Delegat	ed Re	port	Analysis sheet			Expiry	Date:	03/07/2020		
		N/A / attached				Consu Expiry	Date:			
Officer Nick Bell				pplication Nu)20/4809/T	ımber(s	5)				
Application A 89 Priory Roa London NW6 3NL				D	rawing Numb	oers				
PO 3/4 Area Tea		am Signature C&UD			Authorised Officer Signature					
Proposal(s)										
REAR GARDEN: 1 x Cherry Laurel (S1) - Fell to ground level.										
Recommendation(s):		No objection to notification of intended works to tree(s) in a conservation area.								
Application Type:		Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area								
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:		Refer to Draft Decision Notice								
Informatives:										
Consultations										
Adjoining Occupiers:		No. notified	45		responses	01	No. of c	bjections	01	
					ectronic	00	··· ··			
responses:	 risk that felling could inadvertently destabilise nearby walls) The loss of natural beauty Possible worsening of existing poor soil drainage problems in our garden Harm to biodiversity in a conservation area Loss of privacy and shade 							he		
CAAC/Local gro comments: *Please Specify	oups [*]	None receiv	vea							

Assessment

The s.211 notification is for the removal of a cherry laurel from the rear garden of a residential property that is situated within the South Hampstead Conservation Area. The submission alleges that the cherry laurel is contributing to property damage at a neighbouring property.

The cherry laurel of low visibility from the public realm and is not considered to significantly contribute to the character or appearance of the conservation area. The cherry laurel is a shrub not a tree and provides a low level of amenity to the public.

The cherry laurel is not of a rare species or a noteworthy example of its species. It is not of any known cultural or historical significance.

Loss of privacy and shade, natural beauty and biodiversity are not considered robust enough justification for the Council to serve a TPO on a cherry laurel. Whether or not the cherry laurel is contributing to property damage does not make the cherry laurel suitable for a tree preservation order.

It is not expedient for the Council to serve a tree preservation order to protect the cherry laurel. The Council does not object to the proposed works.