
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 December 2020 18:29
To: Farrant, Ben; Parkinson, Andrew (Councillor)
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: Fwd: Fwd: 18A Frogna Gardens, London NW3 Planning Applicati89on 2020/5214/P

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: Ben.Farrant@camden.gov.uk, Andrew.Parkinson@camden.gov.uk
Sent: Wed, 23 Dec 2020 18:28:30 +0000
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: Fwd: 18A Frogna Gardens, London NW3 Planning Applicati89on 2020/5214/P

Dear Mr. Farrant

I do not believe my objection below has been posted on the appropriate website for 18A Frogna Gardens NW3

I should be most obliged if you could rectify this and confirm to me that it has been posted

Many thanks

Paula Myers

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: planning@camden.gov.uk
Sent: Sat, 19 Dec 2020 10:01:19 +0000
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: 18A Frogna Gardens, London NW3 Planning Applicati89on 2020/5214/P

To The Planning Department, London Borough of Camden

RE: 18A Frogna Gardens, NW3 Applicaton No. 2020/5214/P

I object to the above application for many reasons. With Covid I have spent many wonderful hours, as a Hampstead resident, walking around the wonderful roads of the area where I live and although I had always appreciated the beauty of the area I have never realised it quite so much since the Pandemic when I have had the time to stop and look at the houses more carefully .. We have discovered many delightful streets that we

have never walked down before and marvelled at the beautiful architecture of the area which has been so well preserved . I am also very aware of the history of Hampstead which is reflected in its architecture.

Simply put, this proposed house is totally out of character with its neighbouring houses and indeed the wider area. Beauty is subjective but there can be no doubt that the materials used, the colour, the style, height etc. of the proposed property is totally out of character with the area. This house would dominate the road and no one house should be allowed to dominate a road and a house should be sympathetic to its neighbouring properties and its residents.. This proposed house is the polar opposite

I almost feel there is a touch of arrogance about inflicting such a controversial design on a neighbourhood which has so much history, and especially so on the attached house, No. 18B, which would be dwarfed by this proposed build and so totally different to it's 'other half', it would be completely inappropriate .and almost laughable. Further the consequences of such a build with regard to No. 18B's foundations and those of nearby homes, could prove to be dire.

There is a place for a build of this nature, but not where it is proposed to be built and certainly not as part of a 'pair' of houses.

Yours faithfully

Paula Myers