				Printed on: 13/11/2020 09:10:09
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/4574/P	Elaine Chambers	10/11/2020 12:54:27	COMNOT	The existing shed (whereby permission is now being requested for a permanent brick extension) was illegally build by previous renters of this commercial property way back in the 1980's How and why is explained below. The shed was later retrospectively granted to remain (I think). Please check the records. It may never have been given permission to remain. (Facts please?) However, back in those days it was usual to erect a build and then retrospectively apply. Camden's planning department in those days (and who knows maybe these days too?) usually complied with this well known form of corruption. The, at present, extending rickety leaking old shed, which should be demolished, protrudes into the communal garden area. This communal area is for the sole use of the residents in the flats above and should never have been allowed to intrude onto their appointed area of recreation. The reason that this particular rear area of this particular commercial property had access to the garden was because the first renters of this property, 1980/81 were running a private cinema, and to do this they had to have a rear fire exit. When they left and the property reverted to a shop this rear exit should then have been closed off. It never was closed off and now is the time to make sure that it is closed off. The second renter was the one who illegally built the old shed after the cinema (1st renter)was shut down. The cinema renter did not have a shed, just a rear exit across the deep drop over the basement area. This space should now be revert to its original design and the garden area must be retained for the exclusive use of the residents. The old shed must be removed and the no extension should be built there. I wish to be present at any meetings concerning this area and to be kept fully informed.