

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----|-------------------|----|
| Delegated Report | | Analysis sheet | | Expiry Date: | | 26/01/2021 | |
| | | N/A / attached | | Consultation Expiry Date: | | | |
| Officer | | | | Application Number(s) | | | |
| Nick Bell | | | | 2020/5810/T | | | |
| Application Address | | | | Drawing Numbers | | | |
| 155 King Henry's Road London NW3 3RD | | | | | | | |
| PO 3/4 | Area Team Signature | C&UD | Authorised Officer Signature | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Proposal(s) | | | | | | | |
| REAR GARDEN: 1 x Cherry (T1) - Fell to ground level. | | | | | | | |
| Recommendation(s): | | No objection to notification of intended works to tree(s) in a conservation area. | | | | | |
| Application Type: | | Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area | | | | | |
| Conditions or Reasons for Refusal: | | Refer to Draft Decision Notice | | | | | |
| Informatives: | | | | | | | |
| Consultations | | | | | | | |
| Adjoining Occupiers: | | No. notified | 12 | No. of responses | 01 | No. of objections | 01 |
| | | | | No. electronic | 00 | | |
| Summary of consultation responses: | | <p>The Council received one consultation response which can be summarised as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This is a beautiful tree, adding to the precious and ever decreasing tree scape of King Henrys Road. The tree does not overshadow anything except the road. It appears to be in good health and the roots can not be a problem since it is a small tree. What reasons are there to cut this down? Perhaps Camden can put a Tree Protection Order (TPO) to protect this lovely tree. | | | | | |
| CAAC/Local groups* comments: <small>*Please Specify</small> | | None received. | | | | | |

Assessment

The s.211 notification is for the removal of a cherry tree from a rear garden of a residential property that is situated within the Elsworthy Conservation Area.

The cherry appears to be dead.

The objection the Council received refers to the road, it appears that the objector may have misidentified which tree this s.211 relates to as this tree in question is within the rear garden of the property.

It is not expedient for the Council to serve a tree preservation order to protect the tree.

The Council does not object to the proposed works.