John Sheehy
Senior Planning Officer



The majority of Council staff are continuing to work at home through remote, secure access to our systems. Where possible please communicate with us by telephone or email.



[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

2020/5652/A

Site Address1 Arkwright Road

This complementary application - no comments allowed either - proves the point how this school is going overboard on signage.

A front and side sign makes sense but 3 signs of the the same type? Too much.

I object to the second sign on Fitzjohns avenue away from the school entrance.

Many thanks

Jonathan Byatt
[REDACTED]

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Subject: No comments allowed upon planning application 2020/5651/A 90 Fitzjohn's Avenue

Hello, a recently registered application does not allow comments.

I do not believe 2 duplicate signs should be placed where none are currently in place.
A single sign makes sense but two is overkill and clutters the low key nature of Fitzjohns frontage.

Many thanks
Jonathan Byatt
[REDACTED]

Get [Outlook for Android](#)