

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/3279/P	Heath	19/10/2020 16:49:40	COMMNT	<p>Dear Camden,</p> <p>I object to this development on the basis that - despite the application claiming the opposite - it will be visible externally. It is my view that this should be taken into consideration when any decisions are made regarding this application and the clarity of the information presented within it.</p>
2020/3279/P	David Jaffe	19/10/2020 16:43:17	COMMNT	<p>I have inspected the proposed plans for the "sunken terrace" at the property which do not,as the application states, indicate that it is not visible from the road. I completely disagree with same as it could easily be seen from the opposite pavement without having to crane one's neck. It is interesting to note that the plans were submitted by the same architect acting for the adjoining property a few years ago. Despite the passage of time said application has still not been determined and the proposal for this terrace at No 63 Netherhall Gardens is identical to No 61!</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/3279/P	Sheila Coope Jalving	19/10/2020 11:31:12	COMMNT	<p>From: Sheila Coope Jalving (Mrs), Ground Floor Flat. NW3 5RE 020 7794 7492 scoope@btopenworld.com Please note this is a Conservation area. NOTE Application is for 63B, 2nd. floor. (not 63) Objections to part of the Planning application 2020/3279 /P re. 63B Netherhall Gardens, NW3 5RE (or Flat B, 63, Netherhall Gardens.) NOT 63 I object to the changes to the front section of the main, flat roof, of 63, Netherhall Gardens, by raising a section of the front, main flat roof, by adding a roof light / lantern over a section of the front edge of the main flat roof with windows (Similar to the same alterations to the main flat roof at 61 Netherhall Gardens, in Planning Application 2017/5627/P submitted November 2017. No decision, yet.) (N.B. The buildings known as 61 & 63 Netherhall Gardens, are semi-detached, and each was converted into three flats in approximately 1955.) (The front elevation of no. 61 varies slightly from the 63 front elevation. These alterations were carried out BEFORE the Conservation Area was established.) A local resident, (an Architect) and a Chartered Surveyor have stated, in their opinion, that the proposed new roof light / lantern is not acceptable, as it will be seen from the street and contravenes the Conservation Area requirements. (similar to the proposed changes to the flat roof of number 61, Netherhall,) in Planning Application 2017/5627/P) I wish to state re. 63 Flat B, Netherhall the new addition to the front of the roof will be visible from the exterior and should NOT be approved, in the Conservation Area, please.</p> <p>POSSIBILITY of ASBESTOS in one of the LOFTS above Flat 63B ?? (I believe an Asbestos inspection maybe needed?) The application, for 63B, Netherhall Gardens, includes removing some of the attic / loft areas. I am NOT certain in which loft / attic the following asbestos item is located. A former owner of 63B mentioned to me, many years ago, having been informed by his Builder, that there was an asbestos item in one of the attics, above flat 63B. The then owner was told NOT to remove the asbestos. .A more recent owner of 63B said he has seen the tank, in a loft, but did not investigate.) It could either be 1. the disused, former, communal, cold water tank, which may have been made of asbestos (it was replaced by individual cold water supplies which were installed in each of the three flats, many years ago, before 1980.) OR 2, there is an asbestos lining around the disused cold water tank ?? Does this need to be investigated and tested?. Asbestos dust is very harmful and can cause cancer.. Best Regards, S. Coope Jalving (Mrs.)</p>