
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 June 2020 12:10
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Objection - Planning applications to install 5G masts and base stations

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Planning no. 2020/1332P

Sent from Samsung tablet.

Sent from Samsung tablet.

----- Original message -----

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Objection

"I wish to strongly object to this planning application on the following grounds. I am already Electro-hypersensitive (EHS) and am deeply concerned about the health risks of the current 4G masts as I live opposite to St. Mary's Church. Camden Council are obliged to protect the health and safety of their residents. If this application happens I will be severely harmed by the additional stronger 5G radio frequency radiation resulting in an increase in electromagnetic fields. This also applies to public spaces as the communications technology proposed has not been proven and evidence provided to demonstrate that the technology is safe. As a result our air will become very toxic with the further addition of smart blue LED street lights using antennae to transmit signals, and this will affect all living organisms as well as humans instead of a 5G cabling system which could be proven to be much less harmful with significantly lessened health risks to us all. I do not fully understand why we need an untested 5G network if this is highly likely to make many of us very ill. I feel that this is a complete breach of trust between Camden Council's decision to implement the

installation of far more masts and base stations without proper consultation and risk assessment to our health and wellbeing. St. Mary's Church is a listed building and is located in a conservation area. Proposals to use inferior materials, or any other materials should not be used on a listed building. Finally, it has been noted that the planning notice at the St. Mary's Church site stated that comments and objections to be received by 11th June was wrong as on the website the correct date is in fact 21st June. This error is completely unacceptable as it has not been checked properly and corrected on the poster as it should have been by replacing the poster with one that provides the correct date; when I pointed this out to Jaspreet Chana, Planning Officer, by phone, she said that "this was strange!" and since my phone call with her nothing has been done to correct this error. She then said that they would receive comments and objections after 21st June, and I have recorded this statement, and that this should be for at least another 10 days due to the obvious error. She also said that a notice had been placed in the local newspaper, which far fewer people read nowadays, and when I asked her she did not know the name of our local newspaper which is the Ham & High - she said that this was placed in the Hammersmith Newspaper! For the last couple of years I have been requesting and receiving planning application notices applying to properties and sites 500m from Kingswood Court, 48 West End Lane NW6. I am extremely disappointed that I did not receive a Planning Alert on these and other types of applications relating to the installation of 4G and now 5G masts. As a retired civil servant (admin) having previously worked for the then Department of the Environment at a town & country planning unit dealing with planning applications with local authorities I am now deeply shocked at the way all these types of applications seem to be mishandled i.e. incorrect and misleading information at many stages of processing. Please keep me informed of any decisions taken."

[Redacted signature block]

Yours faithfully,

[Redacted signature block]