

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/3943/P	Dan	13/10/2020 17:18:20	OBJ	I object to this as although it is a good idea, and an excellent way to expand given the limited size of the indoor shop, it will cause lots of noise. Haverstock hill is a noisy place for residents as it is and this will only add to it, especially for people living in the approximate vicinity
2020/3943/P	Danton Hope	13/10/2020 16:33:46	COMMNT	<p>I am writing to oppose the application. To build such a roof terrace in the local area is unprecedented and the construction should not be approved. It would obviously change the appearance of the high street facade. Our main concern is the noise and disturbance from the proposed terrace. We already get a lot of noise from the George pub from people coming and going quite late. This would be a terrace in constant use and create disturbance to the large number of neighbouring properties. While we feel we will be adversely effected the properties directly adjacent to the terrace would get a huge in create in noise from early in the day to late at night. We do not see how this can be deemed acceptable.</p> <p>I understand the motivation behind this may have been COVID and the need to offer outside space to continue operating during this difficult time. As the venue is never heavily populated and has changed ownership many times in recent years I cannot see why this addition space is required beyond the short term. I would also like to add that allowing a terrace does not tie it into the current restaurant use and there is no restriction placed on the venue once again changing ownership and the area being used in a way that would have an even greater negative impact on the surrounding residential population.</p> <p>We kindly ask you reject the application.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/3943/P	Eleanor Gutmann	13/10/2020 20:17:06	OBJ	Flat 1a Rosslyn Court NW3 4PU 13.10.20

Re PLANNING APPLICATION 2020/3941/P 240 Haverstock Hill NW3 2AE

Dear Sir/Madam

We strongly object to this application because the resulting change will result in more noise and disturbance especially in the late evenings, and interrupt our privacy. The installation of a roof terrace would be out of place with the surrounding environment and residential and commercial properties; there is no other such permitted facility in this area and so it would be out of character as well as being detrimental to our amenities in Rosslyn Court, which is close by: less than 50 metres from the proposed development.

We consider that this is also an over-development of the site, and likely to cause increased traffic problems from customers accessing the site when there is extremely limited parking in the area.

Creating an open-air roof terrace on 240 Haverstock Hill for eating and drinking in this location would create an unwelcome noise nuisance.

We believe that this development application in its current form should be fully rejected.

Yours faithfully

Eleanor & David Gutmann

Application No: 2020/3943/P
Consultees Name: John R Chamberlain
Received: 13/10/2020 17:12:15
Comment: OBJ
Response: Re: Planning Application - 2020/3943/P – Alp's Kitchen

I live in Rosslyn Court on Ornan Road, NW3 4PU which is essentially faced by the property in question close by (240 Haverstock Hill NW3 2AE), and which is less than 50 metres from it (see aerial photograph which I am emailing in separately). We are 24 residents in this building including vulnerable residents.

In the mid-late evenings when trying to sleep I am already disturbed by noise nuisance coming from the George Pub at 250 Haverstock Hill, which is few doors down from the property in question and not even in line with Rosslyn Court. I have complained to the George Pub a number of times but the noise continues, meaning I often cannot sleep from the noise nuisance. The sound easily travels from Haverstock Hill to us down the gap between Rosslyn Court and the buildings behind.

To make an open-air roof terrace at Alp's Kitchen at 240 Haverstock Hill for open air eating and drinking into the evening and right in line with our building would create a new and very unwelcome noise nuisance, and this application should not be allowed at all in its current proposed form. The sound would travel to us in the same manner, but no doubt more so as its closer than The George, and significantly disturb us when trying to sleep. Any proposed screens or 'timber planks for privacy' would not help the issue as noise will still travel over them to disturb us, and asking patrons to be quiet is clearly a non-starter.

If instead the applicant made it a fully enclosed additional storey, with a roof, full walls and fixed windows where the sound could not escape, I think I would not object to that. However this really would need to be a fully enclosed storey, with a roof, full walls and fixed windows etc, and not just a tall screen or with openable windows which would still allow the sound to escape and disturb us into the evenings.

We do not want any new noise nuisances to disturb us, and I object to this development application in its current form and which should be fully rejected

Yours sincerely,

John R Chamberlain
Rosslyn Court,
10 Ornan Road
London NW3 4PU

Additional Point: This application has been called a "Commercial Minor Alteration", and I also object to that as it is a mislabelling. Adding a Lift and a Roof Terrace clearly is a Major Alteration, and this application should be put into that Major Alteration category.
