From:

**Sent:** 19 June 2020 15:45

To: Planning

Subject: Planning Application 2020/2139P 3 Inverforth Close, NW3 7EX

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]** Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

## PLEASE PASS THIS ASAP TO Mr FARRANT OR OTHER RELEVANT PERSON

Dear Mr Farrant,

When No. 3 was demolished I assumed that it was to be rebuilt in accordance with the 2017 planning permission. I know of at least one other who had made the same assumption.

I only learnt of the 2020 application a few days ago. I can understand that it may have been difficult to attach a notice of that application to a lamppost in the road, but I am surprised that you did not write to persons who might be affected by it.

In relation to the 2016 planning application, I had some worry about the roof height and contacted your department (I think, John Diver) about it, but did not formally oppose that application. The 2020 application increases the roof area and adds another window overlooking our house, No.8, so I have some concerns.

The roof height on the proposed north side elevation is not indicated in the proposed north elevation plan. Please would you let me know whether it will it me more or the same as under the 2016 application? As no proposed front elevation is included in the 2020 application, please can you confirm that it will be the same, including height, as in the 2016 application.

On 07/11/2016, Planning Officer John Diver gave advice to my architect in relation to a preplanning application to convert a car port at No.8 into a garage. This included:

- Extensions should be demonstrated "not to result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building".
- "development should consider the character, setting, context and the form of neighbouring buildings, ..."
- "factors to consider include sunlight.....and visual privacy and overlooking."

I hope that the Council will be taking account of these principles, which do, I think, raise some questions on what is proposed.