Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 04/08/2020 09:10:05  Response:
2020/3020/T	Jessica Unterhalter	03/08/2020 23:58:56	OBJ	I am writing to strongly object to the application to fell mature plane trees at 65, 67 & 69 Greencroft Gardens (application # 2020/3019/T, 2020/3020/T, 2020/3021/T)
				These trees are over 100 years old and felling them will cause irrevocable harm to the area. They simply cannot be replaced in our lifetime. They are a source of natural beauty in this conversation area, helping to create a sense of tranquility and calm, they reduce both air and noise pollution and they provide a safe habitat for a plethora of animals and birds. Personally speaking, as a owner who has the pleasure of looking out onto these trees, I can say in no uncertain terms that their removal would be greatly detrimental to the area; we are lucky enough to be able to enjoy the beauty of these mature trees and shouldn¿t take that for granted.  The applications to fell these trees fail on many accounts; they have not proven that the cracks are caused by these specific trees as 1) there are cracks on both sides of the property 2) they are attempting to fell 3 trees because they can¿t even accurately identify a single ¿culprit¿ and 3) they have not even correctly identified the properties where these trees are located. This seems to be a ploy to quickly resolve an insurance claim with a cheap and easy option at the expense of our community.  Plus the application does not account for the possible negative impact of heave, which is also of great concern, as it could cause structural and financial harm to the neighbouring properties (listed in these applications).  Given the above I strongly urge the council to reject the applications. These beautiful, lively, mature, valuable and irreplaceable trees must be protected
2020/3020/T	Lucy Findlay	03/08/2020 23:12:53	OBJ	Sir/Madam
				I write as a member of CRASH and local resident. I write to object to the proposed felling of a mature (approx 100year old) tree in this application. The evidence is inconclusive that it is the tree causing cracking to the property in Aberdare Gardens, no photographic evidence is given, it is impossible to tell (for a layperson) the distance from the tree to the applicant's property but it would seem unlikely that the tree is the cause of the claimed damage. Neighbouring properties on Aberdare report they have not been affected. Camden refused a similar application previously and it should refuse again as trees in the Conservation Area form an important part of the character of it and provide a habitat for wildlife and are important in benefiting the wider environment. It would seem contrary to Camden's clean air goals and sustainability goals to consent to felling of mature trees.
				A regime of regular reduction of the trees could be requested instead via the applicant's insurers even though evidence seems inconclusive.