Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response: Printed on: 31/0//2020
2020/3020/T	Peter symonds	28/07/2020 15:15:30	OBJNOT	CRASH – The Combined Residents' Associations of South Hampstead – objects in the strongest possible terms to applications 2020/3019/T, 2020/3020/T and 2020/3021/T for the felling of three mature Plane trees in Greencroft Gardens. In the first instance, these applications claim that the trees are located in the gardens of three different properties which is simply not the case, so all three applications fail even to locate the trees accurately. Furthermore, the application suggests that because the roots of one of the trees – and it is by no means clear which one is being referred to as the culprit - is judged to be responsible for damage to the Aberdare Gardens property, ALL THREE trees should be destroyed. This would be a barbaric remedy which, if allowed, will be an act of environmental vandalism from Camden Council, who in February of this year was proudly trumpeting its having been awarded a 'Tree City of the World' designation from the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. The trees under threat are each well over a hundred years old and part of a shade-giving row of Planes dividing the back-to-back gardens between Aberdare and Greencroft Gardens. They have been a much-loved feature of this conservation area for many decades. Following Camden's refusal of the same applicant's 2017 demand that they be removed, the owner of the trees had them severely pollarded at her own expense, yet that has done nothing to dissuade the applicant's determination to have them destroyed. The Aberdare garden property remained stable. The report suggests that, following the pollarding, the Aberdare garden property remained stable that the insurers move to repair advising that any movement in Summer 2018 was minor and due to one of the hottes' summers on record whereas (in) a normal summer like 2019, the property has remained stable'. Overall, the report remains equivocal, and certainly does not suggest that the property damage is definitely due to the trees. In Greencroft Gardens - which have to be over thir

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 31/07/2020 09: Response:	0:10:05
				period (which is of undoubted benefit to the insurers) and thereby allow the landowner his right to the peaceful enjoyment of his property.' After the distressing three-year battle that has already been waged to save these trees, the Greencroft Gardens landowner would, I am sure, like to be afforded the same consideration. May we ask that a Camden Tree Officer makes a special effort to inspect the site and see for himself the extent of the claimed – but unseen - damage to the property. In the meantime, CRASH respectfully asks that the application be refused. Kind regards Peter Symonds Chair	
2020/3020/T	Rev Lyndon van der Pump and Professor Edward Brooks	28/07/2020 11:32:07	OBJ	There seems to be an awful lot of tree work being carried out in this Garden area at the moment, one worries that it is necessary or even authorised. These plane trees are over a 100 years old and add a great deal to the general aspect, ambiance and oxygen conversion. People who move into the area are amazed by the air purity compared with most of London. We object most strongly to the unnecessary destruction of beautiful trees in this application	
2020/3020/T	Dr Tanya Deavall	28/07/2020 16:33:30	OBJ	I strongly oppose the proposed felling of this beautiful mature plane tree. It is in a conservation area where every effort needs to be made to preserve the remaining trees, especially mature trees which add so much benefit to the environment for people and wildlife to enjoy. Plane trees are particularly well suited to London and have an important role to play in addressing pollution too. In this day and age every attempt needs to be made to safeguard our climate and environment so felling of trees should surely only occur in rare circumstances where there is unequivocal evidence for harm which outweighs the benefits and where there is a clear requirement for a suitable replacement tree. The tree in question is in a line with two other trees whose felling has also been proposed in 2020/3019/T and 2020/3021/T. No photographic evidence of structural building damage is included with the application. At the very least, please consider arranging reduction of the size of the tree with further monitoring to be absolutely sure that felling is the only option.	

				Printed on: 31/07/2020
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/3020/T	Peter symonds	28/07/2020 15:15:38	OBJNOT	CRASH – The Combined Residents' Associations of South Hampstead – objects in the strongest possible terms to applications 2020/3019/T, 2020/3020/T and 2020/3021/T for the felling of three mature Plane trees in Greencroft Gardens. In the first instance, these applications claim that the trees are located in the gardens of three different properties which is simply not the case, so all three applications fail even to locate the trees accurately. Furthermore, the application suggests that because the roots of one of the trees – and it is by no means clear which one is being referred to as the culprit - is judged to be responsible for damage to the Aberdare Gardens property, ALL THREE trees should be destroyed. This would be a barbaric remedy which, if allowed, will be an act of environmental vandalism from Camden Council, who in February of this year was proudly tumpeting its having been awarded a Tree CIV of the World designation from the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. The trees under threat are each well over a hundred years old and part of a shade-giving row of Planes dividing the back-to-back gardens between Aberdare and Greencroft Gardens. They have been a much-loved feature of this conservation area for many decades. Following Camden's refusal of the same applicant's 2017 demand that they be removed, the owner of the trees had them severely pollarded at her own expense, yet that has done nothing to dissuade the applicant's devidence presented by Sedgwick on behalf of the applicant's during, the Aberdare garden property remained stable. The report suggests that further monitoring be carried out 'and if the results are the same and the property remains stable that the insurers move to repair advising that any movement in Summer 2018 was minor and due to nor of the hottest summers on record whereas (in) a normal summer like 2019, the property has remained stable'. Overall, the report remains equivocal, and certainly does not suggest that the poper and the insurers now to claim that the

period (which is of undoubted benefit to the insurers) and thereby allow the landowner his right to the peaceful enjoyment of his property.' After the distressing three-year battle that has already been waged to save these trees, the Greencroft Gardens landowner would, I am sure, like to be afforded the same consideration. May we ask that a Camden Tree Officer makes a special effort to inspect the site and see for himself the extent of the claimed – but unseen - damage to the property. In the meantime, CRASH respectfully asks that the application be refused. Kind regards Peter Symonds Chair	Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
					enjoyment of his property.' After the distressing three-year battle that has already been waged to save these trees, the Greencroft Gardens landowner would, I am sure, like to be afforded the same consideration. May we ask that a Camden Tree Officer makes a special effort to inspect the site and see for himself the extent of the claimed – but unseen - damage to the property. In the meantime, CRASH respectfully asks that the application be refused. Kind regards Peter Symonds