				Printed on: 21/12/2020 09:10:05
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/4635/P	Yvonne Nathan-King and Stephen King	04/12/2020 11:22:43	OBJ	The applicants have already destroyed trees and foliage within their front garden contrary to the promises contained within the Design, Access, Planning and Heritage Document. This document states that "The proposal reconfigures the front landscaping by providing a more generous and useful entrance space whilst retaining the existing vegetation that shelters and gives character to the existing house." Sadly, the "existing vegetation" no longer exists, with most of it, including a mature tree, hacked down. The neighbouring front garden of No. 5a has been damaged. Meanwhile, the Application Form states that "no trees or hedging would need to be removed", a commitment that has been superseded by events. The seclusion and privacy of this part of Pilgrim¿s Lane has now been lost. The removed trees - an act entirely contrary to local conservation guidance - should be replaced with trees of equivalent size and maturity, to restore the original appearance, consistent with what the planning application promises but, in reality, has not been delivered.  We also object to the extension to the rear of the property on the grounds of overdevelopment, particularly
				regarding the likely detrimental impact on the properties on either side, ie No. 3 and No. 5a Pilgrim's Lane.