

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/2943/P	C. Godber	16/07/2020 18:41:34	OBJ	<p>I object to the installation of 5g. There is already a substantial body of evidence demonstrating that existing radiofrequency radiation is harmful to health. I am not against the progression of technology but do not consent to the imposition of technology at the risk of harming our health and potentially all biological life. The government's actions in authorising 5G are a breach of human rights. They are failing to protect the public from the health risks from 5G technology which we all face through its rapid deployment.</p> <p>The government continues to adopt guidelines which the independent scientific research shows is unsafe for humans, animals and the environment.</p> <p>5g will add significantly to the emissions we already have from mobile phone masts, mobile phones, Wi-Fi, smart meters and other 'smart devices'. The consequences of inaction could be serious and irreversible damage.</p> <p>There need to be proper independent reviews of all research into the health risks, and reviews of the science concerning the potential environmental impact.</p> <p>Therefore, there needs to be an immediate halt to the roll out of 5g infrastructure until it is proven to be safe. The burden for illnesses which may result from 5g and other radio frequencies will fall on the NHS and ultimately the tax payer. Why should we be guinea pigs? Many councils, towns, cities and countries have already postponed the roll out until proper testing. Why is the UK so backward? They only seem to care about money and not the inhabitants of the country.</p>
2020/2943/P	Caue Bertelli Cavallaro	22/07/2020 17:03:05	OBJ	<p>That's the corner of a very tight street which is usually very crowded, where lorries delivering to sainsburys and iceland turns, and also regular cars. That would impact the safety of the road users.</p>