					Printed on:	24/09/2020	09:10:11
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:			
2020/3468/P	James Roose-Evans	22/09/2020 21:05:21	OBJ	Dear Camden Planning Office,			
	1.000 <b>.</b> D. <b>u.</b>			I object to the proposed top floor rear extension to the coach house at 24 Upper Pareasons:	o the proposed top floor rear extension to the coach house at 24 Upper Park Road for the following	following	
				1)Non-compliance with Camden planning guidance: per Camden Planning Gu of ¿Altering and Extending Your Home¿ (2018) a building which is itself a side external can be no higher than the top of the porch of the main building. The proposed external this. It is similarly not compliant with the Parkhill and Upper Park Conservation Are Management Strategy	tension of the ma ension is not com	ain building, npliant with	
				2)Bulk: given the visibility of the proposed rear extension, it will serve to add b scene, reducing the amount of sky and gaps visible when looking at the keeping with the character of the street.	oulk to the visual buildings. This is		in
				3) Mews and coach houses are a part of the whole street scene and this large altered the built environment.	e project has alre	eady hugely	
				4) I have no objection to prposed front roof light.			
				Yours Faithfully,			
				Rev. James Roose Evans			

Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/3468/P	James Roose-Evans	22/09/2020 21:05:14	OBJ	Dear Camden Planning Office,
				I object to the proposed top floor rear extension to the coach house at 24 Upper Park Road for the following reasons:
				1)Non-compliance with Camden planning guidance: per Camden Planning Guidance set out in Clause 3.9 of ¿Altering and Extending Your Home¿ (2018) a building which is itself a side extension of the main building, can be no higher than the top of the porch of the main building. The proposed extension is not compliant with this. It is similarly not compliant with the Parkhill and Upper Park Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Strategy
				2)Bulk: given the visibility of the proposed rear extension, it will serve to add bulk to the visual street scene, reducing the amount of sky and gaps visible when looking at the buildings. This is very much not in keeping with the character of the street.
				3) Mews and coach houses are a part of the whole street scene and this large project has already hugely altered the built environment.
				4) I have no objection to prposed front roof light.
				Yours Faithfully,
				Rev. James Roose Evans
2020/3468/P	Amy	20/09/2020 19:28:38	COMMNT	Please clarify the file Proposed drawings section a-a and section c-c have different heights at the back of the proposed rear extension - 2460mm and 2498mm respectively - so we can fully understand the proposal

Printed on: 24/09/2020

09:10:11