				Printed on: 14/09/2020 09:10:14
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:
2020/3276/P	Gavin Henderson	12/09/2020 14:58:56	OBJ	We are writing to object to the principle of further extending 63 Torriano Avenue into the rear garden due to the existing proximity to the properties on Torriano Cottages. We have no objection to the principle of the side infill extension.
				The existing rear extension is only 9m away from the rear wall of number 32 Torriano Cottages so the proposed reduction in distance by 1.3m is proportionally significant. The current proximity between properties on Torriano Avenue and Torriano Cottages already creates difficulties in terms of adjacency, in particular noise breakout. These difficulties are increased when properties extend further into their gardens, both in terms of noise from the properties themselves and from garden activities which are pushed closer to neighbouring houses. The proposed extension would project beyond the rear extensions on neighbouring houses along Torriano Avenue: extending further than these existing extensions would not only impact on the properties on Torriano Cottages but would disproportionately reduce garden space with regard to the character and scale of the existing property and create a precedent for further loss of external space in this densely built-up context.
				We note that Section AA (existing and proposed) and Section BB (propsed) both incorrectly annotate the buildings to the right as Torriano Avenue. These are actually the rear walls of Torriano Cottages.