

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/3034/P	John and Vesta Curtis	15/10/2020 21:05:44	OBJ	<p>We would like to lodge a strong objection. This proposed extension clearly represents overdevelopment of a small site with a detrimental affect on the surrounding properties (we understand that the owner of no 5 who has stated she has no objection to the development is a business associate of the applicant). In recent months a vast amount of earth has been removed from no. 3, and it is clear that the intention of the developer is to turn no. 3 into as many flats as possible, although this is not stated in the application. This will have an adverse impact on local amenities and, of course, on parking, which is already inadequate. .</p> <p>This same developer has gutted no. 2 Hillfield Road and has applied for permission to build flats in the garden of no. 1 Hillfield Road. He has an appalling track record and the work on no. 2 has been ongoing for an incredible 12 years! The work has been done with complete and selfish disregard for the interests and welfare of neighbouring residents who have been subjected to filth, noise and disruption for the whole of this period. As a result of this cavalier behaviour, no. 2 is now overrun with rats.</p> <p>The idea that this developer should be allowed to undertake yet more work in the neighbourhood is beyond comprehension and the application should be robustly rejected.</p>
2020/3034/P	Eleanor Naughten	16/10/2020 07:53:29	OBJ	<p>I would like to object to this application on the following grounds:</p> <p>Loss of amenity: This application will completely fill the side return and result in a significant loss of amenity for the neighbouring properties. In particular number 5 will be very overlooked if this application is approved and completed. I would note that whilst I understand somebody purporting to be a member of the management company of number 5 has supported the application, that individual does not live in the property and is a business and family associate of the applicant. They have very recently bought the property, presumably so they can reduce the objections to their ongoing over development of this property.</p> <p>Overdevelopment: the development to this property so far has already resulted in a loss of amenity. Further piecemeal development should not be allowed as it will chip away at the property to lead to gradual overdevelopment of the site.</p> <p>Disruption to residents: Although I understand that disruption of works is not part of the planning considerations, I think the fact that the applicant has a track record of starting and not completing works in this very small cul de sac, operating with complete disregard for the other residents must be taken into account. The site at number 2 is also owned by this individual who has spent 13 years digging a large hole, stopping and starting the works, and the result is a derelict shell which is overrun with rats.</p>