Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 25:06/2020 09:10:09 Response:
2020/1450/P		24/06/2020 10:41:15	COMNOT	As leaseholders we would like to have clear communication as to cost implications for a project of this size on individual maintenance charge budget estimates. A specific letter on the project and cost implications should be sent to leaseholders outlining options for payment over a number of years.
				Likewise in relation to any future Phase 2 stage of internal works involving installation of heating hardware/radiators there should be clear communication as to cost implications for leaseholders. The number of projects envisaged represent major add on costs to individuals and families who live on the Estate.
				I suggest that the allocation for leaseholders for the Works should be reflective of a cost for a standard heating system replacement without the necessity for the major mechanical infrastructure/pipework etc envisaged in this project is cost of External infrastructure to be waived but cost of internal work only to be allocated. A burden of significant cost would be untenable in the current times as families seek to get over the impact of lockdown and the pending financial crisis.
2020/1450/P		22/06/2020 11:32:28	INT	Page 15, Section 2.1 "Project Brief" of the Design and Access Statement, "Internal Works" states "the internal works portion of this planning application [] seeks permission for the connection to and installation of the HIUs within homes. This includes associated internal pipework from the estate distribution to HIUs".
				The proposal to install HIUs is a controversial element of the application and has not been agreed with the TRA. Many residents of the Alexandra and Ainsworth Estate remain deeply concerned about the HIUs.
				I therefore request that the HIUs be EXCLUDED from this application.

Printed on: 25/06/2020 09:10:09

2020/1450/P

Consultees Name:

Received 23/06/2020 13:32:26 OBJ

Response:
Interested Party Objection
Camden's proposals do not take into account that their heating suggestions will not work with the majority of the flats. To install an HIU without investigating whether the suggested heating methods will work (the different heating methods are not included in this proposal but have been circulated by Camden amongst residents) is believe, negligent. The different heating methods (to replace the coils) will not work for my flat (nor for a large number of others). Camden has neither investigated nor consulted with residents about their current heating suggestions. Most residents are horrified by the proposals once they understand the likely upheaval entailed. Skirting heating would be impossible in my flat as the skirting depth is not sufficient and my electric cables are currently behind the skirting boards and sockets are located on the skirting boards. They would also be extremely hot and therefore pose a danger to small children. Radiators will need pipework to connect with the HIU - have solid (tongue and gronove) wooden floors which will be destroyed if pipes are taken from radiator to radiator and floor to floor in order to connect with the HIU.

I would also like to ask why Camden embarked on the refurbishment programme before the heating consultation was completed - all the refurbishment work that has been done will be affected by new cables, pipes skirting boards, flooring etc etc. To subject residents to a second round of upheaval and discomfort is

consultation was completed - all the refurbishment work that has been done will be affected by new cables, pipes skirting boards, flooring etc etc. To subject residents to a second round of upheaval and discomfort is extraordinary. The heating coils are not at the end of their life - only the connecting pipework has deteriorated. It would be much more cost effective to connect the new pipework to the existing coils at least that way no further or at least much less disruption inside the flats would be necessary.

Camden do not explain how residents will be effected if the new system fails at any point. How can Camden refer to this as a full planning application when only half the application is presented and further that it is referred to as Residential Minor Alterations - the full heating system will be a major upheaval to all residents if their suggestions go ahead - and this Planning Application cannot possibly be considered without the full heating elements and how Camden propose to accommodate all the different flat designs and conditions and major concerns of the residents. conditions and major concerns of the residents.