				Printed on: 25/01/2021 09:10:08
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:
2020/5371/P	Casimir Knight	20/01/2021 15:56:22	OBJ	As an immediate neighbour I wish to object to aspects of the application. We are supportive of The Magdala re-opening with a kitchen facility, but our concern is around noise pollution and particularly how the noise impacts our family and our disabled son Ben Knight. Ben is registered with Camden disability services.
				There has been no communication with us or any other neighbour regarding the proposed changes at The Magdala.
				The flue proposed is under 5 meters from our first floor bedroom and from the ground floor bedroom of Ben. Regular sleep is critical to Ben's well being. As such it presents a very serious noise pollution risk for us, particularly after 8pm.
				The previous licensees of The Magdala were committed to very stringent noise control on all extraction and cooling equipment, but it is not clear the current applicants are. No noise assessment evaluation has been done since 2014, and that 2014 report presented in the application was done without any involvement with neighbours.
				It should further be noted that the application incorrectly claims that there are no trees on the adjacent property. That is not correct, as there is a tree within 3 meters of the proposed flue. This tree has recently been granted a TPO for public amenity reasons.
				We request that the application should be modified in three ways:
				(a) very exact and specific noise insulation requirements being put on the installation of any flue;
				(b) the "head" of the flue being required to turn 90 degrees so that it no longet points straight at our house but rather out towards the road and railway tracks; and
				(c) controls being required on its night time use (e.g. why should it be used after say 9pm?). (NB I realise that this may blur into a licensing issue but we are very concerned about our environment and in particular the health risk this application poses to our son.
				Subject to these suggestions being met we would be supportive of the application. Our objection is based solely on noise issues which we believe could be effectively and affordably mitigated.