Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	Printed on:	22/07/2020	
2020/2406/P	Simon Zekaria	01/07/2020 21:57:21	OBJNOT	Camden Council Planning Applications Planning Inspectorate			
				RE: Planning Application - 2020/2406/P, 65-69 Holmes Road London NW5 3AN			
				To whom it may concern,			
				I want to record that I, again, object in the strongest possible terms to this application to increase the height of the development to seven storeys.			
				The developer has, once more, come back with an attempt to add another storey to this building; one that is already ugly, out of keeping with area and is an imposition to residents in the area.			
				A further extension would allow this out-of-proportion building to even more greatly of and the area, contravening planning principles aimed at preventing detrimental heig scale, as well as loss of light — some of the many reasons for which it was turned d refusal was based on harm to the living conditions of 74 and 55-57 Holmes Road.	ht, overbearing	mass and	
				Scaling down the number of rooms and a "setback" of the walls does absolutely not The idea that is a "minimal aesthetic and removes the previously stated issues is co the developer characterises the new storey as a "roof extension" is a clear attempt to	mpletely erron		
				The fact that the developer has bid to add another single storey to this development vociferously to by local residents and subject to much rancour in the local communit light and space before being approved – is a telling reflection on the motives of this	y over its effec		
				In short, it should be clear to the council that is an opportunistic action designed to g student accommodation out of sheer greed. This is a developer that has been show profit over the welfare of the residents and his leaseholders, the local community or which the development has a huge impact.	n to prioritise c	ommercial	
				If the developer wanted seven storeys to the block, why wasn't that part of his origin. The answer is clear: it wouldn't have been approved and so, to circumvent this block his commercial aims in parts.			

To simply force through the addition of a further storey is a blatant attempt to profit once again at the expense of residents and the local community, for which he has no regard or thought.

09:10:04

The application for the original six-storey building was allowed by the planning inspector, despite opposition by the council. Now the developer seeks, despite the cooperation of residents through gritted teeth over the years, to further reap the area for profit with no consideration of others.

The original design of the building was a disaster for many residents, including myself, with the impact of construction on noise, light and peaceful living. For this extra story to be approved would rub further salt into an already gaping wound.

Indeed, regarding noise, it is apparent already that the developer's student facilities are creating unacceptable

				Printed o	n: 22/07/2020	09:10:04	
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:			
				noise pollution for the area. The developer even admits in his planning statement that it is "inevitable that general levels of noise and disturbance might be heightened by the presence of student accommodation.			
				This developer is essentially using the planning process as a battering ram to simply try and railroad through his applications come what may. It is a tactic designed to exploit the freedom of the process for his own ends.			
				In this respect, his expectation is that through repetition of application after application and mo repackaging of proposals he will eventually exhaust the process, and shut out dissenting voices owning to sheer will.			
				The council must not allow him to succeed.			
				I urge the planning committee to reject outright this application.			
				Yours sincerely,			
				Simon Zekaria Flat 14, 55-57 Holmes Road London NW5 3AN			