

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/5061/P	Annabel Cormack	01/12/2020 18:55:45	OBJ	<p>This plan should be rejected.</p> <p>The new rooms will be lacking in light and ventilation, although there would be a roof light.</p> <p>The back reception room will be much darker and less ventilated, having only a small high and probably hard to access window, instead of a full height window with steps to the garden. It will also be at some risk from any accidental fire in the kitchen, It is not clear how easy it would be to close the door to the back lounge, up 5 steps to a sliding door. I note that the exit from the kitchen to the hall appears to have no door to isolate the kitchen.</p> <p>The back room in the current building I assume is a kitchen. It is of a reasonably generous size with room for a table for eating. It currently has windows on two sides, and a door to the garden. The new proposal will have windows only on the garden side, so that it will be less well ventilated, or at least less easy to ventilate. It will also be much darker (it is quite deep, and the ceiling height less than that in the reception rooms). Rooflights are proposed, but cleaning might well be difficult.</p> <p>The proposal to use window and door fittings that are not like the Victorian ones is a pity. The mismatch will be visible from numerous flats in the buildings behind.</p>