


ARBORICULTURAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

For:	Client:	
	Insurer:	
Site:	Policyholder:	
	Risk Address:	67 Aberdare Gardens, London, NW6 3AN
Refs:	PRI Ref:	
	Client Ref:	
	Insurer Ref:	

Arborist Name:	Will Hinde	Date:	18/06/2020
QC:	Margaret MacQueen	Date:	29/07/2020
Review:	Margaret MacQueen	Date:	10/09/2020



CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION & BRIEF	3
2.0 LIMITATIONS.....	3
3.0 DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	4
4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	5
5.0 STATUTORY CONTROLS.....	5
6.0 APPENDIX 1: TREE TABLES	6
7.0 APPENDIX 2: SITE PLAN.....	11
8.0 APPENDIX 3: SITE PHOTOGRAPHS.....	13



1.0 INTRODUCTION & BRIEF

- 1.1** Property Risk Inspection has been instructed behalf of the building insurers of the insured property. We have been advised that the insured property has suffered differential movement and damage that is considered to have been caused by trees growing adjacent to the property influencing soils beneath its foundations.
- 1.2** We have been instructed to undertake a survey of the vegetation growing adjacent to the insured property in order to provide our opinion as to whether, based on the available information, any of this vegetation is likely to be influencing soil moisture levels beneath the foundations of the property, and if so, to provide recommendations as to what tree management could be implemented to effectively prevent damage continuing.
- 1.3** The vegetation growing adjacent to the risk address has been surveyed from the ground. All distances are measured to the nearest point of the risk address unless otherwise stated.

2.0 LIMITATIONS

- 2.1** Recommendations with respect to tree management are associated with the risk address as stated on the front cover of this report and following consultation with investigating engineers. The survey of trees and any other vegetation is associated with impacts on the risk address subject of this report. Matters of tree health, structural condition, and/or the safety of vegetation under third party control are specifically excluded. Third party land owners are strongly advised to seek their own professional advice as it relates to the health and stability of trees under their control.
- 2.2** Recommendations do not take account of any necessary permission (statutory or otherwise) that must be obtained before proceeding with any tree works.
- 2.3** Recommendations do not take account of any requirements for survey or mitigation relating to European or other protected species, e.g. bird nesting or bats. Land owners must obtain their own professional advice in respect of any protected species.



3.0 DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

3.1 Soils, soil water and vegetation

All vegetation requires water to live, and this water is substantially accessed from the soil within which the plants' roots grow.

If the soil is classified as a clay soil, then it will hold very much more water than sands, gravels and loam soils. As plants abstract water from the clay soil, the soil volume will 'shrink' and 'swell' during the summer as water is first removed and then added by summer rainfall. In years in which rainfall during the summer is less than the total amount of water taken from the soil by plants, shrinkage will occur. This shrinkage may remove support from building foundations, leading to cracking in the fabric of the building.

3.2 Vegetation management

The control of trees, shrubs, and climbers, by removal or pruning as appropriate, are proven techniques that can control total soil water loss thereby minimising soil shrinkage and allowing repairs to proceed.

If vegetation management works are carried out promptly, then repairs can usually proceed very quickly and the duration and distress associated with the disruption that tree related subsidence brings can be minimised.

3.3 Third party liaison and statutory controls

Tree roots do not respect physical or property boundaries and can travel for many metres beyond the above ground 'dripline' of the canopy of the vegetation.

The purpose of this report is to ascertain which vegetation is the most likely substantial and/or effective contributory cause of the damage witnessed to allow for liaison with third parties or with local administrative Councils as necessary.

3.4 Evidential framework

The engineer has determined on a preliminary basis the damage to the property, its location and the likely mechanism of movement, and has concluded that the building failure is related to differential subsidence damage caused as a result of the action of vegetation.

Where a factual geotechnical report has been completed, this will describe the below ground foundation design, soil and geotechnical conditions, as well as any root identification where available.



4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 Recommendations

On the basis of our findings, we have considered a practical vegetation management specification.

This specification will assist in reducing the impact of the adjacent vegetation on soil moisture levels, thereby potentially stabilising foundations of the affected area of the building.

Where felling has been proposed, this will be on the basis that the vegetation in question would not respond well to a severe reduction in leaf area that would inevitably lead to decay, the development of potential hazards, and an annual or other on-going management commitment and cost.

If pruning is recommended, the specification will be designed to allow continual ease of re-pruning with a reasonable prospect of a reduction in soil water use.

4.2 Recommended vegetation management to address the current subsidence:

Tree No:	Species	Works Required
SG1	Euonymus	Fell and treat stumps of group and sever Ivy stems and treat.
T1	Plum	Fell and treat stump.

5.0 STATUTORY CONTROLS

LB Camden has confirmed that none of the implicated vegetation is subject to a Tree Preservation Order. But there are Conservation Area controls.



6.0 APPENDIX 1: TREE TABLES



Tree No	Common Name	Age Class	Condition	Height (m)	Crown Spread (m)	Stem diam. (mm)	Dist to bldg. (m)	Roots Implicated	Pruning history	Recommendation	Tree work constraints	Notes	Owner address	Owner
SG1	Euonymus	Early Mature	Good	3.1	1.50	20	0.3	Y	Subject to past management.	Fell and treat stumps of group and sever Ivy stems and treat.		7m long group of Euonymus with Ivy present for the 4.5m section next to the property. Euonymus implicated due to size and location, Ivy roots found in SI.	69 Aberdare Gardens London NW6 3AN	P3P
SG2	Mixed species group	Early Mature	Good	3.5	2	20*	4.5	N	Subject to past management.	No work required.		Weigela and Rose.	69 Aberdare Gardens London NW6 3AN	P3P



Tree No	Common Name	Age Class	Condition	Height (m)	Crown Spread (m)	Stem diam. (mm)	Dist to bldg. (m)	Roots Implicated	Pruning history	Recommendation	Tree work constraints	Notes	Owner address	Owner
T1	Plum	Early Mature	Good	6.4	3.0	120*	3.9	N	Subject to past management.	Fell and treat stump.			65 Aberdare Gardens London NW6 3AN	P3P
T2	Cypress	Semi-Mature	Good	3.4	1.2	35*	2.9	N	Subject to past management.	No work required.			69 Aberdare Gardens London NW6 3AN	P3P
T3	Laurel (Bay)	Mature	Good	8.1	6	200*	14.8	N	Subject to past management.	No work required.		Multi stem.	69 Aberdare Gardens London NW6 3AN	P3P
T4	Plane (London)	Mature	Good	18	10	700*	23	N	Subject to past management.	No work required.			61 Greencroft Gardens London NW6 3LJ	P3P
T5	Eucalyptus	Mature	Good	23.2	6	405	19.7	N	Subject to past management.	No work required.			67 Aberdare Gardens London NW6 3AN	PH



Tree No	Common Name	Age Class	Condition	Height (m)	Crown Spread (m)	Stem diam. (mm)	Dist to bldg. (m)	Roots Implicated	Pruning history	Recommendation	Tree work constraints	Notes	Owner address	Owner
T6	Horse Chestnut	Early Mature	Good	22.5	16	1000*	21	N	Subject to past management.	No work required.		Large amount of Ivy around base and on stem. Location and DBH are estimated. Thought to be possible cause of damage due to size but no roots found.	69 Aberdare Gardens London NW6 3AN	P3P
T7	Sycamore	Mature	Good	20.2	14	800*	20*	N	Subject to past management.	No work required.		No direct line of sight from building to tree. Thought to be possible cause of damage due to size but no roots found.	71 Aberdare Gardens London NW6 3AN	P3P




Tree No	Common Name	Age Class	Condition	Height (m)	Crown Spread (m)	Stem diam. (mm)	Dist to bldg. (m)	Roots Implicated	Pruning history	Recommendation	Tree work constraints	Notes	Owner address	Owner
T8	Poplar	Mature	Good	24.5	15.0	800*	26*	N	Subject to past management.	No work required.		View is very restricted, cannot see fence lines so location is estimated. Thought to be possible cause of damage due to size and species characteristics, but as with the other trees no roots were found.	71 Aberdare Gardens London NW6 3AN	P3P

* Value is estimated



7.0 APPENDIX 2: SITE PLAN





Location:	67 Aberdare Gardens, London, NW6 3AN
Job Ref:	[REDACTED]
Survey Date:	18/06/2020 - NTS
By Property Risk Inspection - Insurance Services	
Crown copyright 2016. License number 100043594	




8.0 APPENDIX 3: SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



	
T1 - Plum	SG1 - Euonymus
	
SG2 - Mixed species group	T2 - Cypress
	
T3 - Laurel (Bay)	T4 - Plane (London)
	
T5 - Eucalyptus	T5 - Eucalyptus
	
T6 - Horse Chestnut	T7 - Sycamore





	
<p>T8 - Poplar</p>	<p>T8 - Poplar</p>
	
<p>T8 - Poplar</p>	



Property Risk Inspection

L I M I T E D



Property Services



Insurance Services



Planning Services



Consumer Services



Data Services