

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/4559/P	Linda Bourn	11/11/2020 12:37:10	OBJ	<p>I am unable to paste images into this space. Please see additional email for my objections.</p> <p>I wish to register my objection to this planning application.</p>
2020/4559/P	Jeremy Walker	10/11/2020 20:22:31	OBJ	<p>We object to the proposals on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Haddow House is in a prominent location in the conservation area and can be seen from many locations in the local area. Its roof scape is highly visible from Parliament Hill Mansions and Lissenden Mansions, and we assume Heath House. The building and its roof scape is also very prominently visible when viewed along Chetwynd Road, as one climbs up the hill from Dartmouth Park Road. The photo montages do not adequately represent the visual impact of these proposals, showing only perspective views from ground level near the building where they are least visible. The proposed masts would be prominently visible from many locations, both from their homes and from the street level.</li> <li>2. Haddow house is also a very striking example of modern architecture which has its merits, including the drama of the glaze stair enclosures. The design and massing of the roof scape with its water tanks has been carefully considered to scale down the massing of the building as it meets the sky and is an interesting feature of the skyline when viewed from Lissenden Mansions. The proposals to install mobile masts would disfigure the building and be highly visible on the skyline, undermining the contribution this building makes to the local area. The visual appearance equipment of this type also gives the impression of degradation and neglect of the building and its immediate area; Haddow House deserves better.</li> <li>3. For all of the above reasons the proposals would therefore also have a negative impact on the conservation area, and as outlined above would be visible from many locations in the area, including as far away as the peak of Chetwynd Road.</li> <li>4. This proposal has already been rejected in a previous application and should therefore be refused on the same grounds.</li> </ol>