

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/4559/P	Rejane Padron	18/11/2020 00:25:26	OBJ	<p>1. The application 2020/4559/P closely resembles the earlier application 2020/1456/P which was rejected as the proposed development would result in harmful visual clutter which would detract from the character and appearance of Haddo House and the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area.</p> <p>2. Having a 5g mast in the roof will devalue the property.</p> <p>3. Large group of Residents and local people already expressed objections to masts proposal so stop trying.</p>
2020/4559/P	Kelly and Michael Pawlyn	17/11/2020 16:15:59	OBJ	<p>I wish to object to this planning application on the following grounds:</p> <p>1. The application 2020/4559/P closely resembles the earlier application 2020/1456/P which was rejected by a large number of local residents, the Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Forum and residents of Haddo House. These are ugly and unwanted additions to a building that is highly regarded locally.</p> <p>2. Irregardless of the small changes to the drawings this extract from the report of the previous identical application clearly states that ANY addition to the roof would be visually harmful and harm the character of the building. The application site is a relatively high residential block of 7 storeys which is surrounded by low level housing along Gordon House Road, Highgate Road, Chetwynd Road and Glenhurst Avenue. As a result of this contrast between the height of the host property and the low level neighbouring properties, and the setting of the host building with open space surrounding it, the roof of Haddo House is clearly visible in short, medium and long range views from a variety of vantage points including Parliament Hill. Therefore, even if the equipment and antennae were to be located into a more discreet position in the middle of the roof, they would still be very prominent and would cause unacceptable visual harm to both the building and local area.</p> <p>Nathaniel Young - Camden's Planning Officer</p> <p>3. We live directly opposite Haddo House and we strongly object to this development as we have done so previously.</p> <p>4. People have concerns regarding 5G on the impact on health and the environment, results are inconclusive irregardless of the apparent scientific data that backs up the applicant. Currently, there are 2 judicial reviews in process and until the findings have been fully examined we urge the council to prioritise the safety of its residents.</p>