

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2020/2836/P	Coral Temple	10/07/2020 13:02:30	OBJ	This whole area is being bombarded with new telecommubication devices. We do NOT need more of the same in a heavily domestic and suburban area both from an aesthetic point of view and health issues. There has not been the correct investigation and surveys done on these type of installations. My objection is total and final. I can supply research to back up my stance.
2020/2836/P	Paul Braithwaite	14/07/2020 20:00:02	OBJ	<p>I oppose this application.</p> <p>It is within the Bartholomew Estate Conservation Area, which is a complete well preserved Victorian district enclave of houses built in the 1870s. A 66' foot industrial pole is incongruent with the houses around. The fact that the proposal is located alongside a vehicle lot which was probably the result of bomb damage is irrelevant. Ultimately the site could and should be for the building of sympathetic new housing, which would be made more difficult by installing this ugly technology infrastructure.</p> <p>Further, I note that the footfall on the south west side of Bartolomew Road is substantial and that the pavement is of limited width - circa two metres. The addition of three cabinets would encroach into this pavement width.</p> <p>Camden should resist this application for inordinate and inappropriate height at 66'. There is already a more modest pole on Osney Crescent above the MML about 50m away, which is already quite enough!</p> <p>Incidentally, the Camden website could not access (just now) the comments apparently lodged by Camden Town Road Action (14th July). I have repeatedly encountered such access faults.</p>