Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 17/12/2020 0  Response:	09:10:13
2020/4542/L	Burn Lamche	06/12/2020 22:25:54	OBJ	hello We live at No 66 Dunboyne Road which is one of the upper-level dwellings affected directly by this application. I would like to make 2 points:  1 - In the upper level flats such as ours, there is a very important view out from the kitchen windows on the ground floor. Daily tasks like preparing food, cooking, eating, and washing up take place directly beneath this view. This view is (necessarily) narrowed by the existence of the balconies above which jut out several feet further as a kind of overhang. You could compare this view to a letterbox, or aperture because it is quite a narrow, flat rectangle. It is in this context the proposed placement of these pipes needs to be examined. Despite being narrow, the upper, flat line of this aperture works visually precisely because it is flat, straight, and unbroken by visual clutter. The proposal as it stands suggests hanging a pipe that will appear just below the top of this aperture. The flat line of concrete currently framing this view at the top, as designed and specified by Neave Brown in his original design, will be unacceptably disturbed and made needlessly fussy and unattractive, by the sudden installation of metal pipework which can, and should be located somewhere else, (almost anywhere else in my view, as the view I have described above is something we all look at, often, every single day).  2 - The first, uncompleted / rejected design & installation of these pipes (which was abandoned only when it was discovered to impede the opening of windows) was so seriously inept as to place a question mark over the entire planning process for this project up until that point. I understand plans were amended to some extent as a result, but there are very sound reasons to doubt if those responsible for drawing up the first set of 'plans' had even properly inspected the site. I do not feel the current plans are especially different to those, and I object in principle to anything at all which introduces an irregular top line to our narrow view out from the kitchen wi	
				actually impact on people's lives. This has nothing, repeat nothing to do with my opinion about the external appearance of the pipework - I am not upset that pipes are visible. I am upset that pipes change/ disrupt an existing view unacceptably. That is potentially a genuine lowering of the enjoyable qualities of an amenity and an unacceptable deviation from the original design of this wonderful building. thanks  Mr Burn Lamche, 66 Dunboyne Road London NW3 2YY	
2020/4542/L	Ayshea Hameeduddin	05/12/2020 23:48:18	COMMNT	I am a resident on the upper levels of the Dunboyne Road Estate where these gas pipes have been installed. There was no notification or consultation on the installation of these pipes. The pipes are intrusive and ruin the aesthetic of the Estate. As you know Neave Brown is a late RIBA gold medalist and Dunboyne Road is on the World map because of its unique architecture which is celebrated worldwide- as a grade II listed building it is extremely sad to see such little regard and respect shown to the design and spirit of the estate. I ask for these pipes to be installed in such a way that is not detrimental to the architectural beauty of the estate. Camden has neglected to consult the residents who live here and as such no further works should be carried out without full transparency and discussion, I strongly object to these works in the current state.	

				Printed on: 17/12/2020 09:10:13
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/4542/L	Antonia Burrows	06/12/2020 20:08:21	OBJ	I object to this revised application on several grounds The consultation process for the original application was not carried out properly - residents were not notified of the initial application or given meaningful opportunity to object The description of the design in the submitted plans does not give an accurate representation of its impact, or provide necessary detail to do so (they do not specify the distance of the gap between the underside of the balcony and the pipe - a crucial detail) The plans involve the installation of pipework that would be an eyesore, certainly not ¿discreet¿ as described, and against the architectural spirit of the Grade II listed estate There are better alternatives to the plans, which seem not to have been considered - if residents had been properly consulted