				Printed on: 16/09/2020 09:10:06
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/3899/T	Robert Dowsett	15/09/2020 11:59:35	COMMNT	I live at 6 Gayton Crescent and my house is directly opposite the centre of the garden. In fact, I will be the most effected by its felling.
				The view from my house has the afore-mentioned maple tree on the right hand side some 15 yards away.
				I do not believe consent should be given for its felling with one proviso mentioned below. The tree provides shade to the road (Gayton Crescent) and oxygen to the atmosphere. It also enhances the view of the garden giving a rustic aspect in contrast to the built environment elsewhere.
				Many trees have been lost in Gayton Road. To lose another just around the corner would be very disappointing - the trees which were lost in Gayton Road cannot be replaced as below the pavements the ground is full to the brim with services (gas, electricity, cable TV etc). The inability to replace trees in Gayton Road was confirmed seven years ago by Camden Tree Section (Mr Cameron Brown, who I believe had a senior role).
				I have a large number of trees in my rear garden and I accept the cost of them is having the larger trees pruned every 3 or 4 years. I think the residents of "Gayton Place" (as the development is known) should accept this as a cost of having a beautiful communal garden.
				The proviso is that, if the tree is causing cracking in the wall (which should be determined as there is another crack in the wall which does not appear to be vegetation related), there may be little option but to fell the tree. If not, it should not be felled.
				Nonetheless, if it is felled, I believe that the residents of "Gayton Place" should be asked to plant a replacement of a variety of tree (of which I believe there are many) which does not cause cracking or subsidence.
				Yours sincerely, Robert Dowsett