Dear Sir/Madam

I am responding to the applicant at 4b's proposal to rebuild and extend their property which was formerly a garage, in close proximity to a number of listed buildings in the road.

The design and access statement would respect the heritage site by submitting images that represent the more elaborate and thus distinguished houses in the street. I have attached some images of the original Battersbury & Huxley designs; for Sunnycote at No 1, Charlecote at No 3 and Blair Hyrne at 12 Rosslyn Hill. Etherow at No 9, opposite 4b has the privilege of seeing the St Stephen's spire above 4b behind a mature copper beech as does the local footfall. The elevated construction of 4b threatens to eclipse this view.

It would be feasible to imagine that 4b needs to be rebuilt due to its maintenance issues and understandably a small basement seems reasonable in the context of local planning and in response to the imperative to provide additional accommodation. The application for a third storey doesn't comply with either National planning to preserve and enhance the area nor Camden planning in accordance with conservation and neighbourhood statements in recent years.

I would like to appeal to the planning officer's duty to protect the spirit, nature and atmosphere of the area whilst providing adequate housing for our growing population. This proposal does neither; not only will nature be destroyed and the church view obscured, the proportion of the design application is against the inherent nature of the original design where 4b is a subordinate extension to 4a. The current design application appears to be lacking in humility with respect to it's immediate neighbour, the main house, from whence it came into being.

These plans were put forward without taking into account neighbours' concerns, they threaten serious damage to the health of their adjoining neighbour's property and the Design and Access statement is misleading.

Kind regards

Nicky Shinder

