

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/4965/T	Tim Herbert-Smith	02/11/2020 16:35:19	OBJ	<p>These comments &amp; objections are made on behalf of CRASH , the combined residents association of South Hampstead. The application refers to 5 trees , 3 of which the applicant is proposing to fell. No justification is provided for this other than the repeated comment that each tree has a large cavity at the base &amp; is covered in creeper. There is no description of the size or nature of the cavities or creepers , no reference to any disease or rot . The trees shown on the plan are all at the rear of the garden, well away from buildings .</p> <p>The South Hampstead Conservation Area Character Appraisal &amp; Management Strategy Document issued in February 2011states as follows :</p> <p>5.23 tree cover should be extended &amp; enhanced where possible for reasons of wildlife habitat, amenity value, sense of well-being &amp; place , filtering &amp; absorbing harmful gases , lowering dust &amp; noise levels etc.</p> <p>5.25 views along rear garden vistas &amp; areas of dense tree cover are characteristic of the conservation area &amp; should be protected</p> <p>13.85 the Council will generally resist removal of trees unless dead/dying or dangerous causing damage to buildings or not considered to be of visual or wildlife importance. Unsympathetic pruning will also be resisted. Nothing in the application provides evidence justifying felling the trees contrary to the Council's strategy . The trees are mature limes &amp; clearly have visual &amp; wildlife importance. This is one of numerous applications being made to fell trees in the area ; it is vital for all the reasons stated in the Strategy Document that the Council is seen to be taking firm action to resist the constant erosion of the character of the Conservation Area by tree felling.</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/4965/T	Anne and Cyrille Alexandre	02/11/2020 16:52:05	OBJ	<p>Dear Sir/ Madam,</p> <p>We wish to object to the planning application number 2020/4965/T, made for intended works to trees in a TPO at 16 Aberdare Gardens.</p> <p>The five trees between our property at 170 Goldhurst Terrace and 16 Aberdare Gardens have all been cut by 50% in 2016, providing a lot of light to the property and garden at 16 Aberdare. While those trees have grown back to restore the green curtain characteristic of our conservation area and some privacy between properties, they are still much smaller than previously and some minimal trimming should be sufficient to keep light flowing into 16 Aberdare.</p> <p>In the application for the works to trees carried out at 16 Aberdare in 2016, the three lime trees that are proposed to be fell down to ground today are described as having <math>\delta</math>wounds on the main stem [<math>\delta</math>] most likely caused by a bonfire several years ago<math>\delta</math>. However, there is no mention that any of those trees <math>\delta</math>has a large cavity at base<math>\delta</math>, as is the case in today<math>\delta</math>s application. We doubt the afore-mentioned fireworks caused damage to all trees <math>\delta</math>at base<math>\delta</math>. We also doubt the cavities developed on all three trees at the same time in the last four year. We therefore suggest that there is no urgent need to fell down those trees on safety grounds due to those <math>\delta</math>cavities<math>\delta</math>.</p> <p>On the other hand, felling down three lime trees aligned next to each other would create an abrupt break in the conservation area green curtain and would change its character. Moreover, it would create a large hole depriving most adjacent properties from privacy.</p> <p>Many thanks for your attention.</p> <p>Best regards,</p> <p>Anne and Cyrille Alexandre</p>

---