

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/3468/P	Amy Gunning & Richard Lipsitz	05/10/2020 20:37:05	OBJ	Dear Sir / Madam,

We object to the proposed top floor rear extension on the following grounds

1. Non-compliance with Camden planning guidance: per Camden Planning Guidance set out in Clause 3.9 of *‘Altering and Extending Your Home’* (2018) a building which is itself a side extension of the main building, can be no higher than the top of the porch of the main building. The proposed extension is not compliant with this. It is similarly not compliant with the Parkhill and Upper Park Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Strategy

2. Loss of privacy: extending the top floor rearwards by 1.7m will directly negatively affect our privacy and that of the main house at no.26. From our garden we can currently see the top of the window of the existing top floor at number 24a, but not the window itself. The proposed extension will result in no.24a being able to directly look into our garden from inside their house. It will also mean they can see directly into the garden of no.26 also

?(happy to provide imagery as evidence)

1. Bulk: given the visibility of the proposed rear extension both from the gardens of the neighbouring properties, but also from the street itself, it will serve to add bulk to the visual street scene, reducing the amount of sky, trees and gaps visible when looking at the building

We have no objection to the proposed front roof light
