



Arboricultural Impact Assessment

76 Lawn Road, Camden NW3 2XB

Client Name: Richard Lipsitz

Project Number: P3430.1.2

Date: 23 July 2020

Client:	Richard Lipsitz
Site:	76 Lawn Road, Camden, London NW3 2XB
Report ref.:	P3430.1.2
Prepared by:	Richard Parmee BSc MSc Tech Cert (ArborA) MA ArborA GradCIEEM
Reviewed by:	Rachel Hickey BSc MSc
Approved by:	Richard Parmee BSc MSc Tech Cert (ArborA) MA ArborA GradCIEEM
Date:	23 July 2020
Version:	FINAL

agb Environmental Ltd has prepared this document in accordance with the instructions of Richard Lipsitz for their sole and specific use. Any other persons who use any information contained herein do so at their own risk.

© agb Environmental Ltd 2020
Newmarket Business Centre
341 Exning Road, Newmarket, CB8 0AT
Tel: 01638 663226
www.agbenvironmental.co.uk



agb Environmental Ltd

1 Report Summary

This Arboricultural Impact Assessment has been prepared to support a planning application for development at 76 Lawn Road, Camden, London.

The site is a detached residential property in North London. It is proposed to excavate a basement level beneath the current building footprint, extended further into the garden to the rear.

The site contains several trees, both to the front and rear, which could be affected by development. The potential impacts on trees and proposed mitigation measures are set out in the table.

Potential Development Impact	Trees Affected	Proposed Mitigation Measures
Removal of trees due to incompatibility with the development.	T3, T4, T5 and T6	None – extensive tree cover will be retained.
Excavation within the RPA for the basement, leading to root damage and removal.	T1 and T7.	Use hand excavation for the final 600mm closest to trees and to 600mm depth. Use a clean, sharp hand saw for root removal to minimise wound size. The excavation must be lined with an impermeable membrane to prevent leachate from concrete affecting tree roots.
Future structural damage leading to tree removal to alleviate.	All retained trees.	Design foundations to tolerate the presence of trees.
Damage to tree roots from compaction and contamination from construction activities. Damage to tree stems and crowns from construction activities.	All retained trees.	Erect protective fencing to encompass all sections of tree crowns and RPAs, whichever is the greater, with this erected prior to the commencement of development and maintained in place until all development is complete. Install ground protection where working space is required within any RPA.

At the time of writing the report, it is understood that the site is within the Parkhill Conservation Area and that trees T1 and T7, as numbered within this report, are subject to Tree Preservation Orders. The status of tree protection can change at any time and must be confirmed with the Local Planning Authority prior to the commencement of any tree work.

This report sets out that the removal of four trees is required to allow space for development, together with the methodology for construction and tree protection requirements. As all trees that require removal are of small size and / or low quality, with only one having any public visibility, the impact of tree removal is considered to be minimal.

Any development impacts on retained trees can be effectively mitigated, subject to the correct implementation of all tree protection and the construction methodology.

Contents

1	Report Summary	2
2	Introduction	5
2.1	Brief	5
2.2	Documents and Information	5
2.3	Survey Details and Constraints	5
3	Site and Surrounding Area Context.....	7
3.1	Site Description.....	7
3.2	Soil Assessment	7
3.3	Existing Tree Stock Summary	7
4	Statutory Tree Protection	8
5	Principal Survey Findings and Arboricultural Impacts.....	9
5.1	Development Proposals	9
5.2	Tree Removals and Reduction.....	9
5.2.1	Removal and Reduction for Reasons of Condition	9
5.2.2	Removal and Reduction for Reasons of Incompatibility	9
5.2.3	Assessment of Proposed Tree Removal and Reduction	9
5.3	Tree Interface with Proposals.....	9
6	Arboricultural Method Statement.....	11
6.1	Guidance Utilised	11
6.2	Contact Details	11
6.3	Tree Works	11
6.4	Tree Protection	12
6.5	Construction Access / Materials Storage.....	12
6.6	Provision of New Foundations Within RPAs.....	13
6.7	Service Provision	13
6.8	Schedule of Works and Supervision.....	13
6.9	General Guidance.....	14
7	Conclusions	15
8	References	16

Appendix 1 Explanatory Notes for Terms Used in Appendices 3, 4 & 5

Appendix 2 Tree Photographs

Appendix 3 Tree Survey Table

Appendix 4 Tree Constraints Plan

Appendix 5 Tree Protection Plan

Appendix 6 Tree Protective Fencing Specification

Appendix 7 Ground Protection Details

2 Introduction

2.1 Brief

agb Environmental Ltd was commissioned by Richard Lipsitz to undertake an Arboricultural Survey at 76 Lawn Road, Camden, London to accompany a planning application. The purpose of the survey was to identify:

- Age class, condition, dimensions, general health and Root Protection Area (RPA);
- Constraints and potential tree removals in respect of the proposed layout;
- The location and means of protecting retained trees; and
- Preliminary methodology for implementing the proposed layout.

2.2 Documents and Information

The following documents were utilised in the preparation of this report:

- Drawing 01-2274-A-Topographic Survey - Topographical Survey;
- Drawing 20007_P_20_099_Basement Plan;
- Drawing 20007_P_20_100_Ground Floor Plan;
- Drawing 20007_S_20_201_Section B;
- Drawing 20007_S_20_202_Section C; and
- BS5837:2012 *Trees in relation to design, demolition & construction - Recommendations*.

2.3 Survey Details and Constraints

The survey was undertaken on the 8th October 2019 by the agb Environmental Arboricultural Consultant, in adherence to the principles of BS5837:2012 *Trees in relation to design, demolition & construction - Recommendations*. Tree inspections have been undertaken from ground level using non-invasive techniques only, in accordance with the principles of the Visual Tree Assessment method developed by Mattheck and Breloer (1994).

The survey obtained data on seven individual trees and one group. Trees with a stem diameter below 75mm, when measured at 1.5m above ground level, were not included. The terms used to explain the data recorded are provided in **Appendix 1**.

Comments on tree condition and safety relate to the condition of trees at the time of survey. It should be recognised that tree condition is subject to change in response to a range of factors. This report does not take into account potential extreme climatic events that would be unexpected in this locality (which could include, but aren't restricted to, severe windstorms, floods or drought), or potential outbreaks of pests or diseases.

This report contains work recommendations to manage the risks posed to and by trees responsibly, reducing them to an acceptable level. Even after the recommended work has been carried out some trees could still fail, but it is unlikely that such failure will cause significant harm unless the weather conditions are extreme and / or there are major hidden defects.

This report considers the potential for trees to influence soil in such a way as to cause the proposed development, or other buildings, to suffer tree related subsidence or heave damage, but does not attempt to quantify this. Operations carried out in the vicinity of the trees, either in the past or future, could affect their health and stability; such operations could include, but aren't restricted to, trenches dug for the installation or repair of utilities.

3 Site and Surrounding Area Context

3.1 Site Description

The site was a semi-detached two-storey dwelling on the west side of Lawn Road, Camden. Surrounding land use is predominantly residential, with surrounding mature tree cover.

Vehicular access was via the driveway along the southern boundary, with pedestrian access from steps to the rear of the front garden. The site sloped up from the road to the house, with a level raised lawn area, containing trees and shrubs. The house was located in the eastern half of the plot, with a patio area directly to the rear, leading to a level rear lawn, containing mature trees and shrubs via a flight of steps to the south. Access to the rear garden was via the house or side path and gate along the south boundary.

3.2 Soil Assessment

Information from the Geology of Britain viewer (British Geological Survey, 2019) indicates that the bedrock geology local to the property is London Clay Formation – Clay, Silt and Sand. Clay soils generally have a high potential for volume change in response to soil moisture change, possibly resulting from the presence of trees.

An assessment of the soil conditions within the site will be required to inform foundation construction. This assessment must be made by a qualified structural engineer or geotechnical consultant.

3.3 Existing Tree Stock Summary

Photographs are provided in **Appendix 2**. Full details of all trees surveyed are provided in the Tree Survey Table in **Appendix 3**, with locations in relation to the site in the Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) in **Appendix 4**.

A summary of the trees surveyed is provided in **Table 3.1**.

Table 3.1. Tree survey summary.

Categorisation	Cat A	Cat B	Cat C	Cat U
Trees	1	2	3	1
Groups	0	0	1	0
Species	Trees		Groups	
Apple	1		-	
Cherry	1		1	
Cotoneaster	1		-	
Dawn redwood	1		-	
Holm oak	-		1	
Magnolia	1		-	
Sycamore	1		-	
Yew	1		-	

4 Statutory Tree Protection

It has been confirmed with Camden Council via a telephone enquiry (11th December 2019) that the site was located within the Parkhill Conservation Area (CA), and that the trees numbered within this report as T1 and T7 are subject to Tree Preservation Orders (TPO). The status of tree protection can change at any time and should be confirmed with the Local Planning Authority (LPA) prior to any works on the trees taking place.

Where statutory tree protection is in place, this provides the LPA with a degree of control over tree work. Anyone wishing to carry out work to protected trees will need to contact the LPA prior to commencing any work, with the process dependent on the nature of tree protection in place. The presence of statutory tree protection may prevent work that would otherwise be rightfully conducted, such as reducing overhanging branches from a neighbour's tree back to the boundary.

For trees within a CA, statutory protection is relevant to any tree species with a stem diameter of 75mm or above, when measured at 1.5m above ground level. Anyone wishing to carry out work to such trees is legally required to notify the LPA a minimum of six weeks before commencing. The LPA may treat the notification as a planning application, including a public consultation and a site visit from an officer. If the LPA objects to the proposed work, it must serve a TPO during the six-week period to prevent it taking place. If the six-week period lapses without a TPO having been served, the work may then proceed, normally to be completed within two years of notification, beyond which a new notification is required.

Where trees are subject to TPO, work requires written permission from the LPA. Applications must be submitted using the standard form, normally available from their website or on request. This is treated as a planning application, with a period of public consultation and a visit from an officer. The LPA must normally determine the application within eight weeks, issuing a decision letter. The applicant has a right of appeal if dissatisfied with the decision.

In both circumstances, where work is required in an emergency, this is exempt from the above process, though anyone carrying out such work should contact the LPA to advise them that this is the case prior to commencing.

If this report is submitted to accompany a planning application, any tree work specified, relating to trees subject to statutory tree protection, will be considered as part of that application. Therefore, if planning permission is subsequently granted, this would normally provide permission for all tree work. Clarification may be sought from the LPA over this.

5 Principal Survey Findings and Arboricultural Impacts

The main findings are summarised in the following section. For ease of reference, it is recommended that this section is cross referenced with the information and plans provided within **Appendices 3, 4 and 5**.

5.1 Development Proposals

The proposal is to construct a basement level beneath the current building footprint, with the exception of the rear of the property where the basement area will extend further into the garden. External changes to landscaping form part of the proposal.

5.2 Tree Removals and Reduction

Details of all tree work and tree removals are provided in **Table 6.2** and illustrated on the Tree Protection Plan (TPP) provided in **Appendix 5**.

5.2.1 Removal and Reduction for Reasons of Condition

Work recommended irrespective of development is as follows:

- Removal of T2 to prevent property damage; and
- Crown reduction of T4 to prevent obstruction of the garden space.

5.2.2 Removal and Reduction for Reasons of Incompatibility

Trees T3, T4, T5 and T6 would need to be removed to make space for the proposed development.

5.2.3 Assessment of Proposed Tree Removal and Reduction

All four trees are of small size and / or low quality, with only T3 having any public visibility due to its location within the front garden. The larger, better quality and more prominent trees are to be retained.

5.3 Tree Interface with Proposals

Where trees are retained, both the works required to develop the site and its future use have potential to adversely affect trees, either causing damage to them or threatening their long-term retention. Damage can occur both above ground to tree crowns, limbs and trunks, and to roots below ground within the calculated RPA. The potential causes of such threats, together with proposals to avoid or minimise them, are set out in this section.

Table 5.2: Potential arboricultural impacts and proposed mitigation.

Development Activity	Potential Risk	Consequence	Mitigation
Building construction close to trees.	Future structural damage.	Tree removal.	Design foundations to tolerate tree presence at mature size, with respect to local soil conditions.
Excavation within RPAs.	Over excavation, accidental and poor root removal. Leachate from concrete affecting tree roots.	Excessive root loss and root die-back.	Hand excavate the closest 600mm to trees, to a depth of 600mm, using a sharp hand saw, or secateurs if appropriate, to remove roots. Line foundations with an impermeable membrane.

Development Activity	Potential Risk	Consequence	Mitigation
Construction activities, including materials delivery, transport and storage, contractor parking, site facilities and working areas.	Soil compaction and contamination. Accidental contact damage.	Root damage and die-back. Crown damage, die-back and loss.	Erect tree protective fencing round the entire RPA and crown spread, whichever is the greater, for the entire duration of the development. Where construction access is required within any RPA, install ground protection on any areas of unsurfaced ground, for the entire duration of the development.

Excavation for the basement extension is required within the RPA of T1 and T7. In both cases, this will result in the permanent loss of existing unsurfaced rooting environment.

For T1, the area to the south of the front of the house will be lost. Due to the presence of hard surfacing to the north and south for driveways, and the road and pavement to the east, there is no unsurfaced ground contiguous to the RPA to allow new rooting environment in compensation.

However, the area of unsurfaced ground available to this tree is already below the total RPA required to sustain a tree of this size. As the tree is in apparent good health, presumably the conditions beneath surrounding hard surfacing, in particular to the north and south, must be suitable for root growth. Therefore, it is assumed that new root growth beneath hard surfacing is possible in compensation for any lost as a result of development.

Root pruning will be required where the basement is extended south beyond the existing foundation line. This work will take place towards the outer edge of the tree's RPA, where root diameter is likely to be small. This allows for pruning to result in small wounds only, better able to occlude in time

Root growth towards the front elevation of the house will have been restricted by foundations. The basement enlargement takes place within the existing building footprint to the front, so no new excavation towards the tree or root removal will be required, where roots meet with the existing foundations.

The design of the basement foundations must allow for continued root presence and expansion, potentially incorporating compressible material between roots and the basement wall.

For T7, most of the RPA is bordered by unsurfaced garden space, so providing ample contiguous rooting environment.

6 Arboricultural Method Statement

The information in this section has been provided on the basis of the plans provided at the time the report was prepared. Should the site layout alter in the future, the advice provided may have reduced relevance and need to be revised prior to the commencement of the development.

6.1 Guidance Utilised

This section provides a site specific Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS), based on guidance provided within:

- BS5837:2012 *Trees in relation to design, demolition & construction - Recommendations*;
- BS3998:2010 *Tree work - Recommendations*; and
- Volume 4 - *NJUG Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Apparatus in Proximity to Trees* (Issue 2, 2007).

6.2 Contact Details

The details of all the principal points of contact are provided in the table below.

Table 6.1: Principal contact details.

Contact	Name	Address	Contact Details
Local Planning Authority	Tree and Landscape Officer	London Borough of Camden 5 Pancras Square c/o Town Hall Judd Street London WC1H 9JE	020 7974 4444 planning@camden.gov.uk
Client	Richard Lipsitz	26A Upper Park Road London NW3 2UT	-
Arboricultural Consultant	Richard Parmee Arboricultural Consultant	agb Environmental Ltd Newmarket Business Centre 341 Exning Road Newmarket CB8 0AT	01638 663226 richard@agbenvironmental.co.uk

6.3 Tree Works

Tree works should be the first activity on site to prevent accidental damage during development and to enable sufficient clearance such that the proposals can be implemented.

Tree work is a potentially dangerous occupation. All tree work contractors should be required to provide evidence that they are competent to undertake the required works and are adequately insured. The contractor should also be asked to provide a site-specific risk assessment prior to commencement of any tree works. All tree works should be in accordance with BS 3998:2010 *Tree work - Recommendations*.

Some of the trees may possess features that increase their potential for use by nesting birds and roosting bats. It is recommended that all tree works take place outside of the main bird nesting season (generally accepted as being March-August inclusive). Where work is required on trees containing cracks, cavities, splits and major (>100mm diameter) dead wood, it is

recommended that these features are inspected by a licensed ecologist or bat surveyor prior to work being carried out.

Details for all tree work are given in **Table 6.2**.

Table 6.2: Tree works.

Tree No.	Species	Work Recommended Irrespective of Development	Work Required to Facilitate Development
T2	Cotoneaster	Remove within one year of survey date.	None – assumed removed.
T3	Magnolia	None	Remove due to direct conflict with the layout.
T4	Apple	Reduce crown to south by 2m within one year of survey date.	Remove due to direct conflict with the layout.
T5	Yew	None	Remove due to direct conflict with the layout.
T6	Cherry	None	Remove due to direct conflict with the layout.

6.4 Tree Protection

Following tree works and before any other works commence on site, tree protective fencing shall be immediately installed in accordance with the Tree Protection Plan (TPP) in **Appendix 5** and specification in **Appendix 6**. All fencing must be signed accordingly with warning notices. It shall by default be located on the outer edge of the RPA or crown spread, whichever is greater, except where working space is required within RPAs.

Ground Protection will be required in proximity to new buildings and surfaces where the required working space is within the RPAs of retained trees. Where this is specified, ground protection shall be installed in accordance with the TPP in **Appendix 5**.

Detail on pedestrian-suitable ground protection is provided in **Appendix 7**. If more substantial protection is required, it is recommended that a proprietary ground protection system is installed, capable of evenly spreading the maximum anticipated load without compaction.

Following initial installation and at any time tree protection is altered as specified within this report, before any further works commence on site it is recommended that this be viewed and signed off, by the Project Arboriculturist. All protection shall remain in place as specified during the entire development.

6.5 Construction Access / Materials Storage

Access to the site for all activities will be from Lawn Road. All access and materials storage must be confined to the existing hard surfaced areas, avoiding any unsurfaced ground within the RPA of T1.

Access to the rear of the property will be via the southern boundary. Space within the site for materials storage and any other associated activities will be restricted and measures should be put in place to minimise the need to occupy space not required directly for development.

The limitations on materials storage are those given under **General Guidance** in **6.12**.

6.6 Provision of New Foundations Within RPAs

Information in this section will be relevant to trees **T1 and T7**.

Hand excavation must be used for the initial 600mm depth, within the final 600mm of excavation closest to trees, to minimise the potential for root damage. Where roots below 25mm diameter are encountered, these shall be cut using a clean, sharp, hand saw, or secateurs if appropriate. In the event that roots exceeding 25mm diameter are encountered, no severance must take place without first consulting the Project Arboriculturist, to assess the impact of removal on tree health and stability.

All excavation and root severance should be supervised by the Project Arboriculturist.

Where new foundations are constructed within the RPA, the excavation must be lined with an impermeable membrane to prevent leachate from concrete affecting tree roots.

The long-term presence of trees may have led to desiccation of the surrounding soil through water uptake.

The design of all foundations and surfaces likely to be affected by trees must be specified by a suitably qualified structural engineer, with consideration given to the proximity and species of trees, and the surrounding soil conditions.

6.7 Service Provision

At the time of reporting we have no information on proposed routes for underground services. It is recommended that when service route locations are known these are submitted and approved by the Project Arboriculturist.

All service runs should be designed to avoid any RPA if possible. In the event that services must pass through any RPA, priority must be given to alternatives to excavation, such as thrust boring. If excavation is required, service runs must either be routed to pass through the outer third of the RPA diameter, where root loss is less critical, or if this is not feasible, passing directly beneath the trunk, parallel to the radial spread of tree roots, rather than across it.

All excavation must be carried out using hand tools only, including air spades, with roots above 25mm diameter retained unless approval for removal is provided by the Project Arboriculturist.

6.8 Schedule of Works and Supervision

Supervision is recommended for key stages during development where these have greatest potential to result in tree damage if carried out incorrectly. Arboricultural supervision may be made a requirement of the development by way of appropriate planning conditions. This supervision should be provided by the designated Project Arboriculturist. Following supervision, a photographic report would be presented to the LPA.

A proposed schedule detailing the scope and frequency of arboricultural supervision visits is detailed below in **Table 6.3**. This schedule is intended to minimise the potential for development to result in damage to retained trees, providing a logical sequence of works. However, the LPA may request an alternative schedule within any planning conditions.

Table 6.3: Schedule of works and supervision.

Sequence	Activity	Supervision Responsibility
1	Pre-commencement meeting.	LPA Tree Officer, Principal Contractor, Site Manager, & Project Arboriculturist.
2	All tree works and removals.	Project Arboriculturist.
3	Installation of all tree and ground protection in accordance with the TPP.	Site Manager & Project Arboriculturist.
4	Basement excavation within the RPAs of T1 and T7.	Site Manager & Project Arboriculturist.
5	All remaining development.	Site Manager.
6	Removal of all tree protection following completion of all development.	Site Manager.
7	Soft landscaping.	Project Landscape Architect.
8	Assessment of tree condition post-development.	Project Arboriculturist.

6.9 General Guidance

The following general precautions must also be taken during the construction phase:

- No materials or fuel shall be stored close to or within the RPAs of trees to be retained or where new trees are to be established;
- No bonfires within 10m of the outer edge of the crown or RPA of a tree to be retained;
- Mechanical equipment must not be refuelled within the RPAs of retained trees or areas where new trees are to be established;
- No cement mixing or storage within RPAs of retained trees or new planting locations;
- Cement mixers must not be washed within or uphill of the RPAs of retained trees or areas where new trees are to be established;
- The soil level within the RPA of a retained tree must not be raised or lowered without the agreement of the local authority Tree Officer;
- No plant shall be operated within the RPAs of retained trees unless the soil is suitably protected against compaction;
- Excavation should not take place within the RPAs of retained trees unless an arboricultural consultant or the local authority Tree Officer is supervising the work;
- The guidance provided by NJUG (2007) should be followed when installing underground services within the RPAs of retained trees;
- Surface water runoff must not be redirected into or out of the RPA of a retained tree;
- No materials shall be dumped within the RPA of a tree, whether in a skip or on the ground; and
- No vehicles shall be parked or operate within the RPA of a retained tree.

7 Conclusions

Development requires the removal of four trees (T3, T4, T5 and T6) due to direct conflict with the layout. Both trees are of low quality, Category C, with at best only minor local prominence. As a consequence, tree removal will result in a very small loss of amenity value.

Retained trees have potential to be damaged by development. The methodology and all tree protection requirements are provided to minimise this potential.

A pre-commencement meeting and arboricultural supervision, for key stages in the development that have potential impacts upon trees, are specified to ensure that all tree protection requirements and the methodology are clearly understood and correctly implemented.

Any development impacts on retained trees can be effectively mitigated, subject to the correct implementation of all tree protection and the construction methodology.

8 References

British Geological Survey. (2019) *Geology of Britain viewer* [online]. <http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html> (Accessed 11th December 2019)

Mattheck, C. and Breloer, H. (1994) *The body language of trees*. London: TSO

National Joint Utilities Group. (2007). Volume 4 *NJUG Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Apparatus in Proximity to Trees* (Issue 2) [online]. Available at: <http://www.njug.org.uk/document-download/?URL=http://www.njug.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/V4-Trees-Issue-2-16-11-2007.pdf> (Accessed 23rd July 2015)

Appendix 1 Explanatory Notes for Terms Used in Appendices 3, 4 & 5

Tree Number

Number used to indicate the approximate position on plans inserted as **Appendices 4 & 5**.

Species

The species identification is based on visual observations.

Age Class

Sapling or newly established (Y) = a size which could be easily transplanted;

Semi-mature (SM) = prior to seed bearing age and could be transplanted with care;

Early Mature (EM) = of seed-bearing age, may be close to or have achieved mature height, but with considerable apical dominance and lacking a broad, domed crown;

Mature (M) = fully grown, annual growth is much reduced, with a broad, domed crown;

Old Mature (OM) = exceptionally old for the species, possibly starting to decline;

Veteran (V) = often old for the species, the crown may be retrenching or displaying damage, containing features that provide many opportunities for wildlife, likely to offer important habitat.

Condition

The physiological condition of the tree:

Good (G) = normal growth and twig extension showing good vitality, canopy of typical density, with foliage of normal size and colour for the species - no notable indication of ill health.

Fair (F) = reduced twig extension, minor deadwood, but other than that few signs of ill health;

Poor (P) = small internodes and low vitality, the canopy may be thinning and contain dead twigs and/or branches in the outer canopy, discoloured, dwarfed, misshapen or wilting foliage, obvious presence of disease or infection;

Dead (D) = Dead

Height

The height of the tree measured to the nearest metre, or half-metre if below ten metres.

Crown Spread

The distance from the tree trunk to the most relevant of the four cardinal points of the compass, measured in metres.

Compass Bearing

N = north; S = south; E = east; W = west;

Crown Clearance

The existing height of the first significant branch or section of canopy, to the nearest half-metre, to inform on ground clearance, crown/stem ratio and shading.

Diameter at Breast Height (DBH)

Trunk diameter 1.5m above ground level recorded in millimetres measured with a diameter tape. If branches emerge below 1.5m, or if the trunk divides at or close to this height, the trunk diameter will be measured at a different height above the ground and this height will be mentioned. More than one figure indicates that the individual has several stems. Many stems are indicated with an 'M', where it is not possible to determine the number. If the DBH has been estimated this will be marked with an asterisk (*) in the column.

PRF

Potential Roost Features – features that have potential for use by bats for roosting, likely to require further inspection if tree work is required.

Category & Remaining Contribution

The category assessed using the guidance in Table 1 of BS 5837:2012 and the potential for safe tree retention based on the current context.

(A) (light green) Trees of high quality and value: in such condition as to be able to make a substantial contribution (a minimum of 40 years is suggested);

- A1 - Exemplary arboricultural specimens
- A2 - Trees of particular visual importance as arb/landscape features
- A3 - Significant conservation/historical value.

(B) (mid blue) Trees of moderate quality and value: those in such a condition as to make a significant contribution (a minimum of 20 years is suggested);

- B1 - Might have been Cat A but downgraded because of impaired condition.
- B2 - Present in numbers - reduced value as individuals but higher as a collective group.
- B3 - Trees with material conservation or other cultural value.

(C) (grey) Trees of low quality and value: currently in adequate condition to remain until new planting could be established (a minimum of 10 years is suggested), or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm;

- C1 - Unremarkable tree, limited merit/impaired condition.
- C2 - Trees present in groups/woodlands without inferring greater collective value.
- C3 - Tree with no material or other cultural value.

(U) (dark red) Trees in such a condition that any existing value would be lost within 10 years and should, in the current context, be removed under sound arboricultural management.

Radius of the RPA

The radius of a circular Root Protection Area (RPA) in metres as specified using the guidance contained in BS 5837:2012.

Appendix 2 Tree Photographs



Photograph 1. T1, sycamore, located to the west of Lawn Road in raised front garden. Viewed looking towards the north.



Photograph 2. T1, viewed looking towards the south.



Photograph 3. T2, cotoneaster, located close to the property elevation. Viewed looking towards the west.




Photograph 4. T3, magnolia, located to the south of the front garden. Viewed looking towards the south.




Photograph 5. T4, apple. Located in the rear garden. Note lean of main stem. Viewed looking towards the north-east.



Photograph 6. T5, yew. Viewed looking towards the south-east.




Photograph 7. T6, cherry, located centrally in the rear garden along the southern boundary. Viewed looking towards the south-east.



Photograph 8. Close-up of T6, showing pruning wound with associated decay and cavity (circled).



Photograph 9. G1, cherry (centre-left) and holm oak (centre-right), with mutual crown formation. Viewed looking towards the north-west.



Photograph 10. T7, dawn redwood, located to the far west of the rear garden. A high quality and dominant tree in the surrounding environment. Viewed looking towards the north-west.

Appendix 3 Tree Survey Table


All work recommendations provided in this table are given on the basis of tree condition at the time of the survey and do not relate to any development proposal.

Tree No.	Species	Age	Con	Height (m)	Spread (m)				Crown Clear. (m)	DBH (mm)	Comments	PRF	Recommendations	BS 5837 Category	Rem. Cont. (est.)	RPA Radius (m)
					N	S	E	W								
T1	Sycamore <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	EM	G	12	6	6	6	6	2.0	550	Dominant tree alongside pavement. Good extension growth and crown density. Main stem leans at 13 degrees to the east at 1.5m. Previously pollarded at 4m with good regrowth. Several 25-50% occluded crown lifting wounds, up to 150mm diameter at 3-4m. Possible decay within some of the wounds. All unions appear normally formed. Retaining wall exhibiting cracks, and brick out of alignment.	N	No work recommended.	B1	20-40	6.60
T2	Cotoneaster <i>Cotoneaster sp.</i>	M	G	6.0	5	3	3	3	0	160 190	Intermediate overgrown shrub, touching front elevation of property. May cause direct damage to the building in future. Forms two stems at 1m with normally formed union.	N	Remove within one year of survey date.	U	<10	3.00
T3	Magnolia <i>Magnolia sp.</i>	EM	G	4.0	2	2	2	2	1.0	M 80 60 60 70	Intermediate tree of good extension growth and crown density. Multi-stemmed form. Forms four stems at ground level.	N	No work recommended.	C1	20-40	1.68
T4	Apple <i>Malus sp.</i>	EM	G	5.0	2	5	3	2	1.5	120 80	Intermediate tree of good extension growth and crown density. Main stem leans at 25 degrees to the south-east. Forms two stems at 0.7m with acute angled V-Shaped union (VSU) and bark inclusion (BI). Longitudinal basal bark damage to the north-west.	N	Reduce crown to south by 2m within one year of survey date.	C1	10-20	1.68

Tree No.	Species	Age	Con	Height (m)	Spread (m)				Crown Clear. (m)	DBH (mm)	Comments	PRF	Recommendations	BS 5837 Category	Rem. Cont. (est.)	RPA Radius (m)
					N	S	E	W								
T5	Yew <i>Taxus baccata</i>	EM	G	5.0	2	1	2	2	0.5	220	Intermediate tree location in elongated raised border. Good extension growth and crown density and single stemmed form. Pruned in domed shape. Southern face recently pruned back to boundary.	N	No work recommended.	B1	20-40	2.64
T6	Cherry <i>Prunus sp.</i>	M	F	7.0	4	4	4	4	2.0	410	Intermediate tree of fair extension growth and crown density. Previously pollarded at 3 to 4m with fair regrowth. 130 x 300mm unoccluded wound at 1.4m to the east, with internal decay. 100mm diameter wound containing extensive decay at 1.8m to the west.	N	No work recommended	C1	10-20	4.92
G1	Cherry Holm oak <i>Quercus ilex</i>	EM M	G	5.0	4	4	4	4	2.0	130 Max.	Co-dominant pair of intermediate trees of fair extension growth and crown density. Single stemmed form. Western tree leans at 30 degrees at 1.5m to the south-east. Mutual crown formation.	N	No work recommended.	C2	20-40	1.56
T7	Dawn redwood <i>Metasequoia glyptostroboides</i>	M	G	22*	7	7	7	7	4.0	990	Dominant tree of good extension growth and crown density. Forms two co-dominant stems at 6m, with VSU. Several fully occluded wounds, 200mm diameter, at 2.2 and 1.8m to the southwest and south-east respectively up to 5m above ground level. Buttress roots at base of stem.	N	No work recommended.	A1	40+	11.88

* Indicates estimated value due to access constraints.

Appendix 4 Tree Constraints Plan



REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION
-----	------	-------------

LEGEND

- Category U
- Category A
Trees of high quality
- Category B
Trees of moderate quality
- Category C
Trees of low quality
- RPA using formula in accordance with BS5837:2012

LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

PROJECT

76 LAWN ROAD, CAMDEN,
LONDON NW3 2XB

TITLE

TREE CONSTRAINTS

CLIENT

RICHARD LIPSITZ



agb Environmental Ltd

Newmarket Business Centre, 341 Exning Road,
Newmarket, CB8 0AT
Tel: 01638 663 226
Email: info@agbenvironmental.co.uk
Web: www.agbenvironmental.co.uk

DATE	15/10/19
SCALE	1:200 @ A3

PROJECT NUMBER . DRAWING NUMBER



P3430.1 . 001

© Copyright agb Environmental Ltd

Trees categorised in accordance with BS5837:2012 "Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations"

The original of this drawing was produced in colour – a monochrome copy should not be relied upon

Appendix 5 Tree Protection Plan



REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION
LEGEND		
	Category A	Trees of high quality
	Category B	Trees of moderate quality
	Category C	Trees of low quality
	RPA using formula in accordance with BS5837:2012	
	Tree incompatible with proposals	
	Location of Protective Fencing	
	Ground protection	
	Hand excavation	
LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.		
PROJECT 76 LAWN ROAD, CAMDEN, LONDON NW3 2XB		
TITLE TREE PROTECTION		
CLIENT RICHARD LIPSITZ		
 agb Environmental Ltd Newmarket Business Centre, 341 Exning Road, Newmarket, CB8 0AT Tel: 01638 663 226 Email: info@agbenvironmental.co.uk Web: www.agbenvironmental.co.uk		
DATE		22/11/19
SCALE		1:200 @ A3
PROJECT NUMBER . DRAWING NUMBER P3430.1 . 002 revC		
© Copyright agb Environmental Ltd		

Appendix 6 Tree Protective Fencing Specification


Specifications:

Tree Protective Fencing Panels shall be 2.0m high x 3.5m in length. (see image below).



Tree protective fencing example.

Given the surface onto which the fencing will be placed, it is considered that Heras-type fencing will be most appropriate form of tree protection. The fencing will comprise of continuously joined panels, and will be secured utilising an 'above ground stabilizing system', with the fencing base stabilizer strut secured with ground pins with a base plate, as illustrated below:



a) Stabilizer strut with base plate secured with ground pins

Tree protective fencing construction.

Location:

Fencing shall be positioned as far as possible on the perimeter of the Root Protection Area (RPA) to define a Construction Exclusion Zone and will be further identified by 'Tree Protection' warning signs (see image below).




Appendix 7 Pedestrian Ground Protection Details

Specifications:

Ground protection should be laid directly onto the existing ground level with no excavation, prior to the commencement of all development, and in accordance with the details provided in the Tree Protection Plan.

Ground protection should be installed as follows:

- A geotextile membrane is laid directly on the soil surface;
- Onto this is laid a minimum depth of 50mm sharp sand, or 100mm bark; and
- Boards or protective trackways are then laid onto the sand/bark layer.



Ground protection example.

All ground protection shall remain in place for the duration of all development activities, or until replaced by new permanent surfaces using reduced-dig construction techniques.