

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/3958/P	Karen Fraser	16/09/2020 01:04:40	OBJ	<p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>I am distressed to learn that new antenna poles are being proposed near a friend of mine who lives close by the proposed location. I know that my friend is a sufferer from EMFs, and has long term physical and mental health issues. His immune system is thus far from strong, and an additional tower where there are already several, will no doubt have adverse affects on him.</p> <p>I visit my friend on an almost daily basis, as his carer, and thus will also be affected as I am sensitive to frequencies, and am already affected by mobile phones and land lines, and the new street lighting near to where I live.</p> <p>All humans are sensitive to environmental electromagnetic fields (EMF), both natural and manmade. The degree of environmental sensitivity to EMF energy depends on the individual. It ranges from high sensitivity, producing severe symptoms for a few, to some people who feel little or no symptoms.</p> <p>I would like the council to slow down in their implementation of 5G, when 3 and 4G are perfectly adequate, and 5G is known to be a frequency used by the army. What is such a frequency doing on our urban streets? 5G is known to affect insects, bees, plants, and humans.</p> <p>Surveys suggest that up to 40% of the general population link symptoms to environmental man-made EMFs. Common symptoms include headaches, blurred vision, a sense of internal pressure, disturbed sleep, concentration difficulties, depression, a lack of energy, fatigue, flu-like symptoms and digestive problems or increased urge for urination.</p> <p>In the long term, the removal of the cause of the symptoms also removes the symptoms (Nordic Council of Ministers, ICD, 2000). For short-term tests, removal of the cause applies only to the particular frequency and modulation to which the individual is allergic, and even then individuals will react differently on different occasions, with different cumulative effects. Individual susceptibility is always variable.</p> <p>I urge the council to show restraint in their roll-out of this toxic waveband, and consider the disastrous impact it will have on the local area both environmentally and regarding human health in all its spheres.</p> <p>I am extremely concerned for the health of my friend, for all the people who live nearby, as well as the animal and plant life nearby also. I strongly object to this proposed tower.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/3958/P	Gurmit	16/09/2020 01:41:17	OBJ	<p>Dear Sir or Madam,</p> <p>I am a local resident, who is electro-sensitive.</p> <p>When I pass a mast in the street I can feel the pressure of it on my head, and sometimes have palpitations as I pass it.</p> <p>I am dismayed to find that the council is proposing to place another tower near to my home, where I know there is already at least one.</p> <p>We do not need any more electro magnetic radiation in this area. I am already bombarded with it on a daily basis.</p> <p>5 G is known to be an even more powerful, and deadly form of radiation, which is untested by the telecom companies themselves, who admit it. No money has been spent by them on testing the safety of 5G. We are its guinea pigs in that case.</p> <p>That includes children who are especially at risk, considering the softness and developmental stage of their brains, and other organs. We are bringing them up in a toxic radioactive soup.</p> <p>For the most part, health concerns have ranked as a tiny footnote in the midst of the massive hoopla about 5G's speed and capacity, although trade magazines admit that there may be "some objections" to 5G due to "concerns over potential health risks." In both Europe and the U.S., however, individuals living and working in proximity to newly installed 5G towers and antennas are telling a different story. Many have immediately started experiencing health problems such as insomnia, miscarriage, memory problems and other neurological issues, and there are widespread reports of annihilation of insect and bird populations.</p> <p>A United Nations whistleblower recently drew attention to 5G's dramatic impact on health in a widely circulated series of comments about 5G's "seemingly overnight" rollout in Vienna, Austria. Describing 5G as a "silent war," she commented:</p> <p>"...Children are the most vulnerable to 5G depredation because of their little bodies. Friends and acquaintances and their children in Vienna are already reporting the classic symptoms of EMR [electromagnetic radiation] poisoning: nosebleeds, headaches, eye pains, chest pains, nausea, fatigue, vomiting, tinnitus, dizziness, flu-like symptoms, and cardiac pain. They also report a tight band around the head; pressure on the top of the head; short, stabbing pains around the body; and buzzing internal organs."</p> <p>Current reports about 5G's health risks should have been anticipated based on warning signs dating back to 2G cellular technology. In a 2004 pilot study involving functional brain scans of fire fighters who had worked for up to five years in fire stations with 2G cell towers, the researchers concluded that the only plausible explanation for the firefighters' symptoms—"slowed reaction time, lack of focus, lack of impulse control, severe headaches, anesthesia-like sleep, sleep deprivation, depression, and tremors"—was the radiofrequency radiation exposure from the towers. The International Association of Fire Fighters then went on record as opposing "the use of fire stations as base stations for towers and/or antennas for the conduction of cell phone transmissions until a study with the highest scientific merit and integrity...is conducted and it is proven that such sightings are not hazardous to the health of our members."</p> <p>Fibre optic cables are already in use for most of the world's internet transmissions. According to a 2010 article in America "ninety-nine percent of the Internet's physical distance has been strung with fiber already."</p> <p>This means there's a good chance that the street where your home or workplace is located already has fibre optic cable running along its length, even though it may not yet be in use (this is known as "dark fibre").</p>

Application No: Consultees Name: Received:

Comment: Response:

The problem stems from what's called "the last mile": the distance between the street's central fibre optic cable and the customer's wifi router or receiving devices. Until recently, this last mile (which might be only 20 feet in some cases) has ordinarily been wired with coaxial cable or DSL (Digital Subscriber Lines). Such cables transmit data reliably over shielded wires, but the transmission speed is relatively slow compared to fibre optics.

It's the last mile that the two competing new technologies propose to speed up. This can be done in two ways: either by replacing the coaxial or DSL cable running from the street to your home with additional fibre optic cable, or by simply beaming signals wirelessly to your devices using antennae referred to as "small cells".

Wireless 5G requires the installation of millions of small cellular antennae in order to ensure continuous coverage.¹ That's because the wavelengths they use have a very short range, so antennae have to be placed close together. For indoor use, small cells might need to be located as little as 10 meters apart. Outdoors, small cells have a range varying from 500 meters to 2.5 kilometers. 2. Some neighbourhoods might end up with small cells located on almost every telephone pole.

Different companies use different frequency bands for their 5G, but the most important thing to note is that none of the wireless 5G technologies have undergone any safety testing whatsoever with respect to the impact of these waves on human health. None. This was admitted by industry representatives at a U.S. Senate hearing held on February 7, 2019, an excerpt of which can be seen in the online YouTube video.

Please note my huge concern over this invasion of my physical space by this type of untested technology. How many illnesses is Camden going to be dealing with in the coming months, years etc, which could have been prevented?

Lloyds of London the insurance brokers have refused to insure people against possible health issues caused by wireless technology. That tells you a lot. They are anticipating a tidal wave of applications for compensation by people suffering more and more severe symptoms from this type of technology as time passes, technology sitting right there on the pavement outside people's homes, outside your home, and near to my home.

I want Camden to pay the greatest attention to this issue, as it will be appearing again and again and again in the foreseeable future. It's not going to go away. Please please please consider children's health foremost. They are the future. Don't destroy their health in your rush to obtain money from telecom companies, if that is what is happening. Don't rush to install something into this local landscape that has detrimental health affects, which have been tested by independent organisations and found to be hazardous.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/3958/P	Claudine	15/09/2020 14:27:42	OBJ	<p>I cannot believe how backward and slow to catch on the UK is being about the dangers of 5G!!</p> <p>US cities and entire countries are voting to halt 5G until proper testing on its safety have been carried out instead of using the general public as guinea pigs as the UK government seems to think is the way to go!</p> <p>Over 500 cities in Italy have passed resolutions to halt 5g until safety research has been completed. Entire countries like France, Switzerland and Nigeria are having a national conversation on the safety of 5G and they are launching major investigations to research the issue of safety.</p> <p>A recently released EU report clearly shows that there is a great cause for concern. In addition, hundreds of leading international scientists are warning about adverse health effects and global harm to the environment, animals, and people, places, and most recently Hvar in Croatia, have decided to halt the further rollout. In February 2019, the telecommunications industry in the United States was forced to admit during a Senate hearing that no (!) safety studies regarding 5G exist!</p> <p>The residents of the UK, need our health boards and local authorities to only work from the perspectives of a precautionary principle and safeguard our health interests, rather than lead us wrongly because they are blinded by monetary profit instead of human and biological needs.</p> <p>In February 2020, the European Parliament has stated that more research is needed on this issue regarding potential negative effects of electromagnetic radiation as well as of 5G on human health. However, the UK shines with naivety bordering on the criminal and lack of timely care.</p> <p>I don't know how people working with 5G can sleep at night. Don't they have any conscience whatsoever? !! I hope whoever is charge of this looming nightmare will do the right thing and abandon this 5G project.</p>
