

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/2974/P	David Lloyd	12/10/2020 11:44:41	SUPC	<p>As the owner of the flats below we have no objection in principal with the application.</p> <p>We understand that the assumption that a S106 is not required (owing to the existence of parking to the rear of the building) that prevent the owner from applying for a residents permit for on-street parking for the additional flat, is not correct.</p> <p>Sound - the existing flat has very poor sound proofing on the floor between the flats and we suffer from this currently despite having carried out our own sound protection from below. Any development of the flat should incorporate a suitable sound proofing floating floor throughout to deal specifically with impact borne sound to meet the current building regulations and lease provisions.</p> <p>We presume the structural wall between the new bathroom in 42A and the living room in 42B will not be removed as shown on the drawings and that any structural openings are fully signed off by a competent engineer.</p> <p>We would question the need to brick up the 42B bathroom window as this is contrary to all the other windows in this elevation.</p>

---