

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/4559/P	Foulla Pashkaj	25/11/2020 10:01:24	OBJ	<p>I wish to object towards planning application 2020/4559/P for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The application 2020/4559/P is practically identical to the previous application 2020/1456/P, which was refused. 2. The applicant and who they represent have not made clear the financial benefits to themselves or to the council and is there a conflict of interest? 3. The previous application and its design was found to be harmful to Haddo House, damaging the views and creating visual clutter for local residents and visitors of London far and wide. The apparatus was found to be unsympathetic to the design of the building and the trunking up the side elevation further clutters the buildings' facade - the metal box clutters the grass and lower building area. The design and materials of the application are identical and our building hasn't miraculously moved location, so I ask you what's changed? The only correct conclusion is to reject this application. 4. Graffiti still remains on the turrets and acts as a beacon for further vandalism. Health and safety issues are a real concern, it's an accident waiting to happen. 5. Our building is located on a four way junction and we are subjected to an immense amount of noise pollution with continuous traffic, sirens and racing on motorbikes - noise vibrates up and down the building. We are situated in a wind tunnel and it can be very disruptive, especially at night - the last thing we need is more apparatus to rattle in the wind and to emit a low level humming. We cannot and should not have to tolerate anymore unnecessary noise. 6. You are ignoring residents wishes and are violating our human rights to feel safe in our homes. Both applications have personally affected my sleep and increased my stress levels - unacceptable! 7. The inclusion of a new fire alarm system raises concerns regarding health and safety, are you placing residents under unnecessary risk? 8. The laying of cabling over skylights completely negates the purpose of their function. Is this a health and safety issue? 9. The council and their representatives are in a position of responsibility, you need to ensure that residents feel heard and your decision should reflect this. We need to trust that you have our best interests at heart, you need to build relationships with residents, not damage them. 10. Both applicant and who they represent are projecting an image of transparency, fairness, trust and respect. Their sheer tenacity to push this planning application through paints a very different picture. It's buarratic bullying and their behaviour is completely underhand (submitting applications at the start of lockdown 1 and 2, obscuring notices, falsely claiming that residents in and around the area had been notified, ignoring feedback from residents. - I ask how is this in line with their strategy? Below is an extract from Telefonica UK's annual and strategic report year ending Dec2019 - <p>"In 2019 we continued our focus on the Customer -</p> <p>Our Customer Experience Principles are:</p> <p>We treat you like a friend</p> <p>We offer a personalised touch as we care about what our customers are interested in. We take time to listen to our customers and treat them as an individual with their own needs through our Customer Promise.</p> <p>We look out for you. We proactively look out for the best interests of the customer. For example, our approach to vulnerable customers and those with disabilities as set out in our Accessibility & Vulnerable Customers Policy Statement on our website. Our aim is to provide support to those customers who may be vulnerable when they interact with us and ensure that they receive a consistent approach which takes into account their circumstances, meets their particular needs and delivers a great experience.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				<p>We do right by you. Fairness, respect, transparency, security"</p> <p>Page 9</p> <p>This leaves me wondering whether they consider the residents of Haddo House to be their customers or whether we are instead expendable to them? Some residents are indeed Telefonica's customers and in their pursuit of this application they are not fulfilling their promises and therefore how can we trust anything they say or present to us as evidence? Waldon's may be the applicant, but they represent Telefonica - by bullying residents they have inadvertently damaged their clients brands.</p> <p>Need I say more?</p>
2020/4559/P	Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Forum	25/11/2020 14:59:31	OBJ	<p>Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Forum gives a voice to local people in planning matters. This is a proposal that has caused an unusually high level of opposition amongst the community.</p> <p>The planning drawings show that the new equipment would be tall and bulky and will affect the clear lines of the building. They are larger than the two turrets that help to characterise the building and protrude noticeably above them.</p> <p>Approving this application would be counter to the policies in the made Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>Policy DC1(a) introduces five special views that must be protected. View 2 is from Chetwynd Road down towards Highgate Road, with Haddo House at the centre. The description in Appendix 1 states that ¿any development would be expected ¿ not to intrude into the skyline created by the view of Haddo House¿.</p> <p>Appendix 2 of the Plan includes Haddo House as an ¿Additional Heritage Asset¿, describing it as ¿a fine example of a mid-1960s development of Council housing, with unusual features that give it distinctiveness and flair¿. Appendix 2 links to Policy DC2(d), which seeks to ¿preserve or enhance the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area, historic buildings and buildings of architectural merit and their settings, by ¿ in the case of development affecting any of the locally listed and other heritage assets identified in Appendix 2, or their settings, only permitting development that is designed to a high standard¿.</p> <p>As a made (adopted) Neighbourhood Plan, these policies form part of the Camden Local Plan and have equal weight to other Local Plan policies.</p>